as used in the Act may be found in part 779 of this chapter.

(2) Public and private elementary and secondary schools and institutions of higher education are, as a rule, not retail or service establishments, because they are not engaged in sales of goods or services to which the retail concept applies. Under section 13(a)(2)(iii) of the Act prior to the 1966 amendments, it was possible for private schools for physically or mentally handicapped or gifted children to qualify as retail or service establishments if they met the statutory tests, because the special types of services provided to their students were considered by Congress to be of a kind that may be recognized as retail. Such schools, unless the nature of their operations has changed, may continue to qualify as retail or service establishments and, if they do, may utilize the greater tolerance for nonexempt work provided for executive and administrative employees of retail or service establishments under section 13(a)(1) of the Act.

(3) The legislative history of the Act makes it plain that an establishment engaged in laundering, cleaning, or repairing clothing or fabrics is not a retail or service establishment. When the Act was amended in 1949, Congress excluded such establishments from the exemption under section 13(a)(2) because of the lack of a retail concept in the services sold by such establishments, and provided a separate exemption for them which did not depend on status as a retailer. Again in 1966, when this exemption was repealed. Congress made it plain by exclusionary language that the exemption for retail or service establishments was not to be applied to laundries or dry cleaners.

(c) There are two special exceptions to the percentage limitations of paragraph (a) of this section:

(1) That relating to the employee in "sole charge" of an independent or branch establishment, and

(2) That relating to an employee owning a 20-percent interest in the enterprise in which he is employed. These except the employee only from the percentage limitations on nonexempt work. They do not except the employee from any of the other requirements of §541.1. Thus, while the percentage limi-

tations on nonexempt work are not applicable, it is clear that an employee would not qualify for the exemption if he performs so much nonexempt work that he could no longer meet the requirement of §541.1(a) that his primary duty must consist of the management of the enterprise in which he is employed or of a customarily recognized department or subdivision thereof.

## §541.113 Sole-charge exception.

(a) An exception from the percentage limitations on nonexempt work is provided in §541.1(e) for "an employee who is in sole charge of an independent establishment or a physically separated branch establishment \* \* \*". Such an employee is considered to be employed in a bona fide executive capacity even though he exceeds the applicable percentage limitation on nonexempt work.

(b) The term "independent establishment" must be given full weight. The establishment must have a fixed location and must be geographically separated from other company property. The management of operations within one among several buildings located on a single or adjoining tracts of company property does not qualify for the exemption under this heading. In the case of a branch, there must be a true and complete physical separation from the main office.

(c)(1) A determination as to the status as "an independent establishment or a physically separated branch establishment" of any part of the business operations on the premises of a retail or other establishment, however, must be made on the basis of the physical and economic facts in the particular situation. (See 29 CFR 779.225, 779.305, 779.306.) A leased department cannot be considered to be a separate establishment where, for example, it and the retail store in which it is located operate under a common trade name and the store may determine, or have the power to determine, the leased department's space location, the type of merchandise it will sell its pricing policy, its hours of operation and some or all of its hiring, firing, and other personnel policies, and matters such as advertising, adjustment, and credit operations, insurance and taxes, are handled on a unified basis by the store.

## §541.114

(2) A leased department may qualify as a separate establishment, however, where, among other things, the facts show that the lessee maintains a separate entrance and operates under a separate name, with its own separate employees and records, and in other respects conducts his business independently of the lessor's. In such a case the leased department would enjoy the same status as a physically separated branch store.

(d) Since the employee must be in "sole charge, only one person in any establishment can qualify as an executive under this exception, and then only if he is the top person in charge at that location. (It is possible for other persons in the same establishment to qualify for exemption as executive employees, but not under the exception from the nonexempt work limitation.) Thus, it would not be applicable to an employee who is in charge of a branch establishment but whose superior makes his office on the premises. An example is a district manager who has overall supervisory functions in relation to a number of branch offices, but makes his office at one of the branches. The branch manager at the branch where the district manager's office is located is not in "sole charge" of the establishment and does not come within the exception. This does not mean that the "sole-charge" status of an employee will be considered lost because of an occasional visit to the branch office of the superior of the person in charge, or, in the case of an independent establishment by the visit for a short period on 1 or 2 days a week of the proprietor or principal corporate officer of the establishment. In these situations the solecharge status of the employee in question will appear from the facts as to his functions, particularly in the intervals between visits. If, during these intervals, the decisions normally made by an executive in charge of a branch or an independent establishment are reserved for the superior, the employee is not in sole charge. If such decisions are not reserved for the superior, the solecharge status will not be lost merely because of the superior's visits.

(e) In order to qualify for the exception the employee must ordinarily be in charge of all the company activities

at the location where he is employed. If he is in charge of only a portion of the company's activities at his location, then he cannot be said to be in sole charge of an independent establishment or a physically separated branch establishment. In exceptional cases the divisions have found that an executive employee may be in sole charge of all activities at a branch office except that one independent function which is not integrated with those managed by the executive is also performed at the branch. This one function is not important to the activities managed by the executive and constitutes only an insignificant portion of the employer's activities at that branch. A typical example of this type of situation is one in which "desk space" in a warehouse otherwise devoted to the storage and shipment of parts is assigned a salesman who reports to the sales manager or other company official located at the home office. Normally only one employee (at most two or three, but in any event an insignificant number when compared with the total number of persons employed at the branch) is engaged in the nonintegrated function for which the executive whose solecharge status is in question is not responsible. Under such circumstances the employee does not lose his "solecharge" status merely because of the desk-space assignment.

## § 541.114 Exception for owners of 20percent interest.

(a) An exception from the percentage limitations on nonexempt work is provided in §541.1(e) for an employee "who owns at least a 20-percent interest in the enterprise in which he is employed". This provision recognizes the special status of a shareholder of an enterprise who is actively engaged in its management.

(b) The exception is available to an employee owning a bona fide 20-percent equity in the enterprise in which he is employed regardless of whether the business is a corporate or other type of organization.

## § 541.115 Working foremen.

(a) The primary purpose of the exclusionary language placing a limitation on the amount of nonexempt work is to