

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.2230

that the State by October 31, 1984, submit:

(1) Definitions in the local regulation of *nearby* and *excessive concentration* and

(2) Provision in the local regulation for public notification and opportunity for hearing in cases where stack heights in excess of normal good engineering practice are proposed on the basis of fluid modeling demonstrations, and, in the interim, assure implementation of the local regulation in conformity with Federal requirements.

(c) The State of Tennessee proposed to delete section 1200-3-18-.03 "Standard for New Sources" from the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP) and the Memphis-Shelby County portion of the Tennessee SIP. EPA is disapproving the deletion of this rule for the Tennessee SIP because Tennessee does not have federally approved New Source Review (NSR) regulations which apply to some of the sources in this chapter. EPA is approving the deletion of this rule for the Memphis submittal because the federally approved TN NSR applies to the Memphis-Shelby County area.

(d) The State of Tennessee proposed to delete rule 1200-3-18-.03 "Standard for New Sources" from the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP). In paragraph (e) of this section, EPA disapproved the deletion of this rule because Tennessee did not have federally

approved New Source Review (NSR) regulations that applied to some of the sources in this chapter. EPA is hereby approving the deletion of section 1200-3-18-.03 of the Tennessee SIP, and is deleting EPA's earlier disapproval in paragraph (e) of this section.

[39 FR 7284, Feb. 25, 1974, as amended at 48 FR 50080, Oct. 31, 1983; 50 FR 32413, Aug. 12, 1985; 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 59 FR 18317, Apr. 18, 1994; 60 FR 7917, Feb. 10, 1995; 60 FR 33924, June 29, 1995]

§ 52.2229 Rules and regulations.

(a) The following portions of the revised Memphis and Shelby County regulations submitted on July 7, 1986, are disapproved because they are inconsistent with EPA policy and requirements:

16-77, Rules 1200-3-9-.01(3); 1200-3-9-.01(4)(o)(2)

(b) Knox County Regulation 25.2.B, submitted July 7, 1986, is disapproved because it is inconsistent with EPA policy and requirements.

[54 FR 25458, June 15, 1989, as amended at 54 FR 31954, Aug. 3, 1989]

§ 52.2230 Attainment dates for national standards.

The following table presents the latest dates by which the national standards are to be attained. The dates reflect the information presented in Tennessee's plan.

Air quality control region	Pollutant						
	Particulate matter		Sulfur oxides		Nitrogen dioxide	Carbon monoxide	Ozone
	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Eastern Tennessee-Southwestern Virginia Interstate:							
a. Sullivan County: Bristol and Kingsport nonattainment areas ¹	d	d	c	c	b	b	d
b. Campbell County nonattainment areas ¹	d	d	c	c	b	b	b
c. Anderson/Knox County nonattainment area ¹	c	d	c	c	b	b	b
d. Copperhill nonattainment area ¹	c	c	d	f	b	b	b
e. Knox County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	c	c	b	d	d
f. Bradley County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	c	c	b	b	d
g. Roane County nonattainment area	c	c	c	c	b	b	d
h. Rest of AQCR	c	c	c	c	b	b	b
Tennessee River Valley-Cumberland Mountains Intrastate	c	c	c	c	b	b	b
Middle Tennessee Intrastate:							
a. Benton/Humphreys County nonattainment areas ¹	c	c	d	d	b	b	c

Air quality control region	Pollutant						
	Particulate matter		Sulfur oxides		Nitrogen dioxide	Carbon monoxide	Ozone
	Primary	Sec- ondary	Primary	Sec- ondary			
b. Davidson County nonattainment area ¹	d	d	c	c	b	e	d
c. Maury County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	b	b	b	b	d
d. Rest of AQCR	c	c	b	b	b	b	c
Western Tennessee Intrastate:							
a. Benton/Humphreys County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	d	d	b	b	b
b. Rest of AQCR	c	c	b	b	b	b	b
Chattanooga Interstate:							
a. Hamilton County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	b	b	b	b	d
b. Rest of AQCR	c	c	b	b	b	b	b
Metropolitan Memphis:							
a. Shelby County nonattainment area ¹	c	c	b	b	b	e	d
b. Rest of AQCR	c	c	b	b	b	b	c

¹ For more precise delineation, see § 81.343 of this chapter.
a. Air quality levels presently below primary standards or area is unclassifiable.
b. Air quality levels presently below secondary standards or area is unclassifiable.
c. July 1975.
d. December 31, 1982.
e. December 31, 1987.
f. 18-month extension granted.

[45 FR 53818, Aug. 13, 1980, as amended at 45 FR 75661, Nov. 17, 1980; 49 FR 1343, Jan. 11, 1984]

§ 52.2231 Control strategy: Sulfur oxides and particulate matter.

(a) Part D conditional approval. The Chattanooga primary TSP plan's provisions for review of new sources and modifications in the nonattainment area are approved on condition that the State submit by December 31, 1987, a definition of the term *Federally enforceable* and provisions for making Federally enforceable all limitations, conditions, and offsets, including permit restrictions, relied upon under the plan, and in the interim, implement these provisions in a manner consistent with EPA requirements.

(b) In letters dated March 9 and April 15, 1988, the Tennessee Department of Health and Environment certified that no emission limits in the State's plan are based on dispersion techniques not permitted by EPA's stack height rules. This certification does not apply to: Dupont (43-07-02); Tennessee Valley Authority—Johnsonville (43-11-1 thru 10); Tennessee Chemical Company (70-04-21); Tennessee Eastman (82-03-15-19); A.E. Staley (53-81-18, 19, 34, 31); Cargill

Inc., Memphis; and Grace Chemical Company, Millington.

[52 FR 15498, Apr. 29, 1987, as amended at 54 FR 25454, June 15, 1989]

§ 52.2232 [Reserved]

§ 52.2233 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a)(1) Paragraph 1200-3-9-.01(4)-(0)-2. of Tennessee's regulations is disapproved because it does not require that the consent of the Governor(s) of affected states be obtained when innovative technology waivers are granted. EPA retains permitting authority for sources requesting innovative technology waivers which would significantly impact air quality in adjacent states.

(2) Tennessee's definition of *stationary source* specifically excludes *the activities of any Vessel*. This exclusion is not currently approvable and EPA is deferring action on it pending final rulemaking on the issue. EPA retains authority for permits which involve vessel emissions where a source is not willing to include all vessel emissions in the definition of source.

(b) The requirements of 52.21 (b) through (w) are hereby incorporated by