Dated: April 7, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 98–9633 Filed 4–10–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–HE–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 351.213 of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations (19 CFR 351.213 (1997)), that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity To Request a Review

Not later than the last day of April 1998, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in April for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Canada: Sugar and Syrups A–122–085	4/1/97–3/31/98
France: Sorbitol A–427–001	4/1/97–3/31/98
Greece: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide A–484–801	4/1/97–3/31/98
Japan:	
Calcium Hypochlorite A–588–401	4/1/97–3/31/98
Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide A–588–806	4/1/97–3/31/98
3.5″ Microdisks and Media Thereof A–588–802	4/1/97–3/31/98
Roller Chain, Other Than Bicycle A–588–028	4/1/97–3/31/98
Kazakhstan: Ferrosilicon A–823–804	4/1/97–3/31/98
Kenya: Standard Carnations A–779–602	4/1/97–3/31/98
Mexico: Fresh Cut Flowers A–201–601	4/1/97–3/31/98
Norway: Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon A–403–801	4/1/97–3/31/98
Republic of Korea: Color Television Receivers A–580–008	4/1/97–3/31/98
Taiwan: Color Television Receivers A–583–009	4/1/97–3/31/98
The People's Republic of China: Brake Rotors A–570–846	10/10/96–3/31/98
Turkey: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A–489–807	10/10/96–3/31/98
The Ukraine: Ferrosilicon A–823–804	4/1/97–3/31/98
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
Argentina: Wool C–357–002	1/1/97–12/31/97
Brazil: Pig Iron C–351–062	1/1/97–12/31/97
Norway: Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon C–403–802	1/1/97–12/31/97
Peru: Pompon Chrysanthemums C–333–601	1/1/97–12/31/97

Suspension Agreements

None

In accordance with section 351.213 of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. In recent revisions to its regulations, the Department has changed its requirements for requesting reviews for countervailing duty orders. Pursuant to 771(9) of the Act, an interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by the order or suspension agreement for which they are requesting a review (Department of Commerce Regulations, 62 FR 27295, 27424 (May 19, 1997)). Therefore, for both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Seven copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(l)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of ''Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation'' for requests received by the last day of April 1998. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of April 1998, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding,

or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: April 1, 1998.

Maria Harris Tildon,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–9686 Filed 4–10–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–811]

Steel Wire Rope From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review and revocation in part of antidumping duty order.

SUMMARY: On December 5, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its 1996–97 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire rope from the Republic of Korea and intent to revoke in part (62 FR 64354) (Preliminary Results). The review covers 15 manufacturers/exporters for the period March 1, 1996, through February 28, 1997 (the POR). We have analyzed the comments received on our preliminary results and no changes in the calculated margin are required. However, we have changed the adverse facts available rate. The final weighted-average dumping margins for each of the reviewed firms are listed in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann at (202) 482–5288 or James Kemp at (202) 482–0116; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 353 (1997).

Background

On December 5, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its 1996–97 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire rope from the Republic of Korea and intent to revoke in part. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. A case brief was filed by the petitioner, the Committee of Domestic Steel Wire Rope and Specialty Cable Manufacturers (the Committee); rebuttal briefs were filed by four respondents-Chung-Woo Rope Co., Ltd. (Chung Woo), Kumho Wire Rope Manufacturing Co., Ltd (Kumho), Ssang Yong Cable Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Ssang Yong), and Sung Jin Company (Sung Jin). There was no request for a hearing.

We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Revocation In Part

Chung Woo, Ssang Yong and Sung Jin have sold the subject merchandise at not less than normal value (NV) for four consecutive review periods,[1] including this review.[2] They have also submitted certifications that they will not sell at less than NV in the future, along with an agreement for immediate reinstatement of the order if such sales occur. Further, on the basis of no sales at less than NV for these periods and the lack of any indication that such sales are likely in the future, we have determined that Chung Woo, Ssang Yong and Sung Jin are not likely to sell the merchandise at less than NV in the future. Accordingly, we are revoking the order for Chung Woo, Ssang Yong and Sung Jin. Also, see our discussion in response to Comment 1.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is steel wire rope. Steel wire rope encompasses ropes, cables, and cordage of iron or carbon steel, other than stranded wire, not fitted with fittings or made up into articles, and not made up of brass-plated wire. Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings: 7312.10.9030, 7312.10.9060, and 7312.10.9090. Excluded from this review is stainless steel wire rope, i.e., ropes, cables and cordage other than stranded wire, of stainless steel, not fitted with fittings or made up into articles, which is classifiable under HTS subheading 7312.10.6000. Although HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Use of Facts Otherwise Available

In the preliminary results of this review, we determined, in accordance with section 776(a) of the Act, that the use of adverse facts available is appropriate for Boo Kook Corporation, Dong-Il Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Jinyang Wire Rope Inc., and Yeon Sin Metal because they did not respond to our antidumping questionnaire. None of these parties commented on this preliminary determination, nor have any arguments been presented which would cause us to reconsider the appropriateness of assigning margins based on adverse facts available in the final results.

In the April 9, 1997, final results of the last review (See Steel Wire Rope From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Order, 62 FR 17171, 1997) and in the preliminary results of the review, we stated our intent to reconsider the appropriateness of the facts available rate (1.51 percent) used in prior reviews.

Over the course of this proceeding, the Department has faced a pattern of continuous noncompliance on the part of a number of uncooperative respondents[3] that received facts available. Therefore, we have concluded that the magnitude of the rate in place for the three prior reviews does not offer the adequate sanction to induce the respondents to cooperate in the

---

[1] Section 353.25(a)(2) of the Department's regulations provides that a respondent may be eligible for revocation after a period of three years with no sales at less than fair value. However, Chung Woo, Ssang Yong and Sung Jin did not request revocation until the fourth review.

[2] Kumho also requested revocation, but later withdrew the request.

[3] We have applied facts available to seven companies in the first review, five companies in the second review, three companies in the third review and four companies in the instant review.