Union Calendar No. 17 ^{SS} H. CON. RES. 83

107th CONGRESS 1st Session

[Report No. 107-26]

Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2002, revising the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2001, and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for each of fiscal years 2003 through 2011.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 23, 2001

Mr. NUSSLE, from the Committee on the Budget, reported the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

- Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2002, revising the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2001, and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for each of fiscal years 2003 through 2011.
 - 1 Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate
 - 2 concurring),

1 SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET 2 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002.

3 The Congress declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2001 is hereby revised and 4 5 replaced and that this is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2002 and that the appropriate budg-6 7 etary levels for fiscal years 2003 through 2011 are hereby 8 set forth.

9 SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

10 The following budgetary levels are appropriate for 11 each of fiscal years 2001 through 2011:

| 12 | (1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the |
|----|---|
| 13 | enforcement of this resolution: |

14 (A) The recommended levels of Federal 15 revenues are as follows:

16 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,624,700,000,000.

17 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,635,800,000,000.

18 Fiscal year 2003: \$1,699,000,000,000.

19 Fiscal year 2004: \$1,755,700,000,000.

20 Fiscal year 2005: \$1,816,700,000,000.

21 Fiscal year 2006: \$1,872,200,000,000.

22 Fiscal year 2007: \$1,948,600,000,000.

23 Fiscal year 2008: \$2,041,700,000,000.

24 Fiscal year 2009: \$2,143,200,000,000.

25 Fiscal year 2010: \$2,256,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2011: \$2,378,000,000,000.

| 1 | (B) The amounts by which the aggregate |
|----|--|
| 2 | levels of Federal revenues should be reduced are |
| 3 | as follows: |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2001: \$5,800,000,000,000. |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2002: \$67,700,000,000. |
| 6 | Fiscal year 2003: \$83,100,000,000. |
| 7 | Fiscal year 2004: \$108,600,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2005: \$133,100,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2006: \$167,400,000,000. |
| 10 | Fiscal year 2007: \$187,100,000,000. |
| 11 | Fiscal year 2008: \$201,100,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2009: \$217,000,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2010: \$232,700,000,000. |
| 14 | Fiscal year 2011: \$240,900,000,000. |
| 15 | (2) New Budget Authority.—For purposes |
| 16 | of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate |
| 17 | levels of total new budget authority are as follows: |
| 18 | Fiscal year 2001: \$1,556,900,000,000. |
| 19 | Fiscal year 2002: \$1,613,700,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2003: \$1,660,300,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2004: \$1,723,200,000,000. |
| 22 | Fiscal year 2005: \$1,799,900,000,000. |
| 23 | Fiscal year 2006: \$1,851,600,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2007: \$1,918,000,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2008: \$1,998,500,000,000. |
| | |

| 1 | Fiscal year 2009: \$2,077,000,000,000. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Fiscal year 2010: \$2,161,500,000,000. |
| 3 | Fiscal year 2011: \$2,252,800,000,000. |
| 4 | (3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the |
| 5 | enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels |
| 6 | of total budget outlays are as follows: |
| 7 | Fiscal year 2001: \$1,508,900,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2002: \$1,579,800,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2003: \$1,634,600,000,000. |
| 10 | Fiscal year 2004: \$1,698,600,000,000. |
| 11 | Fiscal year 2005: \$1,777,600,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2006: \$1,825,700,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2007: \$1,889,900,000,000. |
| 14 | Fiscal year 2008: \$1,973,700,000,000. |
| 15 | Fiscal year 2009: \$2,053,600,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2010: \$2,139,900,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2011: \$2,230,200,000,000. |
| 18 | (4) SURPLUSES.—For purposes of the enforce- |
| 19 | ment of this resolution, the amounts of the surpluses |
| 20 | are as follows: |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2001: \$115,800,000,000. |
| 22 | Fiscal year 2002: \$56,000,000,000. |
| 23 | Fiscal year 2003: \$64,400,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2004: \$57,100,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2005: \$39,100,000,000. |
| | |

| 1 | Fiscal year 2006: \$46,500,000,000. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Fiscal year 2007: \$58,700,000,000. |
| 3 | Fiscal year 2008: \$68,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2009: \$89,600,000,000. |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2010: \$116,700,000,000. |
| 6 | Fiscal year 2011: \$156,800,000,000. |
| 7 | (5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of |
| 8 | the public debt are as follows: |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2001: \$5,575,000,000,000. |
| 10 | Fiscal year 2002: \$5,623,000,000,000. |
| 11 | Fiscal year 2003: \$5,674,000,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2004: \$5,733,000,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2005: \$5,807,000,000,000. |
| 14 | Fiscal year 2006: \$5,903,000,000,000. |
| 15 | Fiscal year 2007: \$6,394,000,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2008: \$6,972,000,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2009: \$7,596,000,000,000. |
| 18 | Fiscal year 2010: \$8,623,000,000,000. |
| 19 | Fiscal year 2011: \$9,436,000,000,000. |
| 20 | SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES. |
| 21 | The Congress determines and declares that the ap- |
| 22 | propriate levels of new budget authority and budget out- |
| 23 | lays for fiscal years 2001 through 2011 for each major |
| 24 | functional category are: |
| 25 | (1) National Defense (050): |
| | |

Fiscal year 2001: 1 2 (\mathbf{A}) budget New authority, 3 \$310,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$300,600,000,000. 4 Fiscal year 2002: 5 (A) authority, 6 New budget \$324,600,000,000. 7 (B) Outlays, \$319,300,000,000. 8 9 Fiscal year 2003: (A) budget authority, 10 New 11 \$333,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$325,500,000,000. 12 Fiscal year 2004: 13 14 (\mathbf{A}) New budget authority, 15 \$342,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$334,000,000,000. 16 17 Fiscal year 2005: 18 (\mathbf{A}) New budget authority, 19 \$352,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$347,200,000,000. 20 21 Fiscal year 2006: 22 (\mathbf{A}) New budget authority, 23 \$362,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$354,600,000,000. 24 Fiscal year 2007: 25

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2 | \$372,200,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$361,900,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$382,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$375,600,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$393,500,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$386,500,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$404,500,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$397,600,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$416,300,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$409,200,000,000. |
| 20 | (2) International Affairs (150): |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$22,400,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$19,700,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2002: |

| 1 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
|----|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| 2 | \$23,900,000,000. | | |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$1 | 19,600,000, | 000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2003: | | |
| 5 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
| 6 | \$23,900,000,000. | | |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$1 | 19,900,000, | 000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2004: | | |
| 9 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
| 10 | \$24,500,000,000. | | |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$2 | 20,400,000, | 000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2005: | | |
| 13 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
| 14 | \$25,400,000,000. | | |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$2 | 20,800,000, | 000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2006: | | |
| 17 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
| 18 | \$26,200,000,000. | | |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$2 | 21,400,000, | 000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2007: | | |
| 21 | (A) New | budget | authority, |
| 22 | \$26,900,000,000. | | |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$2 | 22,100,000, | 000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2008: | | |

| 1 | | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
|----|--------|----------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| 2 | | \$27,400 | ,000,000. | | |
| 3 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$22,800,000, | ,000. |
| 4 | | Fiscal ye | ear 2009: | | |
| 5 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 6 | | \$28,000 | ,000,000. | | |
| 7 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$23,600,000, | ,000. |
| 8 | | Fiscal ye | ear 2010: | | |
| 9 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 10 | | \$28,400 | ,000,000. | | |
| 11 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$24,200,000, | ,000. |
| 12 | | Fiscal ye | ear 2011: | | |
| 13 | | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 14 | | \$29,600 | ,000,000. | | |
| 15 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$25,000,000, | ,000. |
| 16 | (3) | General | Science, | Space, and | Technology |
| 17 | (250): | | | | |
| 18 | | Fiscal ye | ear 2001: | | |
| 19 | | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 20 | | \$21,000 | ,000,000. | | |
| 21 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$19,600,000, | ,000. |
| 22 | | Fiscal ye | ear 2002: | | |
| 23 | | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 24 | | \$22,200 | ,000,000. | | |
| 25 | | (B) | Outlays, | \$21,000,000, | ,000. |

| 1 | Fiscal year 2003: |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 3 | \$22,600,000,000. |
| 4 | (B) Outlays, \$21,900,000,000. |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$23,100,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$22,600,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$23,600,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$23,200,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$24,300,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$23,700,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$24,900,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$24,300,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$25,600,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$24,900,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2009: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$26,200,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$25,600,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$26,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$26,100,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$27,800,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$26,900,000,000. |
| 12 | (4) Energy (270): |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$1,200,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, -\$100,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$800,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, -\$200,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$800,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, -\$500,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2004: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|------------------------------|
| 2 | \$900,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, -\$600,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$900,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, -\$500,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$1,000,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, -\$400,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$1,100,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, -\$200,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$2,200,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$400,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$2,300,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$800,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| | |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--|
| 2 | \$2,300,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$1,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$2,200,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$900,000,000. |
| 8 | (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300): |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$28,000,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$26,400,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$26,700,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$26,400,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$26,800,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$27,000,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$27,700,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$27,500,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2005: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$27,900,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$27,700,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$28,000,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$27,800,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$28,600,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$28,300,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$29,300,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$28,800,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$30,600,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$29,900,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$31,200,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$30,500,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2011: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$32,400,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$31,500,000,000. |
| 4 | (6) Agriculture (350): |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$26,300,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$23,700,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$19,100,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$18,600,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$18,500,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$18,300,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| | |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--|
| 2 | \$17,900,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$16,500,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$15,600,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$14,100,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$15,800,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$14,400,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$15,900,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$16,100,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$14,700,000,000. |
| 24 | (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2001: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 2 | \$2,500,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, -\$800,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$7,400,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$4,400,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$8,600,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$3,200,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$12,800,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$8,600,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$12,700,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$12,700,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$8,400,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2007: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$13,500,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$9,200,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$13,900,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$9,300,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$14,300,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$9,600,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$18,700,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$12,800,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$13,500,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$9,800,000,000. |
| 20 | (8) Transportation (400): |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$62,100,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$51,700,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2002: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$61,000,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$55,600,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$58,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$58,300,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$59,200,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$60,200,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$59,700,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$62,000,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$60,300,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$63,700,000,000. |
| 20 | cal year 2007: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$60,800,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$64,900,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2008: |

| 1 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
|----|--------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| 2 | | \$61,300, | 000,000. | | |
| 3 | | (B) | Outlays, § | \$66,400,000 | 0,000. |
| 4 | | Fiscal ye | ar 2009: | | |
| 5 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 6 | | \$61,800, | 000,000. | | |
| 7 | | (B) | Outlays, S | \$68,000,000 | 0,000. |
| 8 | | Fiscal ye | ar 2010: | | |
| 9 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 10 | | \$62,200, | 000,000. | | |
| 11 | | (B) | Outlays, § | \$69,300,000 | 0,000. |
| 12 | | Fiscal ye | ar 2011: | | |
| 13 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 14 | | \$63,100, | 000,000. | | |
| 15 | | (B) | Outlays, § | \$71,200,000 | 0,000. |
| 16 | (9) | Commun | nity and | Regional | Development |
| 17 | (450): | | | | |
| 18 | | Fiscal ye | ar 2001: | | |
| 19 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 20 | | \$11,200, | 000,000. | | |
| 21 | | (B) | Outlays, § | \$11,400,000 | 0,000. |
| 22 | | Fiscal ye | ar 2002: | | |
| 23 | | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 24 | | \$10,100, | 000,000. | | |
| 25 | | (B) | Outlays, § | \$11,400,000 | 0,000. |

| 1 | Fiscal year 2003: |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 3 | \$10,300,000,000. |
| 4 | (B) Outlays, \$11,000,000,000. |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$10,600,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$10,900,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$11,200,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$10,300,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$11,500,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$10,500,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$11,800,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$10,800,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2009: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---|
| 2 | \$12,100,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$11,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$12,300,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$11,300,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$12,800,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$11,600,000,000. |
| 12 | (10) Education, Training, Employment, and |
| 13 | Social Services (500): |
| 14 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 15 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 16 | 76,900,000,000. |
| 17 | (B) Outlays, \$69,800,000,000. |
| 18 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 19 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 20 | \$82,100,000,000. |
| 21 | (B) Outlays, \$76,200,000,000. |
| 22 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 23 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 24 | \$82,000,000,000. |
| 25 | (B) Outlays, \$81,700,000,000. |

| 1 | Fiscal year 2004: |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 3 | \$83,900,000,000. |
| 4 | (B) Outlays, \$82,300,000,000. |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$87,300,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$84,800,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$90,200,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$87,700,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$92,800,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$90,400,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$95,700,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$93,000,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$98,400,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$95,900,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2010: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$100,500,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$98,400,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$104,600,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$101,400,000,000. |
| 8 | (11) Health (550): |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$182,600,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$175,500,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$204,000,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$201,100,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$229,700,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$225,800,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$246,500,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$244,700,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2005: |

| 1 | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
|----|----------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 2 | \$253,800, | 000,000. | | |
| 3 | (B) C | Outlays, \$2 | 251,500,000 |),000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year | r 2006: | | |
| 5 | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 6 | \$266,800, | 000,000. | | |
| 7 | (B) C |)utlays, \$2 | 264,600,000 |),000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year | r 2007: | | |
| 9 | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 10 | \$287,000, | 000,000. | | |
| 11 | (B) C | Outlays, \$2 | 284,200,000 |),000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year | r 2008: | | |
| 13 | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 14 | \$307,600, | 000,000. | | |
| 15 | (B) C | Outlays, \$ | 305,200,000 |),000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year | r 2009: | | |
| 17 | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 18 | \$329,700, | 000,000. | | |
| 19 | (B) C |)utlays, \$ | 327,600,000 |),000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year | r 2010: | | |
| 21 | (A) | New | budget | authority, |
| 22 | \$354,200, | 000,000. | | |
| 23 | (B) C |)utlays, \$3 | 352,500,000 |),000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year | r 2011: | | |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$382,400,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$380,200,000,000. |
| 4 | (12) Medicare (570): |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$217,500,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$217,700,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$229,100,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$229,100,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$243,900,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$243,700,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$260,200,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$260,400,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$291,800,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$291,700,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2006: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$309,900,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$309,700,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$336,100,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$336,400,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$362,800,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$362,700,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$391,100,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$390,800,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$423,400,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$423,700,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$459,400,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$459,400,000,000. |
| 24 | (13) Income Security (600): |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2001: |

| 1 | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
|----|----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| 2 | \$255,90 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 3 | (B |) Outlays, § | \$256,900,000 |),000. |
| 4 | Fiscal y | ear 2002: | | |
| 5 | (\mathbf{A}) |) New | budget | authority, |
| 6 | \$271,50 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 7 | (B |) Outlays, § | \$272,100,000 |),000. |
| 8 | Fiscal y | ear 2003: | | |
| 9 | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 10 | \$281,80 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 11 | (B |) Outlays, S | \$282,300,000 |),000. |
| 12 | Fiscal y | ear 2004: | | |
| 13 | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 14 | \$293,30 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 15 | (B |) Outlays, S | \$292,500,000 |),000. |
| 16 | Fiscal y | ear 2005: | | |
| 17 | (\mathbf{A}) |) New | budget | authority, |
| 18 | \$308,10 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 19 | (B |) Outlays, S | \$306,700,000 |),000. |
| 20 | Fiscal y | ear 2006: | | |
| 21 | (\mathbf{A}) | New | budget | authority, |
| 22 | \$315,90 | 0,000,000. | | |
| 23 | (B |) Outlays, § | \$314,400,000 |),000. |
| 24 | Fiscal y | ear 2007: | | |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$323,400,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$321,900,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$337,900,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$336,500,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$349,300,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$347,600,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$359,900,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$358,200,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$371,600,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$369,400,000,000. |
| 20 | (14) Social Security (650): |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$9,800,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$9,800,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2002: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$11,000,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$11,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$11,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$11,700,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$12,500,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$12,500,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$13,300,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$13,300,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$14,200,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$14,200,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$15,200,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2008: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--|
| 2 | \$16,200,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$16,200,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$17,500,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$18,900,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$18,900,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$20,400,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$20,400,000,000. |
| 16 | (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700): |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$46,700,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$45,900,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$52,300,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$51,600,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2003: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$53,000,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$52,800,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$55,300,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$54,900,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$59,300,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$58,900,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$58,800,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$58,300,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$58,100,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$57,700,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$62,000,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$61,600,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2009: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | \$63,400,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$63,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$64,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$64,400,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$67,100,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$66,700,000,000. |
| 12 | (16) Administration of Justice (750): |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$30,600,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$30,000,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$30,900,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$30,300,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$31,900,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$32,100,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2004: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$33,600,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$34,100,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$34,600,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$34,700,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$35,700,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$35,300,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$36,600,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$36,100,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | $\$37,\!600,\!000,\!000.$ |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$37,100,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$38,500,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$38,100,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2010: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$39,200,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$40,800,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$40,200,000,000. |
| 8 | (17) General Government (800): |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$16,300,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$16,100,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$16,700,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$16,300,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$16,700,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2005: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 2 | \$17,000,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$16,700,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$17,500,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$17,900,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$18,000,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$17,700,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$18,400,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$18,700,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$18,300,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2011: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$19,400,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$18,900,000,000. |
| 4 | (18) Net Interest (900): |
| 5 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 6 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 7 | \$273,600,000,000. |
| 8 | (B) Outlays, \$273,600,000,000. |
| 9 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 10 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 11 | \$257,600,000,000. |
| 12 | (B) Outlays, \$257,600,000,000. |
| 13 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 14 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 15 | \$253,200,000,000. |
| 16 | (B) Outlays, \$253,200,000,000. |
| 17 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 18 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 19 | \$248,500,000,000. |
| 20 | (B) Outlays, \$248,500,000,000. |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | \$242,400,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, \$242,400,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2006: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | \$239,000,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$239,000,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$236,500,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$236,500,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$233,300,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$233,300,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$229,300,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$229,300,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$224,400,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$224,400,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$219,100,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$219,100,000,000. |
| 24 | (19) Allowances (920): |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2001: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|-------------------------------|
| 2 | -\$500,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, -\$300,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2002: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$5,000,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$1,800,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$5,500,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$4,000,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$6,000,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$4,800,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$6,200,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$5,700,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | \$6,400,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, \$6,100,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2007: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---|
| 2 | \$6,600,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, \$6,300,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | \$6,700,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, \$6,400,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | \$7,000,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, \$6,600,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | \$7,200,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, \$6,800,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | \$7,500,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000. |
| 20 | (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): |
| 21 | Fiscal year 2001: |
| 22 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 23 | -\$38,300,000,000. |
| 24 | (B) Outlays, -\$38,300,000,000. |
| 25 | Fiscal year 2002: |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | $-\$42,\!300,\!000,\!000.$ |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, -\$42,300,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2003: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | $-\$52,\!300,\!000,\!000.$ |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, -\$52,300,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2004: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | -\$53,200,000,000. |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, -\$53,200,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2005: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | -\$45,500,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, -\$45,500,000,000. |
| 16 | Fiscal year 2006: |
| 17 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 18 | -\$46,500,000,000. |
| 19 | (B) Outlays, -\$46,500,000,000. |
| 20 | Fiscal year 2007: |
| 21 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 22 | -\$48,200,000,000. |
| 23 | (B) Outlays, -\$48,200,000,000. |
| 24 | Fiscal year 2008: |
| | |

| 1 | (A) New budget authority, |
|----|--|
| 2 | -\$49,100,000,000. |
| 3 | (B) Outlays, -\$49,100,000,000. |
| 4 | Fiscal year 2009: |
| 5 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 6 | -\$50,200,000,000. |
| 7 | (B) Outlays, -\$50,200,000,000. |
| 8 | Fiscal year 2010: |
| 9 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 10 | $-\$51,\!800,\!000,\!000.$ |
| 11 | (B) Outlays, -\$51,800,000,000. |
| 12 | Fiscal year 2011: |
| 13 | (A) New budget authority, |
| 14 | -\$53,300,000,000. |
| 15 | (B) Outlays, -\$53,300,000,000. |
| 16 | SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION. |
| 17 | (a) Submissions by the House Committee on |
| 18 | WAYS AND MEANS FOR TAX RELIEF.—The House Com- |
| 19 | mittee on Ways and Means shall— |
| 20 | (1) report to the House a reconciliation bill— |
| 21 | (A) not later than May 2, 2001; |
| 22 | (B) not later than May 23, 2001; and |
| 23 | (C) not later than June 20, 2001; and |
| | |

(2) submit to the Committee on the Budget rec ommendations pursuant to section (c)(2)(F)(ii) not
 later than September 11, 2001;

4 that consists of changes in laws within its jurisdic-5 tion sufficient to reduce the total level of revenues 6 by not more than: \$5,783,000,000 for fiscal year 7 2001.\$64,427,000,000 for fiscal year 2002.8 \$80,036,000,000 for fiscal year 2003,9 \$106,584,000,000 for fiscal 2004,year 10 \$130,973,000,000 for fiscal year 2005,11 \$165,166,000,000 for fiscal year 2006,and 12 \$1,625,951,000,000 for the period of fiscal year 13 2001 through 2011.

(b) SUBMISSIONS BY HOUSE COMMITTEES ON EN-14 15 ERGY AND COMMERCE AND WAYS AND MEANS FOR MEDI-CARE REFORM AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS.—(1) Not later 16 than July 24, 2001, the House Committees named in 17 paragraph (2) shall submit their recommendations to the 18 House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those 19 20 recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget 21 shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out 22 all such recommendations without any substantive revi-23 sion.

24 (2)(A) The House Committee on Energy and Com-25 merce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction

that provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays
 by not more than the following: \$2,500,000,000 for fiscal
 year 2001, \$11,200,000,000 for fiscal year 2002,
 \$12,900,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, \$14,800,000,000
 for fiscal year 2004, \$12,500,000,000 for fiscal year 2005,
 \$12,800,000,000 for fiscal year 2006, and \$153,000,000
 for the period of fiscal year 2001 through 2011.

8 (B) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall 9 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide 10 direct spending sufficient to increase outlays by not more than the following: \$2,500,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, 11 12 \$11,200,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$12,900,000,000 13 for fiscal year 2003, \$14,800,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, \$12,500,000,000 for fiscal year 2005, \$12,800,000,000 14 15 for fiscal year 2006, and \$153,000,000,000 for the period of fiscal year 2001 through 2011. 16

17 (c) OTHER SUBMISSIONS BY HOUSE COMMITTEES.— (1) Not later than September 11, 2001, the House Com-18 mittees named in paragraph (2) shall submit their rec-19 20 ommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. 21 After receiving those recommendations, the House Com-22 mittee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconcili-23 ation bill carrying out all such recommendations without 24 any substantive revision.

1 (2)(A) The House Committee on Education and the 2 Workforce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdic-3 tion that provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays by not more than the following: \$5,000,000 for fiscal 4 5 year 2001, \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, \$5,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, 6 7 \$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2005, \$10,000,000 for fiscal 8 year 2006, and \$87,000,000 for the period of fiscal year 9 2001 through 2011.

10 (B) The House Committee on Energy and Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that 11 12 provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays by 13 not more than the following: \$0 for fiscal year 2001, \$180,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$466,000,000 for fis-14 15 cal year 2003, \$561,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, \$681,000,000 for fiscal year 2005, \$836,000,000 for fis-16 cal year 2006, and \$7,867,000,000 for the period of fiscal 17 year 2001 through 2011. 18

19 (C) The House Committee on Financial Services shall 20report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide 21 direct spending sufficient to reduce revenues, as follows: 22 \$0 for fiscal year 2001, \$139,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, 23 \$101,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, \$92,000,000 for fiscal 24 year 2004.\$96,000,000 for fiscal year 2005.

\$101,000,000 for fiscal year 2006, and \$1,112,000,000
 for the period of fiscal year 2001 through 2011.

3 (D) The House Committee on Government Reform 4 shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that 5 provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays by not less than the following: \$0 for fiscal year 2001, \$0 for 6 7 fiscal year 2002, \$496,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, \$523,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, \$501,000,000 for fis-8 9 cal year 2005, \$475,000,000 for fiscal year 2006, and 10 \$3,871,000 for the period of fiscal year 2001 through 11 2011.

12 (E) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall 13 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays by not more 14 15 than the following: \$0 for fiscal year 2001, \$264,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$479,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, 16 17 \$761,000,000 for fiscal year 2004, \$816,000,000 for fis-18 cal year 2005, \$885,000,000 for fiscal year 2006, and 19 \$7,087,000,000 for the period of fiscal year 2001 through 20 2011.

(F)(i) The House Committee on Ways and Means
shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that
provide direct spending sufficient to increase outlays by
not more than the following: \$0 for fiscal year 2001,
\$820,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$3,035,000,000 for fis-

cal year 2003, \$2,842,000,000 for fiscal year 2004,
 \$3,925,000,000 for fiscal year 2005, \$4,267,000,000 for
 fiscal year 2006, and \$39,515,000,000 for the period of
 fiscal year 2001 through 2011.

5 (ii) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall
6 report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to
7 reduce the total level of revenues as specified in subsection
8 (a).

9 (d) SPECIAL RULES.—In the House, if any bill re-10 ported pursuant to subsection (a) \mathbf{or} subsection (c)(2)(F)(ii), amendment thereto or conference report 11 thereon, has refundable tax provisions that increase out-12 13 lays, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may increase the amount of new budget authority provided by 14 15 such provisions (and outlays flowing therefrom) allocated to the Committee on Ways and Means and adjust the rev-16 17 enue levels set forth in such subsection accordingly such that the increase in outlays and reduction in revenue re-18 19 sulting from such bill does not exceed the amounts speci-20 fied in subsection (a) or subsection (c)(2)(F)(ii), as appli-21 cable.

22 SEC. 5. RESERVE FUND FOR EMERGENCIES.

(a) ADJUSTMENTS FOR EMERGENCIES.—In the
House, after the reporting of a bill or joint resolution by
the Committee on Appropriations, the offering of an

amendment thereto, or the submission of a conference re-1 port thereon, the chairman of the Committee on the Budg-2 3 et shall increase the allocation of new budget authority 4 and outlays under section 302(a) of the Congressional 5 Budget Act of 1974 for fiscal year 2002 by the amount provided by that measure for an emergency as defined by 6 7 this section. Adjustments to such allocation made under 8 this subsection may be made only for amounts for emer-9 gencies in excess of \$1,923,000,000 in new budget author-10 ity for fiscal year 2002 and the total of any such adjustments for such fiscal year shall not exceed \$5,627,000,000 11 in new budget authority. 12

13 (b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

- 14 (1) The term 'emergency' means a situation
 15 (other than a threat to national security) that—
- 16 (A) requires new budget authority (and
 17 outlays flowing therefrom) to prevent the immi18 nent loss of life or property or in response to
 19 the loss of life or property; and
- 20 (B) is unanticipated.

21 (2) The term 'unanticipated' means that the22 underlying situation is—

23 (A) sudden, which means quickly coming24 into being or not building up over time;

| 1 | (B) urgent, which means a pressing and |
|----|--|
| 2 | compelling need requiring immediate action; |
| 3 | (C) unforeseen, which means not predicted |
| 4 | or anticipated as an emerging need; and |
| 5 | (D) temporary, which means not of a per- |
| 6 | manent duration. |
| 7 | (c) Development of Guidelines.—As soon as |
| 8 | practicable, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget |
| 9 | of the House shall, after consulting with the chairman of |
| 10 | the Committee on Appropriations of the House, publish |
| 11 | in the Congressional Record guidelines for application of |
| 12 | the definition of emergency set forth in subsection (b). |
| 13 | (d) Committee Explanation of Emergency Leg- |
| 14 | ISLATION.—Whenever the Committee on Appropriations |
| 15 | of the House (including a committee of conference) reports |
| 16 | any bill or joint resolution that provides new budget au- |
| 17 | thority for any emergency, the report accompanying that |
| 18 | bill or joint resolution (or the joint explanatory statement |
| 19 | of managers in the case of a conference report on any such |
| 20 | bill or joint resolution) should explain the reasons such |
| 21 | amount designated under section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Bal- |
| 22 | anced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1974 |
| 23 | falls within the definition of emergency set forth in sub- |
| 24 | section (b) pursuant to the guidelines published under |
| 25 | subsection (c). |

1 (e) CBO REPORT ON THE BUDGET.—The Director 2 of the Congressional Budget Office shall include in each 3 report submitted under section 202(e)(1) of the Congres-4 sional Budget Act of 1974 the average annual enacted lev-5 els of discretionary budget authority and the resulting out-6 lays for emergencies for the 5 fiscal years preceding the 7 fiscal year of the most recently agreed to concurrent reso-8 lution on the budget.

9 (f) SECTION 314(b)(1) ADJUSTMENT.—Section
10 314(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 shall
11 not apply in the House—

12 (1) for fiscal year 2001; or

13 (2) for fiscal year 2002 or any subsequent fiscal
14 year, except for emergencies affecting national secu15 rity.

16 SEC. 6. STRATEGIC RESERVE FUND.

17 (a) ADJUSTMENTS.—In the House, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may, not later than July 18 25, 2001, increase allocations of new budget authority 19 20 (and outlays flowing therefrom) and adjust aggregates 21 (and adjust any other appropriate levels) for fiscal year 22 2002 for a bill making appropriations for the Department 23 of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, 24 and for any fiscal year for a bill to reauthorize title I of 25 the Federal Agriculture Improvement Act of 1996 and

other appropriate legislation, reported by July 11, 2001; 1 2 and, in the House, the chairman may also make adjust-3 ments for amendments to or conference reports on such 4 bills. The chairman shall consider the recommendations of 5 the President's National Defense Review, any comparable review by the President of national agricultural policy, and 6 7 any statement of administrative policy or supplemental 8 budget request relating to any matter referred to in the 9 preceding sentence.

10 (b) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The adjustments for any bill 11 referred to in subsection (a) shall be in an amount not 12 to exceed the amount by which such bill breaches the ap-13 plicable allocation or aggregate.

(2) The total adjustments made under subsection (a)
for any fiscal year may not cause the surplus set forth
in this resolution for any fiscal year, as adjusted, covered
by this resolution to be less than the surplus of the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund for that fiscal year,
as determined consistent with procedures set forth in H.R.
2 (107th Congress), as passed the House.

21 SEC. 7. SUPPLEMENTAL RESERVE FUND FOR MEDICARE.

In the House, whenever a reconciliation bill is reported, or an amendment thereto is offered or a conference report thereon is submitted, under section 4, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may, for any of fiscal

years 2001 through 2011, increase any allocations and ag-1 2 gregates of new budget authority (and outlays resulting 3 therefrom) up to the amount provided by that measure 4 to reform medicare and provide coverage for prescription 5 drugs that is in excess of the instruction to the Committee on Energy and Commerce and the Committee on Ways 6 7 and Means under section 4(b) (and make all other appro-8 priate adjustments). The total adjustments made under 9 this section for any fiscal year may not exceed the amount 10 by which the Congressional Budget Office's estimate of the President's prescription drug plan (or, if such a plan 11 is not submitted in a timely manner, the Congressional 12 13 Budget Office's estimate of a comparable plan submitted by the chairmen of the committees of jurisdiction at levels 14 15 to be determined by the chairman of the Committee on the Budget) exceeds the levels set forth in section 4(b)(2)16 for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2011. 17

18 SEC. 8. RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001.

(a) ADJUSTMENTS.—In the House, the chairman of
the Committee on the Budget may increase allocations of
new budget authority (and outlays flowing therefrom) and
adjust aggregates (and adjust any other appropriate levels) for fiscal year 2001 for reported bills, or amendments
thereto or conference reports thereon, by the amount of
new budget authority (and the outlays resulting there-

from) provided by such measure to eliminate shortfalls for
 the Department of Defense, for assistance for producers
 of program crops and specialty crops, and for other critical
 needs.

5 (b) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The adjustments for any bill 6 referred to in subsection (a) shall be in an amount not 7 to exceed the amount by which such bill breaches the ap-8 plicable allocation or aggregate.

9 (2) The total adjustments made under subsection (a) 10 for fiscal year 2001 may not cause the surplus set forth 11 in this resolution for that fiscal year, as adjusted, to be 12 less than the surplus of the Federal Hospital Insurance 13 Trust Fund for that fiscal year, as determined consistent 14 with procedures set forth in H.R. 2 (107th Congress), as 15 passed the House.

16 SEC. 9. RESERVE FUND FOR PROMOTION OF FULL FUND-

17 ING FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION.

18 In the House, whenever the Committee on Appropria-19 tions reports a bill or joint resolution, or an amendment 20thereto is offered, or a conference report thereon is sub-21 mitted that provides new budget authority for fiscal year 22 2002 in excess of \$6,368,000,000 for programs authorized 23 under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 24 (IDEA), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget 25 may increase the appropriate allocations of new budget authority and outlays by the amount of that excess, but not
 to exceed \$1,250,000,000 (and adjust any other appro priate levels).

4 SEC. 10. RESERVE FUND FOR ADDITIONAL TAX CUTS AND 5 DEBT REDUCTION.

6 If the report provided pursuant to section 202(e)(2)7 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the budget and 8 economic outlook: update (for fiscal years 2002 through 9 2011), estimates an on-budget surplus for any of fiscal 10 years 2001 through 2011 that exceeds the estimated onbudget surplus set forth in the Congressional Budget Of-11 fice's January 2001 budget and economic outlook for such 12 13 fiscal year, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House may, in an amount not to exceed the increase 14 15 in such surplus for that fiscal year—

16 (1) reduce the recommended level of Federal
17 revenues and make other appropriate adjustments
18 (including the reconciliation instructions) for that
19 fiscal year;

20 (2) reduce the appropriate level of the public
21 debt, increase the amount of the surplus, and make
22 other appropriate adjustments for that fiscal year;
23 or

(3) any combination of paragraphs (1) and (2).

| 1 | SEC. 11. APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLO- |
|----|--|
| 2 | CATIONS AND AGGREGATES. |
| 3 | (a) Application.—Any adjustments of allocations |
| 4 | and aggregates made pursuant to this resolution shall— |
| 5 | (1) apply while that measure is under consider- |
| 6 | ation; |
| 7 | (2) take effect upon the enactment of that |
| 8 | measure; and |
| 9 | (3) be published in the Congressional Record as |
| 10 | soon as practicable. |
| 11 | (b) EFFECT OF CHANGED ALLOCATIONS AND AG- |
| 12 | GREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates resulting |
| 13 | from these adjustments shall be considered for the pur- |
| 14 | poses of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as alloca- |
| 15 | tions and aggregates contained in this resolution. |
| 16 | (c) Budget Committee Determinations.—For |
| 17 | purposes of this resolution— |
| 18 | (1) the levels of new budget authority, outlays, |
| 19 | direct spending, new entitlement authority, revenues, |
| 20 | deficits, and surpluses for a fiscal year or period of |
| 21 | fiscal years shall be determined on the basis of esti- |
| 22 | mates made by the Committee on the Budget of the |
| 23 | House of Representatives; and |
| 24 | (2) such chairman, as applicable, may make |
| 25 | any other necessary adjustments to such levels to |
| 26 | carry out this resolution. |
| | •HCON 83 RH |

1SEC. 12. COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 13301 OF THE BUDG-2ET ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1990.

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—In the House, notwithstanding section 302(a)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 4 5 1974 and section 13301 of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990, the joint explanatory statement accompanying 6 7 the conference report on any concurrent resolution on the 8 budget shall include in its allocation under section 302(a) 9 of such Act to the Committee on Appropriations amounts 10 for the discretionary administrative expenses of the Social 11 Security Administration.

(b) SPECIAL RULE.—In the House, for purposes of
applying section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act
of 1974, estimates of the level of total new budget authority and total outlays provided by a measure shall include
any discretionary amounts provided for the Social Security
Administration.

18 SEC. 13. RESTRICTIONS ON ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS.

19 For purposes of title III of the Congressional Budget 20 Act of 1974, advance appropriations shall be scored as new budget authority for the fiscal year in which the ap-21 22 propriations are enacted, except that advance appropria-23 tions in excess of the levels specified in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying this resolution 24 25 for programs, projects, activities or accounts identified in such joint statement shall continue to be scored as new 26 •HCON 83 RH

budget authority in the year in which they first become
 available for obligation.

3 SEC. 14. FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PAY.

4 (a) FINDINGS.—The House of Representatives finds5 the following:

6 (1) Members of the uniformed services and ci-7 vilian employees of the United States make signifi-8 cant contributions to the general welfare of the Na-9 tion.

10 (2) Increases in the pay of members of the uni-11 formed services and of civilian employees of the 12 United States have not kept pace with increases in 13 the overall pay levels of workers in the private sec-14 tor, so that there now exists—

(A) a 32 percent gap between compensation levels of Federal civilian employees and
compensation levels of private sector workers;
and

(B) an estimated 10 percent gap between
compensation levels of members of the uniformed services and compensation levels of private sector workers.

23 (3) The President's budget proposal for fiscal
24 year 2002 includes a 4.6 percent pay raise for mili25 tary personnel.

(4) The Office of Management and Budget has
 requested that Federal agencies plan their fiscal
 year 2002 budgets with a 3.6 percent pay raise for
 civilian Federal employees.

5 (5) In almost every year during the past 2 dec6 ades, there have been equal adjustments in the com7 pensation of members of the uniformed services and
8 the compensation of civilian employees of the United
9 States.

10 (b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.— 11 It is the sense of the House of Representatives that rates 12 of compensation for civilian employees of the United 13 States should be adjusted at the same time, and in the 14 same proportion, as are rates of compensation for mem-15 bers of the uniformed services.

16 SEC. 15. ASSET BUILDING FOR THE WORKING POOR.

17 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress find the following:

18 (1) For the vast majority of United States
19 households, the pathway to the economic main20 stream and financial security is not through spend21 ing and consumption, but through savings, investing,
22 and the accumulation of assets.

(2) One-third of all Americans have no assets
available for investment and another 20 percent
have only negligible assets. The situation is even

| 1 | more serious for minority households; for example, |
|----|--|
| 2 | 60 percent of African-American households have no |
| 3 | or negative financial assets. |
| 4 | (3) Nearly 50 percent of all children in America |
| 5 | live in households that have no assets available for |
| 6 | investment, including 40 percent of Caucasian chil- |
| 7 | dren and 73 percent of African-American children. |
| 8 | (4) Up to 20 percent of all United States |
| 9 | households do not deposit their savings in financial |
| 10 | institutions and, thus, do not have access to the |
| 11 | basic financial tools that make asset accumulation |
| 12 | possible. |
| 13 | (5) Public policy can have either a positive or |
| 14 | a negative impact on asset accumulation. Traditional |
| 15 | public assistance programs based on income and |
| 16 | consumption have rarely been successful in sup- |
| 17 | porting the transition to economic self-sufficiency. |
| 18 | Tax policy, through \$288,000,000,000 in annual tax |
| 19 | incentives, has helped lay the foundation for the |
| 20 | great middle class. |
| 21 | (6) Lacking an income tax liability, low-income |

(6) Lacking an income tax liability, low-income
working families cannot take advantage of asset development incentives available through the Federal
tax code.

(7) Individual Development Accounts have prov en to be successful in helping low-income working
 families save and accumulate assets. Individual De velopment Accounts have been used to purchase
 long-term, high-return assets, including homes, post secondary education and training, and small busi ness.

8 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-9 gress that the Federal tax code should support a signifi-10 cant expansion of Individual Development Accounts so 11 that millions of low-income, working families can save, 12 build assets, and move their lives forward; thus, making 13 positive contributions to the economic and social well-14 being of the United States, as well as to its future.

15 SEC. 16. FEDERAL FIRE PREVENTION ASSISTANCE.

16 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

17 (1) Increased demands on firefighting and
18 emergency medical personnel have made it difficult
19 for local governments to adequately fund necessary
20 fire safety precautions.

(2) The Government has an obligation to protect the health and safety of the firefighting personnel of the United States and to ensure that they
have the financial resources to protect the public.

(3) The high rates in the United States of
 death, injury, and property damage caused by fires
 demonstrates a critical need for Federal investment
 in support of firefighting personnel.

5 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Government should support the core oper-6 7 ations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency by 8 providing needed fire grant programs to assist our fire-9 fighters and rescue personnel as they respond to more 10 than 17,000,000 emergency calls annually. To accomplish 11 this task, Congress supports preservation of the Assist-12 ance to Firefighters grant program. Continued support of 13 the Assistance to Firefighters grant program will enable local firefighters to adequately protect the lives of count-14 15 less Americans put at risk by insufficient fire protection.

16 SEC. 17. SALES TAX DEDUCTION.

17 (a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—

18 (1) in 1986 the ability to deduct State sales19 taxes was eliminated from the Federal tax code;

20 (2) the States of Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming,
21 Washington, Florida, Nevada, and South Dakota
22 have no State income tax;

(3) the citizens of those seven States continue
to be treated unfairly by paying significantly more in
taxes to the Government than taxpayers with an

1 identical profile in different State because they are 2 prohibited from deducting their State sales taxes from their Federal income taxes in lieu of a State 3 4 income tax; (4) the design of the Federal tax code is pref-5 6 erential in its treatment of States with State income 7 taxes over those without State income taxes: 8 (5) the current Federal tax code infringes upon 9 States' rights to tax their citizens as they see fit in 10 that the Federal tax code exerts unjust influence on 11 States without State income taxes to impose one 12 their citizens; 13 (6) the current surpluses that our Government 14 holds provide an appropriate time and opportunity 15 to allow taxpayers to deduct either their State sales 16 taxes or their State income taxes from their Federal 17 income tax returns; and 18 (7) over 50 Members of the House have cospon-19 sored legislation to restore the sales tax deduction 20 option to the Federal tax code. 21 (b) SENSE OF HOUSE.—It is the sense of the House 22 of Representatives that the Committee on Ways and 23 Means should consider legislation that makes State sales 24 tax deductible against Federal income taxes.

 1
 SEC. 18. FUNDING FOR GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION

 2
 AT CHILDREN'S TEACHING HOSPITALS

3 It is the sense of Congress that:

4 (1) Function 550 of the President's budget 5 should include an appropriate level of funding for 6 graduate medical education conducted at inde-7 pendent children's teaching hospitals in order to en-8 sure access to care by millions of children nation-9 wide.

10 (2) An emphasis should be placed on the role 11 played by community health centers in underserved 12 rural and urban communities. An increase in fund-13 ing for community health centers should not come at 14 the expense of the Community Access Program. 15 Both programs should be funded adequately, with 16 the intention of doubling funding for increased ca-17 pacity for community health centers, in addition to 18 keeping the Community Access Program operational.

(3) The medicare program should emphasize
such preventive medical services as those provided by
vision rehabilitation professionals in saving Government funds and preserving the independence of a
growing number of seniors in the coming years.

24 (4) Funding under function 550 should also re25 flect the importance of the Ryan White CARE Act
26 to persons afflicted with HIV/AIDS. Funds allocated
•HCON 83 RH

| from the CARE Act serve as the safety net for thou- |
|---|
| sands of low-income people living with HIV/AIDS |
| who reside in metropolitan areas but are ineligible |
| for entitlement programs. Moreover, the CARE Act |
| provides critically needed grants directly to existing |
| community-based clinics and public health providers |
| to develop and deliver both early and ongoing com- |
| prehensive services to persons with HIV/AIDS. |
| SEC. 19. CONCURRENT RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY BEN- |
| EFITS TO RETIRED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED |
| FORCES. |
| (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that the Secretary of |
| Defense is the appropriate official for evaluating the exist- |
| ing standards for the provision of concurrent retirement |
| and disability benefits to retired members of the Armed |
| Forces and the need to change these standards. |
| (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con- |
| gress that— |
| (1) the Secretary of Defense should report to |
| the congressional committees of jurisdiction on the |
| provision of concurrent retirement and disability |
| benefits to retired members of the Armed Forces; |
| (2) the report should address the number of in- |
| dividuals retired from the Armed Forces who would |
| otherwise be eligible for disability compensation, the |
| |

| 1 | comparability of the policy to Office of Personnel |
|----|---|
| 2 | Management guidelines for civilian Federal retirees, |
| 3 | the applicability of this policy to prevailing private |
| 4 | sector standards, the number of individuals poten- |
| 5 | tially eligible for concurrent benefits who receive |
| 6 | other forms of Federal assistance and the cost of |
| 7 | that assistance, and alternative initiatives that would |
| 8 | accomplish the same end as concurrent receipt of |
| 9 | military retired pay and disability compensation; |
| 10 | (3) the Secretary of Defense should submit leg- |
| 11 | islation that he considers appropriate; and |

(4) upon receiving such report, the committees
of jurisdiction, working with the Committees on the
Budget of the House and Senate, should consider
appropriate legislation.

Union Calendar No. 17

107th CONGRESS 1st Session

³ H. CON. RES. 83

[Report No. 107-26]

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2002, revising the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2001, and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for each of fiscal years 2003 through 2011.

March 23, 2001

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed