

NMFS must provide EFH conservation recommendations to the Federal action agency using the best scientific information available to NMFS.

(j) *Responsibilities of Federal action agency following receipt of EFH conservation recommendations*—(1) *Federal action agency response*. As required by section 305(b)(4)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the Federal action agency must provide a detailed response in writing to NMFS and the appropriate Council within 30 days after receiving an EFH conservation recommendation. Such a response must be provided at least 10 days prior to final approval of the action, if a decision by the Federal agency is required in fewer than 30 days. The response must include a description of measures proposed by the agency for avoiding, mitigating, or offsetting the impact of the activity on EFH. In the case of a response that is inconsistent with NMFS conservation recommendations, the Federal action agency must explain its reasons for not following the recommendations, including the scientific justification for any disagreements with NMFS over the anticipated effects of the proposed action and the measures needed to avoid, minimize, mitigate, or offset such effects.

(2) *Further review of decisions inconsistent with NMFS or Council recommendations*. If a Federal action agency decision is inconsistent with a NMFS EFH conservation recommendation, the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries may request a meeting with the head of the Federal action agency, as well as any other agencies involved, to discuss the proposed action and opportunities for resolving any disagreements. If a Federal action agency decision is also inconsistent with a Council recommendation made pursuant to section 305(b)(3) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the Council may request that the Assistant Administrator initiate further review of the Federal agency's decision and involve the Council in any interagency discussion to resolve disagreements with the Federal agency. The Assistant Administrator will make every effort to accommodate such a request. Memoranda of agreement or other written procedures will be devel-

oped to further define such review processes with Federal action agencies.

(k) *Supplemental consultation*. A Federal action agency must reinitiate consultation with NMFS if the agency substantially revises its plans for an action in a manner that may adversely affect EFH or if new information becomes available that affects the basis for NMFS' EFH conservation recommendations.

§ 600.925 NMFS EFH conservation recommendations to Federal and state agencies.

(a) *General*. Under section 305(b)(4) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, NMFS is required to provide EFH conservation recommendations to Federal and state agencies for actions that would adversely affect EFH. NMFS EFH conservation recommendations will not suggest that state or Federal agencies take actions beyond their statutory authority.

(b) *Recommendations to Federal agencies*. For Federal actions, EFH conservation recommendations will be provided to Federal action agencies as part of EFH consultations conducted pursuant to § 600.920. These recommendations fulfill the requirements of section 305(b)(4)(A) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. If NMFS becomes aware of a Federal action that would adversely affect EFH, but for which a Federal agency has not completed an EFH consultation, NMFS may request that the Federal agency initiate EFH consultation or NMFS will provide EFH conservation recommendations based on the information available. NMFS will provide a copy of such recommendation to the appropriate Council(s).

(c) *Recommendations to state agencies*—

(1) *Establishment of procedures*. Each NMFS Region should use existing coordination procedures under statutes such as the Coastal Zone Management Act or establish new procedures to identify state actions that may adversely affect EFH, and for determining the most appropriate method for providing EFH conservation recommendations to the state agency. NMFS will provide a copy of such recommendation to the appropriate Council(s).

(2) *Coordination with states on recommendations to Federal agencies.* When an action that would adversely affect EFH requires authorization or funding by both Federal and state agencies, NMFS will provide the appropriate state agencies with copies of EFH conservation recommendations developed as part of the Federal consultation procedures in § 600.920. NMFS will also seek agreements on sharing information and copies of recommendations with Federal or state agencies conducting similar consultation and recommendation processes to ensure coordination of such efforts.

§ 600.930 Council comments and recommendations to Federal and state agencies.

(a) *Establishment of procedures.* Each Council should establish procedures for reviewing Federal or state actions that may adversely affect the EFH of a species managed under its authority. Each Council may receive information on actions of concern by methods such as: Directing Council staff to track proposed actions; recommending that the Council’s habitat committee identify actions of concern; or entering into an agreement with NMFS to have the appropriate Regional Administrator notify the Council of actions that may adversely impact EFH. Federal and state actions often follow specific timetables which may not coincide with Council meetings. Therefore, Councils should consider establishing abbreviated procedures for the development of Council recommendations.

(b) *Early involvement.* Councils should provide comments and recommendations on proposed state and Federal actions of concern as early as practicable in project planning to ensure thorough consideration of Council concerns by the action agency. Copies of Council comments and recommendations should be provided to NMFS.

(c) *Anadromous fishery resources.* For the purposes of the commenting requirement of section 305(b)(3)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, an “anadromous fishery resource under a Council’s authority” is an anadromous species that inhabits waters under the Council’s authority at some time during its life cycle.

PART 622—FISHERIES OF THE CARIBBEAN, GULF, AND SOUTH ATLANTIC

Subpart A—General Provisions

- Sec.
- 622.1 Purpose and scope.
- 622.2 Definitions and acronyms.
- 622.3 Relation to other laws and regulations.
- 622.4 Permits and fees.
- 622.5 Recordkeeping and reporting.
- 622.6 Vessel and gear identification.
- 622.7 Prohibitions.
- 622.8 At-sea observer coverage.
- 622.9 Gulf shrimp interim measures.

Subpart B—Effort Limitations

- 622.15 Wreckfish individual transferable quota (ITQ) system.
- 622.16 Red snapper individual transferable quota (ITQ) system.
- 622.17 South Atlantic golden crab controlled access.
- 622.18 South Atlantic snapper-grouper limited access.

Subpart C—Management Measures

- 622.30 Fishing years.
- 622.31 Prohibited gear and methods.
- 622.32 Prohibited and limited-harvest species.
- 622.33 Caribbean EEZ seasonal and/or area closures.
- 622.34 Gulf EEZ seasonal and/or area closures.
- 622.35 South Atlantic EEZ seasonal and/or area closures.
- 622.36 Seasonal harvest limitations.
- 622.37 Minimum sizes.
- 622.38 Landing fish intact.
- 622.39 Bag and possession limits.
- 622.40 Limitations on traps and pots.
- 622.41 Species specific limitations.
- 622.42 Quotas.
- 622.43 Closures.
- 622.44 Commercial trip limits.
- 622.45 Restrictions on sale/purchase.
- 622.46 Prevention of gear conflicts.
- 622.47 Gulf groundfish trawl fishery.
- 622.48 Adjustment of management measures.

- APPENDIX A TO PART 622—SPECIES TABLES
- APPENDIX B TO PART 622—GULF AREAS
- APPENDIX C TO PART 622—FISH LENGTH MEASUREMENTS
- APPENDIX D TO PART 622—SPECIFICATIONS FOR CERTIFIED BRDS

AUTHORITY: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

SOURCE: 61 FR 34934, July 3, 1996, unless otherwise noted.