

§ 52.1880

§ 52.1879 Review of new sources and modifications.

* * * * *

(e) Approval— The USEPA is approving exemption requests submitted by the State of Ohio on March 18, November 1, and November 15, 1994, from the requirements contained in Section 182(f) of the Clean Air Act. This approval exempts the following counties in Ohio from the NOx-related general and transportation conformity provisions; and non-attainment area NSR for new sources and modifications that are major for NOx= Clinton, Columbiana, Delaware, Franklin, Jefferson, Licking, Mahoning, Preble, Stark, and Trumbull. This approval also exempts the following counties in Ohio from the NOx-related general conformity provisions, non-attainment area NSR for new sources and modifications that are major for NOx, NOx RACT; and a demonstration of compliance with the enhanced I/M performance standard for NOx= Ashtabula, Butler, Clermont, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Hamilton, Lake, Lorain, Medina, Portage, Summit and Warren. If, prior to redesignation to attainment, a violation of the ozone NAAQS is monitored in the Canton, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Youngstown, and Steubenville areas, Preble County and Clinton County, the exemptions from the requirements of Section 182(f) of the Act in the applicable area(s) shall no longer apply.

* * * * *

§ 52.1880 Control strategy: Particulate matter.

(a) The requirements of subpart G of this chapter are not met because the Ohio plan does not provide for attainment and maintenance of the secondary standards for particulate matter in the Greater Metropolitan Cleveland Intrastate Region and the Ohio portions of the Northwest Pennsylvania-Youngstown and the Steubenville-Weirton-Wheeling Interstate Regions.

(b) In Pickaway County, Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company, or any subsequent owner or operator of the Picway Generating Station, shall not operate simultaneously Units 3 and 4 (boilers 7 and 8) at any time. These units will terminate operation no later than October 1, 1980.

(c) Ohio Regulation EP-12 (open burning) is disapproved insofar as EP-12-03(D)(1) and EP-12-04(D)(1) allow

open burning of hazardous or toxic materials.

(d) Part D—Limited disapproval— Notwithstanding the approval of rules as specified in § 52.1870(c)(97), USEPA disapproves the plan for Cuyahoga County because the plan fails to require timely implementation of reasonably available control measures and fails to assure attainment, and USEPA disapproves the plan for Jefferson County because the plan fails to assure attainment.

(e)-(f) [Reserved]

(g) The B.F. Goodrich Chemical Plant State Implementation Plan revision is being disapproved because it is not supported by an adequate attainment demonstration and therefore does not meet the requirements of § 51.13(e).

(h) Approval. On January 4, 1989, the State of Ohio submitted a committal SIP for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM10) for Ohio's Group II areas. The Group II areas of concern are in Belmont, Butler, Columbiana, Franklin, Hamilton, Lorain, Mahoning, Montgomery, Richland, Sandusky, Scioto, Seneca, Stark, Summit, Trumbull, and Wyandot Counties. The committal SIP contains all the requirements identified in the July 1, 1987, promulgation of the SIP requirements for PM10 at 52 FR 24681.

(i) Part D—Disapproval—Ohio's Part D TSP plan for the Middletown area is disapproved. Although USEPA is disapproving the plan, the emission limitations and other requirements in the federally approved SIP remain in effect. See § 52.1870(c)(27).

[39 FR 13542, Apr. 15, 1974, as amended at 43 FR 4259, Feb. 1, 1978; 43 FR 4611, Feb. 3, 1978; 43 FR 16736, Apr. 20, 1978; 46 FR 19472, Mar. 31, 1981; 46 FR 43423, Aug. 28, 1981; 49 FR 48544, Dec. 13, 1984; 50 FR 5250, Feb. 7, 1985; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 55 FR 11371, Mar. 28, 1990; 55 FR 29846, July 23, 1990; 59 FR 27472, May 27, 1994]

§ 52.1881 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides (sulfur dioxide).

(a) USEPA is approving, disapproving or taking no action on various portions of the Ohio sulfur dioxide control plan as noticed below. The disapproved portions of the Ohio plan do not meet the requirements of § 51.13 of this chapter