

§ 466.104

§ 466.104 Coordination of activities.

In order to achieve efficient and economical review, a PRO must coordinate its activities (including information exchanges) with the activities of—

- (a) Medicare fiscal intermediaries and carriers;
- (b) Other PROs; and
- (c) Other public or private review organizations as may be appropriate.

PART 473—RECONSIDERATIONS AND APPEALS

Subpart A [Reserved]

Subpart B—Utilization and Quality Control Peer Review Organization (PRO) Reconsiderations and Appeals

Sec.

- 473.10 Scope.
- 473.12 Statutory basis.
- 473.14 Applicability.
- 473.15 PRO review of changes resulting from DRG validation.
- 473.16 Right to reconsideration.
- 473.18 Location for submitting requests for reconsideration.
- 473.20 Time limits for requesting reconsideration.
- 473.22 Good cause for late filing of a request for a reconsideration or hearing.
- 473.24 Opportunity for a party to obtain and submit information.
- 473.26 Delegation of the reconsideration function.
- 473.28 Qualifications of a reconsideration reviewer.
- 473.30 Evidence to be considered by the reconsideration reviewer.
- 473.32 Time limits for issuance of the reconsidered determination.
- 473.34 Notice of a reconsidered determination.
- 473.36 Record of reconsideration.
- 473.38 Effect of a reconsidered determination.
- 473.40 Beneficiary's right to a hearing.
- 473.42 Submitting a request for a hearing.
- 473.44 Determining the amount in controversy for a hearing.
- 473.46 Departmental Appeals Board and judicial review.
- 473.48 Reopening and revision of a reconsidered determination or a hearing decision.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

Subpart A [Reserved]

42 CFR Ch. IV (10–1–99 Edition)

Subpart B—Utilization and Quality Control Peer Review Organization (PRO) Reconsiderations and Appeals

SOURCE: 50 FR 15372, Apr. 17, 1985, unless otherwise noted.

§ 473.10 Scope.

This subpart establishes the requirements and procedures for—

(a) Reconsiderations conducted by a Utilization and Quality Control Peer Review Organization (PRO) or its subcontractor of initial denial determinations concerning services furnished or proposed to be furnished under Medicare;

(b) Hearings and judicial review of reconsidered determinations; and

(c) PRO review of a change in diagnostic and procedural coding information.

[50 FR 15372, Apr. 17, 1985; 50 FR 41887, Oct. 16, 1985]

§ 473.12 Statutory basis.

(a) Under section 1154 of the Act, a PRO may make an initial determination that services furnished or proposed to be furnished are not reasonable, necessary, or delivered in the most appropriate setting.

(b) Under section 1155 of the Act, the following rules apply:

(1) A Medicare beneficiary, a provider, or an attending practitioner who is dissatisfied with an initial denial determination under paragraph (a) of this section is entitled to a reconsideration by the PRO that made that determination.

(2) The beneficiary is also entitled to the following:

(i) A hearing by an administrative law judge if \$200 or more is still in controversy after a reconsidered determination.

(ii) Judicial review if \$2000 or more is still in controversy after a final determination by the Department.

(c) Under section 1866(a)(1)(F) of the Act, a hospital that is reimbursed by the Medicare program must maintain an agreement with a PRO under which