

The PSO must demonstrate that the financial arrangements among its affiliated providers constitute “substantial” risk in the PSO for each affiliated provider. The following mechanisms may constitute risk-sharing arrangements, and may have to be used in combination to demonstrate substantial financial risk in the PSO enterprise.

(1) Agreement by a provider to accept capitation payment for each Medicare enrollee.

(2) Agreement by a provider to accept as payment a predetermined percentage of the PSO premium or the PSO’s revenue.

(3) The PSO’s use of significant financial incentives for its affiliated providers, with the aim of achieving utilization management and cost containment goals. Permissible methods include the following:

(i) Affiliated providers agree to a withholding of a significant amount of the compensation due them, to be used for any of the following:

(A) To cover losses of the PSO.

(B) To cover losses of other affiliated providers.

(C) To be returned to the affiliated provider if the PSO meets its utilization management or cost containment goals for the specified time period.

(D) To be distributed among affiliated providers if the PSO meets its utilization management or cost-containment goals for the specified time period.

(ii) Affiliated providers agree to preestablished cost or utilization targets for the PSO and to subsequent significant financial rewards and penalties (which may include a reduction in payments to the provider) based on the PSO’s performance in meeting the targets.

(4) Other mechanisms that demonstrate significant shared financial risk.

(b) *Determining majority financial interest.* Majority financial interest means maintaining effective control of the PSO.

[63 FR 18134, Apr. 14, 1998, as amended at 63 FR 35098, June 26, 1998]

§ 422.370 Waiver of State licensure.

For an organization that seeks to contract to offer an M+C plan under

this subpart, HCFA may waive the State licensure requirement of section 1855(a)(1) of the Act if—

(a) The organization requests a waiver no later than November 1, 2002; and

(b) HCFA determines there is a basis for a waiver under § 422.372.

[63 FR 25376, May 7, 1998, as amended at 63 FR 35098, June 26, 1998]

§ 422.372 Basis for waiver of State licensure.

(a) *General rule.* Subject to this section and to paragraphs (a) and (e) of § 422.374, HCFA may waive the State licensure requirement if the organization has applied (except as provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section) for the most closely appropriate State license or authority to conduct business as an M+C plan.

(b) *Basis for waiver of State licensure.* Any of the following may constitute a basis for HCFA’s waiver of State licensure.

(1) *Failure to act timely on application.* The State failed to complete action on the licensing application within 90 days of the date the State received a substantially complete application.

(2) *Denial of application based on discriminatory treatment.* The State has—

(i) Denied the license application on the basis of material requirements, procedures, or standards (other than solvency requirements) not generally applied by the State to other entities engaged in a substantially similar business; or

(ii) Required, as a condition of licensure that the organization offer any product or plan other than an M+C plan.

(3) *Denial of application based on different solvency requirements.* (i) The State has denied the application, in whole or in part, on the basis of the organization’s failure to meet solvency requirements that are different from those set forth in §§ 422.380 through 422.390; or

(ii) HCFA determines that the State has imposed, as a condition of licensure, any documentation or information requirements relating to solvency or other material requirements, procedures, or standards relating to solvency that are different from the requirements, procedures, or standards