

not medically necessary, are not reasonable, or are not at the appropriate level of care, is an initial denial determination and is appealable under part 473 of this chapter.

§ 476.84 Changes as a result of DRG validation.

A provider or practitioner may obtain a review by a PRO under part 473 of this chapter for changes in diagnostic and procedural coding that resulted in a change in DRG assignment as a result of PRO validation activities.

§ 476.85 Conclusive effect of PRO initial denial determinations and changes as a result of DRG validations.

A PRO initial denial determination or change as a result of DRG validation is final and binding unless, in accordance with the procedures in part 473—

- (a) The initial denial determination is reconsidered and revised; or
- (b) The change as a result of DRG validation is reviewed and revised.

§ 476.86 Correlation of Title XI functions with Title XVIII functions.

(a) *Payment determinations.* (1) PRO initial denial determinations under this part with regard to the reasonableness, medical necessity, and appropriateness of placement at an acute level of patient care as are also conclusive for payment purposes with regard to the following medical issues:

- (i) Whether inpatient care furnished in a psychiatric hospital meets the requirements of § 424.14 of this chapter.
- (ii) Whether payment for inpatient hospital or SNF care beyond 20 consecutive days is precluded under § 489.50 of this chapter because of failure to perform review of long-stay cases.
- (iii) Whether the care furnished was custodial care or care not reasonable and necessary and, as such, excluded under § 405.310(g) or § 405.310(k) of this chapter.
- (iv) Whether the care was appropriately furnished in the inpatient or outpatient setting.

(2) Reviews with respect to determinations listed in paragraph (a)(1) of this section must not be conducted, for purposes of payment, by Medicare fis-

cal intermediaries or carriers except as outlined in paragraph (c) of this section.

(3) PROs make determinations as to the appropriateness of the location in which procedures are performed. A procedure may be medically necessary but denied if the PRO determines that it could, consistent with the provision of appropriate medical care, be effectively provided more economically on an outpatient basis or in an inpatient health care facility of a different type.

(4) PRO determinations as to whether the provider and the beneficiary knew or could reasonably be expected to have known that the services described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section were excluded are also conclusive for payment purposes.

(b) *Utilization review activities.* PRO review activities to determine whether inpatient hospital or SNF care services are reasonable and medically necessary and are furnished at the appropriate level of care fulfill the utilization review requirements set forth in §§ 405.1035, 405.1042, and 405.1137 of this chapter.

(c) *Coverage.* Nothing in paragraphs (a) (1) and (3) of this section will be construed as precluding HCFA or a Medicare fiscal intermediary or carrier, in the proper exercise of its duties and functions, from reviewing claims to determine:

(1) In the case of items or services not reviewed by a PRO, whether they meet coverage requirements of Title XVIII relating to medical necessity, reasonableness, or appropriateness of placement at an acute level of patient care. However, if a coverage determination pertains to medical necessity, reasonableness, or appropriateness of placement at an acute level of patient care, the fiscal intermediary or carrier must use a PRO to make a determination on those issues if a PRO is conducting review in the area and must abide by the PRO's determination.

(2) Whether any claim meets coverage requirements of Title XVIII relating to issues other than medical necessity, reasonableness or appropriateness of placement at an acute level of patient care.