Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.* versus *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (''Unfunded Mandates Act''), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of $100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action proposed does not include a federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of $100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

The Regional Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove the SIP revision will be based on whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A)–(K) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, and EPA regulations in 40 CFR Part 51.

The Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a ''major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: April 30, 1998.

William J. Muszynski,

Deputy Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 98–12720 Filed 5–12–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD067–3025b; FRL–6012–6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Definition of the Term ''Major Stationary Source of VOC''

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. This revision pertain to amendments to Maryland's definition of the term major stationary source of volatile organic compounds (VOC). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by June 12, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone and Mobile Sources Section, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maria A. Pino, (215) 566–2181, at the EPA Region III address above, or via e-mail at pino.maria@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, any comments must be submitted in writing to the EPA Region III address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title, pertaining to revisions to Maryland's definition of the term ''major stationary source of VOC,'' which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: April 24, 1998.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 98–12717 Filed 5–12–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[FRL–6012–2]

Approval of Section 112(l) Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Perchloroethylene Air Emission Standards for Dry Cleaning Facilities; State of California; South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and through the California Air Resources Board, South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) requested approval to implement and enforce its ''Rule 1421: Control of Perchloroethylene Emissions from Dry Cleaning Systems'' (Rule 1421) in place of the ''National Perchloroethylene Air Emission Standards for Dry Cleaning Facilities'' (dry cleaning NESHAP) for area sources under SCAQMD's jurisdiction. In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is granting SCAQMD the authority to implement and enforce Rule 1421 in place of the dry cleaning NESHAP for area sources under SCAQMD's jurisdiction as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action

and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this document, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposal. Any parties interested in commenting on this proposal should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 12, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the submitted request are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns SCAQMD Rule 1421, Control of Perchloroethylene Emissions from Dry Cleaning Systems, revised on June 13, 1997. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C., Section 7412.

Dated: April 10, 1998.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 98–12429 Filed 5–12–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 131

[FRL–OW–6013–4]

RIN–2040–AC65

Water Quality Standards for Alabama

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed Rule; Re-opening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is re-opening the public comment period on the proposed water quality standards that would be applicable to certain waters of the United States in the State of Alabama.

DATES: EPA will now accept public comments on this proposed rulemaking until June 3, 1998. Comments postmarked after this date may not be considered.

ADDRESSES: An original plus 2 copies, and if possible an electronic version of comments either in WordPerfect or ASCII format, should be addressed to Fritz Wagener, Water Quality Standards Coordinator, U.S. EPA Region 4, Water Management Division, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street S.W., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303–3104. The administrative record for this proposed rule is available for public inspection at U.S. EPA Region 4, Water Management Division, 15th Floor, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street S.W., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303–3104, between 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Copies of all or portions of the record will be made available for a charge of 20 cents per page.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fritz Wagener, Water Quality Standards Coordinator, U.S. EPA Region 4, Water Management Division, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street S.W., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303–3104 (telephone: 404–562–9267).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposed rule appeared in the **Federal Register** on March 5, 1998 (63 FR 10799) and provided for a public comment period of 60 days which closed on May 4, 1998. EPA has received requests from several interested parties for additional time to comment. These parties cited difficulty in obtaining and reviewing certain documents referenced in the administrative record within the comment period provided by EPA.

Dated: May 7, 1998.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Water.

[FR Doc. 98–12690 Filed 5–12–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

42 CFR Parts 405, 412, and 413

[HCFA–1003–CN]

RIN 0938–AI22

Medicare Program; Changes to the Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems and Fiscal Year 1999 Rates; Corrections

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: In the May 8, 1998 issue of the **Federal Register** (63 FR 25575), we published a proposed rule to revise the Medicare hospital inpatient prospective payment systems for operating costs and capital-related costs to implement necessary changes arising from our continuing experience with the system. This document corrects technical errors made in that document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Edwards, (410) 786–4531, Operating Prospective Payment, DRG, and Wage Index Issues.

Tzvi Hefter, (410) 786–4487, Capital Prospective Payment, Excluded Hospitals, and Graduate Medical Education Issues.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the May 8, 1998 proposed rule, we addressed caps on the target amounts for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 1999 for hospitals excluded from the hospital inpatient prospective payment systems. The caps that we published inadvertently reflect updates to the amounts published in the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period (62 FR 46019), rather than updates to the corrected amounts published in the March 6, 1998 correction notice for the final rule with comment period (63 FR 11148). This document corrects that error. Also incorrect amounts were listed in Tables 1A, 1C, 1D, 1E, and 1F. We inadvertently published the amounts from the August 29, 1997 final rule with comment period. Therefore, we are making the following corrections to the proposed rule:

1. On page 25601, end of the third column, the table is replaced with the following:

(1) Psychiatric hospitals and units: $10,797

(2) Rehabilitation hospitals and units: $19,582

(3) Long-term care hospitals: $38,630

2. On pages 25620 through 26521, Tables 1A, 1C, 1D, 1E, and 1F are corrected to read as follows: