

§ 22.525

CFR part 216. Such institutions are identified on the Governmentwide “List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs,” as being ineligible to receive awards of DoD funds (note that 32 CFR 25.505(d) requires the grants officer to check the list prior to determining that a recipient is qualified to receive an award).

(2) [Reserved].

(3) Not consent to any subaward of DoD funds to such an organization, under a grant or cooperative agreement to any recipient, if such subaward requires the grants officer’s consent.

(4) Include the clause in paragraph (f) of this section in each grant or cooperative agreement with an institution of higher education. Note that this requirement does not flow down (i.e., recipients are not required to include the clause in subawards).

(5) If an institution of higher education refuses to accept the clause in paragraph (f) of this section:

(i) Determine that the institution is not qualified with respect to the award. The grants officer may award to an alternative recipient.

(ii) Transmit the name of the institution, through appropriate channels, to the Director for Accession Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management Policy, OASD(FMP), 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000. This will allow OASD(FMP) to decide whether to initiate an evaluation of the institution under 32 CFR part 216, to determine whether it is an institution that has a policy or practice described in paragraph (c) of this section.

(f) *Clause for award documents.* The following clause is to be included in grants and cooperative agreements with institutions of higher education:

“As a condition for receipt of funds available to the Department of Defense (DoD) under this award, the recipient agrees that it is not an institution of higher education (as defined in 32 CFR part 216) that has a policy of denying, and that it is not an institution of higher education that effectively prevents, the Secretary of Defense from obtaining for military recruiting purposes: (A) Entry to campuses or access to students on campuses; or (B) access to directory information pertaining to students. If the recipient is deter-

32 CFR Ch. I (7–1–98 Edition)

mined, using the procedures in 32 CFR part 216, to be such an institution of higher education during the period of performance of this agreement, and therefore to be in breach of this clause, the Government will cease all payments of DoD funds under this agreement and all other DoD grants and cooperative agreements to the recipient, and it may suspend or terminate such grants and agreements unilaterally for material failure to comply with the terms and conditions of award.”

**§ 22.525 Paperwork Reduction Act.**

Grants officers shall include appropriate award terms or conditions, if a recipient’s activities under an award will be subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3500, et seq.):

(a) Generally, the Act only applies to Federal agencies—it requires agencies to obtain clearance from the Office of Management and Budget before collecting information using forms, schedules, questionnaires, or other methods calling either for answers to:

(1) Identical questions from ten or more persons other than agencies, instrumentalities, or employees of the United States.

(2) Questions from agencies, instrumentalities, or employees of the United States which are to be used for statistical compilations of general public interest.

(b) The Act applies to similar collections of information by recipients of grants or cooperative agreements only when:

(1) A recipient collects information at the specific request of the awarding Federal agency; or

(2) The terms and conditions of the award require specific approval by the agency of the information collection or the collection procedures.

**§ 22.530 Metric system of measurement.**

(a) *Statutory requirement.* The Metric Conversion Act of 1975, as amended by the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (15 U.S.C. 205) and implemented by Executive Order 12770 (3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 343), states that:

(1) The metric system is the preferred measurement system for U.S. trade and commerce.