

**National Transportation Safety Board**

**§ 826.21**

statutory cap by indexing it to reflect cost of living increases, as authorized in 5 U.S.C. 504(b)(1)(A)(ii). Application of these increased rate caps requires affirmative findings under §821.6(c) of this chapter. For ease of application, available U.S. City figures are reproduced as follows:

1981 .....	90.9
1982 .....	96.5
1983 .....	99.6
1984 .....	103.9
1985 .....	107.6
1986 .....	109.6
1987 .....	113.6
1988 .....	118.3
1989 .....	124.0
1990 .....	130.7
1991 .....	136.2
1992 .....	140.3
1993 .....	144.5

(2) No award to compensate an expert witness may exceed the highest rate at which the agency pays expert witnesses. However, an award may also include the reasonable expenses of the attorney, agent, or witness as a separate item, if the attorney, agent, or witness ordinarily charges clients separately for such expenses.

(c) In determining the reasonableness of the fee sought for an attorney, agent, or expert witness, the administrative law judge shall consider the following:

- (1) If the attorney, agent, or witness is in private practice, his or her customary fee for similar services, or if an employee of the applicant, the fully allocated cost of the services;
  - (2) The prevailing rate for similar services in the community in which the attorney, agent, or witness ordinarily performs services;
  - (3) The time actually spent in the representation of the applicant;
  - (4) The time reasonably spent in light of the difficulty or complexity of the issues in the proceeding; and
  - (5) Such other factors as may bear on the value of the services provided.
- (d) The reasonable cost of any study, analysis, engineering report, test, project, or similar matter prepared on behalf of a party may be awarded, to the extent that the charge for the service does not exceed the prevailing rate for similar services, and the study or

other matter was necessary for preparation of the applicant's case.

[46 FR 48209, Oct. 1, 1981, as amended at 58 FR 21544, Apr. 22, 1993; 59 FR 30531, June 14, 1994]

**§ 826.7 Rulemaking on maximum rates for attorney fees.**

(a) In addition to increases based on cost of living (*see* §826.6), attorney fees in some or all of the proceedings covered by this part may also be increased beyond the statutory cap of \$75 if warranted by special factors (such as limited availability of attorneys qualified to handle certain types of proceedings). The Board will conduct any rulemaking proceedings for this purpose under the informal rulemaking procedures of the Administrative Procedure Act.

(b) Any person may file with the Board a petition for rulemaking to increase the maximum rate for attorney fees by demonstrating that a special factor(s) justifies a higher fee. The petitioner shall identify the rate the petitioner believes the Board should establish and the proceeding(s) or types of proceedings in which the rate should be used. It should also explain fully the reasons why the higher rate is warranted. The Board will respond to the petition within 60 days after it is filed, by initiating a rulemaking proceeding, denying the petition, or taking other appropriate action.

[58 FR 21545, Apr. 22, 1993]

**§ 826.8 Awards against the Federal Aviation Administration.**

When an applicant is entitled to an award because it prevails over an agency of the United States that participates in a proceeding before the Board and takes a position that is not substantially justified, the award shall be made against that agency.

**Subpart B—Information Required From Applicants**

**§ 826.21 Contents of application.**

(a) An application for an award of fees and expenses under the Act shall identify the applicant and the proceeding for which an award is sought. The application shall show that the applicant has prevailed and identify the