

(1) An interlock will not have an anticompetitive effect if it involves depository organizations that, if merged, would not cause the post-merger Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) to exceed 1800 and would not cause the HHI to increase by more than 200 points. This presumption shall not apply to depository organizations subject to the Major Assets prohibition of § 348.3(c).

(2) A proposed management official is critical to the safe and sound operations of a depository institution if:

(i) That official is approved by the FDIC to serve as a director or a senior executive officer of that institution pursuant to 12 CFR 303.14; and

(ii) The institution had operated for less than two years, was not in compliance with minimum capital requirements, or otherwise was in a “troubled condition” as defined by 12 CFR 303.14(a)(4) at the time the service under that section was approved.

(c) *Duration of interlock.* An interlock permitted under this section may continue until the FDIC notifies the affected depository organizations otherwise. The FDIC may require termination of any interlock permitted under this section if the FDIC concludes, after giving the affected persons the opportunity to respond, that the determinations under paragraph (a)(2) of this section no longer may be made. A management official may continue serving the depository organization involved in the interlock for a period of 15 months following the date of the order to terminate the interlock. The FDIC may shorten this period under appropriate circumstances.

§ 348.6 Management Consignment exemption.

(a) *Criteria.* The FDIC may permit an interlock that otherwise would be prohibited by the Interlocks Act and § 348.3 if the FDIC, after reviewing an application submitted by the depository organization seeking an exemption, determines that the interlock would:

(1) Improve the provision of credit to low- and moderate-income areas;

(2) Increase the competitive position of a minority- or women-owned depository organization;

(3) Strengthen the management of a depository institution that has been chartered for less than two years at the time an application is filed under this part; or

(4) Strengthen the management of a depository institution that is in an unsafe or unsound condition as determined by the FDIC on a case-by-case basis.

(b) *Presumptions.* The FDIC applies the following presumptions when reviewing any application for a Management Consignment exemption:

(1) A proposed management official is capable of strengthening the management of a depository institution described in paragraph (a)(3) of this section if that official is approved by the FDIC to serve as a director or a senior executive officer of that institution pursuant to 12 CFR 303.14 and the institution had operated for less than two years at the time the service under 12 CFR 303.14 was approved; and

(2) A proposed management official is capable of strengthening the management of a depository institution described in paragraph (a)(4) of this section if that official is approved by the FDIC to serve as a director or a senior executive officer of that institution pursuant to 12 CFR 303.14 and the institution was not in compliance with minimum capital requirements or otherwise was in a “troubled condition” as defined under 12 CFR 303.14 at the time service under that section was approved.

(c) *Duration of interlock.* An interlock granted under this section may continue for a period of two years from the date of approval. The FDIC may extend this period for one additional two-year period if the depository organization applies for an extension at least 30 days before the current exemption expires and satisfies one of the criteria specified in paragraph (a) of this section. The provisions set forth in paragraph (b) of this section also apply to applications for extensions.

§ 348.7 Change in circumstances.

(a) *Termination.* A management official shall terminate his or her service or apply for an exemption to the Interlocks Act if a change in circumstances

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

§ 349.2

causes the service to become prohibited under that Act. A change in circumstances may include, but is not limited to, an increase in asset size of an organization, a change in the delimitation of the RMSA or community, the establishment of an office, an acquisition, a merger, a consolidation, or any reorganization of the ownership structure of a depository organization that causes a previously permissible interlock to become prohibited.

(b) *Transition period.* A management official described in paragraph (a) of this section may continue to serve the insured nonmember bank involved in the interlock for 15 months following the date of the change in circumstances. The FDIC may shorten this period under appropriate circumstances.

§ 348.8 Enforcement.

Except as provided in this section, the FDIC administers and enforces the Interlocks Act with respect to insured nonmember banks and their affiliates and may refer any case of a prohibited interlocking relationship involving these entities to the Attorney General of the United States to enforce compliance with the Interlocks Act and this part. If an affiliate of an insured nonmember bank is subject to the primary regulation of another federal depository organization supervisory agency, then the FDIC does not administer and enforce the Interlocks Act with respect to that affiliate.

PART 349—REPORTS AND PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF INDEBTEDNESS OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS TO A STATE NONMEMBER BANK AND ITS CORRESPONDENT BANKS

Sec.

349.1 Purpose and scope.

349.2 Definitions.

349.3 Reports by executive officers and principal shareholders.

349.4 Disclosure of indebtedness of executive officers and principal shareholders.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 2 (9 “Seventh” and “Tenth”), Pub. L. 797, 64 Stat. 881, as amended by sec. 309, Pub. L. 95-630, 92 Stat. 3677 (12 U.S.C. 1819 “Seventh” and “Tenth”);

secs. 428(b) and 429, Pub. L. 97-320, 96 Stat. 1526, 1527.

SOURCE: 48 FR 57114, Dec. 28, 1983, unless otherwise noted.

§ 349.1 Purpose and scope.

Section 106(b)(2) of the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970 (12 U.S.C. 1972(2)) (*BHCA Amendments*) prohibits—(1) preferential lending by a bank to executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders of another bank when there is a correspondent account relationship between the banks, or (2) the opening of a correspondent account relationship between banks when there is a preferential extension of credit by one of the banks to an executive officer, director, or principal shareholder of the other bank. Section 106(b)(2) also imposes requirements on executive officers and principal shareholders to submit reports on their indebtedness to correspondent banks to the board of directors of their bank. Section 7(k) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(k)) and section 106(b)(2)(G)(ii) of the BHCA Amendments (12 U.S.C. 1972(2)(G)(ii)) authorize the Federal banking agencies to issue rules and regulations, including definitions of terms, to require the reporting and public disclosure of information by a bank or an executive officer or principal shareholder thereof concerning extensions of credit by the bank or its correspondent banks to any of the reporting bank’s executive officers or principal shareholders, or the related interests of such persons. This part 349 implements the authorization of the latter sections to require such reporting and disclosure by insured State nonmember banks and their executive officers and principal shareholders.

§ 349.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of the reporting and disclosure requirements of this part 349, the following definitions apply:

(a) *Bank* has the meanings provided in (1) 12 U.S.C. 1841(c), and includes a branch or agency of a foreign bank, or a commercial lending company controlled by a foreign bank or by a company that controls a foreign bank, where the branch or agency is maintained in a State of the United States