

*Alternatives and Recommendation:*

The study examines four alternatives for future protection, interpretation, and management of the Ala Kahakai: a no-action alternative, a national historic trail (continuous), a state historic trail, and a national historic trail (discontinuous). Additional alternatives which were considered but rejected are summarized. The 60-day public review of the draft EIS ended on October 17, 1997. Four public meetings were held on September 3-5, 1997, in Captain Cook, Waimea, and Hilo. The final study concludes that the national historic trail (continuous) is the environmentally preferred alternative.

The environmental consequences and corresponding mitigations of the alternatives are evaluated in the document. It is anticipated that with funding adequate to implement the recommended planning and management, potential adverse environmental impacts of the action alternatives can be minimized or eliminated. After a 30-day no-action period, the National Park Service will prepare a Record of Decision. It will be forwarded along with the final study to the Secretary of the Interior to be transmitted to the Congress of the United States. Congress will decide which alternative is selected.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The no-action period for this document will end 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency's listing of the FEIS is published in the **Federal Register**. Comments may be submitted during this period and should be addressed to Superintendent, Pacific Great Basin Support Office, National Park Service, 600 Harrison Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, California 94107, Attention: Meredith Kaplan. For additional information, please write the National Park Service at that address or telephone 415-427-1438.

Copies of the study FEIS are available at the Pacific Great Basin Support Office at the above address and at the National Park Service Pacific Island Support Office, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 6305, Honolulu, HI 96850.

Dated: March 23, 1998.

**Holly Bundock,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West.*

[FR Doc. 98-8275 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

**INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT  
COOPERATION AGENCY****Agency for International Development****Notice of Public Information Collection  
Requirements Submitted to OMB for  
Review**

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) has submitted the following information collections to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Comments should be addressed to: Desk Officer for USAID, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, D.C. 20503. Copies of submission may be obtained by calling (202) 712-1365.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Number:* OMB 0412-0550.

*Form Numbers:* AID 1570-13 and AID 1570-14.

*Title:* Narrative/Time-Line and Report on Commodities (Quarterly Reports).

*Type of Submission:* Renew.

*Purpose:* The purpose of this information collection is to properly respond to the annual competition among applicants who apply on behalf of their sponsored overseas institutions, independent reviewers and ASHA need to assess the strength and capability of the U.S. organizations, the overseas institutions and the merits of their proposed projects. Easily accessible historical records on past accomplishments and performance by repeat USOs, would speed the grant making process and provide documented reasons for both successful and unsuccessful applications.

*Annual Reporting Burden:*

Respondents: 70.

Total annual responses: 1,470.

*Total annual hours requested:* 735.

Dated: March 20, 1998.

**Willette L. Smith,**

*Chief, Information and Records Division,  
Bureau for Management, Office of  
Administrative Services.*

[FR Doc. 98-8229 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-374 and 731-TA-780 (Preliminary)]

**Butter Cookies in Tins From Denmark  
Determinations**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)), that there is no reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from Denmark of butter cookies in tins, provided for in subheading 1905.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be subsidized by the Government of Denmark and sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

**Background**

On February 6, 1998, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by the Hearthside Baking Company, Inc. (D/B/A Maurice Lenell Cooky Company), Chicago, IL, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened with material injury by reason of subsidized and LTFV imports of butter cookies in tins from Denmark. Accordingly, effective February 6, 1998, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701-TA-374 (Preliminary) and antidumping investigation No. 731-TA-780 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of February 17, 1998 (63 FR 7828). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on February 27, 1998, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on March 23, 1998. The views of the Commission are

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Vice Chairman Bragg dissenting.