

reimbursement payments that KNE made to Plains and, therefore, that KNE should not be required to bear the burden of any refunds to its customers.

Plains' pleading in Docket No. GP98-25-000 is a continuation of Plains' claims and arguments in Docket No. GP97-6-000. In Docket No. GP98-25-000, Plains states that the aforementioned \$1,051,000 dividend that went to KNE is considerably greater than the principal and interest of \$987,399.45 that KNE's invoice shows that Plains owed as of July 1985.

Any person desiring to comment on or make any protest with respect to said petition should, on or before April 22, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-9490 Filed 4-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GP98-24-000]

Bill C. Romig; Notice of Petition

April 6, 1998.

Take notice that, on March 9, 1998, the Commission received a March 4, 1998 letter from Bill C. Romig (Romig), in which Romig asserts that the Commission's September 10, 1997 order, in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*,¹ on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals,² has no jurisdiction over him, because he is a royalty owner and the September 10 order pertains to first sellers who are required by that order to refund Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursements, with interest, for the

¹ See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

² *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997).

period from 1983 to 1988. Romig does not believe that he has any refund liability under the September 10 order, and seeks clarification as to whether such refund liability exists. Romig attaches a letter from Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) to Romig, dated January 21, 1998, indicating that Northern served Romig with a Statement of Refunds Due, because it paid Romig directly, rather than the unnamed first seller. Northern's January 21 letter further states that it expects Romig to refund the amounts in question. Romig's petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to comment on or make any protest with respect to said petition should, on or before April 22, 1998, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-9489 Filed 4-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC98-34-000, et al.]

Florida Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

April 3, 1998.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. EC98-34-000]

Take notice that on March 27, 1998, Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power), filed an Application under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization to sell jurisdictional substation facilities to the City of Mount Dora, Florida.

Florida Power explains that it has agreed to sell the Mount Dora

Distribution Substation in its entirety including all land, substation facilities and other equipment associated with the Substation and that the sale will allow the City of Mount Dora to purchase power from a number of bulk power providers which will result in savings to customers.

Comment date: April 27, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Origen Power Corp. and OGE Energy Corp.

[Docket No. EC98-33-000]

Take notice that on March 25, 1998, Origen Power Corp. (OPC) and OGE Energy Corp. (Energy Corp.), (together, the Applicants) submitted for filing, pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, and Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations, an Application in connection with the acquisition of jurisdictional assets through the purchase by Energy Corp., of 100% of the ownership interests in Oklahoma Loan Acquisition Corp. (OLAC) and the change of the name of OLAC to Origen Power Corp.

Comment date: April 27, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. New England Power Company and USGen New England, Inc.

[Docket No. EC98-35-000]

Take notice that on March 26, 1998, New England Power Company and USGen New England, Inc. submitted for filing, pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and Part 33 of the Commission's Regulations, an application seeking authorization for the transfer of rights to transmission capacity under certain contracts associated with the Hydro-Quebec Phase I and Phase II interconnections.

Copies of the filing have been served on regulatory agencies in the States of Massachusetts, Rhode Island and New Hampshire.

Comment date: April 27, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. EL98-34-000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1998, Southern California Edison Company (Edison), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a Petition for Declaratory Order. The petition asks the Commission to declare that Sacramento Municipal Utility District may not unilaterally abrogate or refuse to perform its obligations under its 1990 and 1994 system power sale agreements with Edison on the basis of