109TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1088

To establish streamlined procedures for collateral review of mixed petitions, amendments, and defaulted claims, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

May 19, 2005

Mr. Kyl introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To establish streamlined procedures for collateral review of mixed petitions, amendments, and defaulted claims, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Streamlined Procedures Act of 2005".
- 6 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for
- 7 this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
 - Sec. 2. Mixed petitions.
 - Sec. 3. Amendments to petitions.
 - Sec. 4. Procedurally defaulted claims.
 - Sec. 5. Tolling of limitation period.

- Sec. 6. Harmless error in sentencing. Sec. 7. Unified review standard.
- Sec. 8. Appeals.
- Sec. 9. Capital cases.
- Sec. 10. Clemency and pardon decisions.
- Sec. 11. Ex parte funding requests.
- Sec. 12. Crime victims' rights.
- Sec. 13. Technical corrections.
- Sec. 14. Application to pending cases.

1 SEC. 2. MIXED PETITIONS.

- 2 Section 2254(b) of title 28, United States Code, is
- 3 amended—
- 4 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking subparagraphs
- 5 (A) and (B) and inserting the following:
- 6 "(A) the applicant—
- "(i) has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the State by fairly presenting and arguing the specific Federal basis for each
- 10 claim in the State courts; and
- 11 "(ii) has described in the application how
- the applicant has exhausted each claim in the
- 13 State courts; or
- "(B)(i) the application presents a claim for re-
- lief that would qualify for consideration on the
- grounds described in subsection (e)(2); and
- 17 "(ii) the denial of such relief is contrary to, or
- would entail an unreasonable application of, clearly
- established Federal law, as determined by the Su-
- preme Court of the United States."; and
- 21 (2) by adding at the end the following:

- 1 "(4) Any unexhausted claim that does not qualify for
- 2 consideration on the grounds described in this subsection
- 3 shall be dismissed with prejudice.".
- 4 SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO PETITIONS.
- 5 (a) In General.—Section 2244 of title 28, United
- 6 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
- 7 lowing:
- 8 "(e)(1) An application for a writ of habeas corpus
- 9 may be amended once as a matter of course before the
- 10 earlier of the date on which an answer to the application
- 11 is filed or the expiration of the 1-year period described
- 12 in subsection (d).
- 13 "(2) Except as provided under paragraph (1), an ap-
- 14 plication may not be amended to modify existing claims
- 15 or to present additional claims, unless the modified or
- 16 newly presented claims would qualify for consideration on
- 17 the grounds described in subsection (b)(2).".
- 18 (b) Conforming Amendment.—Section 2242 of
- 19 title 28, United States Code, is amended in the third un-
- 20 designated paragraph by striking "in the rules of proce-
- 21 dure applicable to civil actions" and inserting "under sec-
- 22 tion 2244(e)".
- 23 SEC. 4. PROCEDURALLY DEFAULTED CLAIMS.
- 24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2254 of title 28, United
- 25 States Code, is amended—

1 (1) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as 2 subsections (i) and (j), respectively; and 3 (2) by adding after subsection (g) the following: "(h)(1) A court, justice, or judge shall not have juris-4 5 diction to consider an application for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judg-6 ment of a State court with respect to any claim that was 8 found by the State court to be procedurally barred, or any claim of ineffective assistance of counsel related to such 10 claim, unless— 11 "(A) the claim would qualify for consideration 12 on the grounds described in subsection (e)(2); or 13 "(B) the State, through counsel, expressly 14 waives the provisions of this paragraph. "(2)(A) A court, justice, or judge shall not have juris-15 diction to consider any claim that the State court denies 16 17 on the merits and on the ground that the claim was not 18 properly raised under State procedural law, or any claim 19 of ineffective assistance of counsel related to such claim, 20 unless the claim would qualify for consideration on the 21 grounds described in subsection (e)(2). 22 "(B) A court, justice, or judge shall not have jurisdic-23 tion to consider any claim that is otherwise subject to paragraph (1) and that was reviewed by the State court for plain error, fundamental error, or under a similarly

- 1 heightened standard of review, unless the claim would
- 2 qualify for consideration on the grounds described in sub-
- 3 section (e)(2).
- 4 "(3) The State shall not be required to answer any
- 5 claim described in paragraph (1) or (2) unless the court
- 6 first determines that the claim would qualify for consider-
- 7 ation on the grounds described in subsection (e)(2).
- 8 "(4) If a court determines that a State court order
- 9 denying relief on procedural grounds is ambiguous as to
- 10 which claims were found to be procedurally barred, the
- 11 court shall resolve any perceived ambiguity, if necessary,
- 12 by examining the full record in the State court.
- 13 "(5) An application for a writ of habeas corpus on
- 14 behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment
- 15 of a State court shall not be granted with respect to any
- 16 claim under paragraph (1) or (2) unless the denial of such
- 17 relief is contrary to, or would entail an unreasonable appli-
- 18 cation of, clearly established Federal law, as determined
- 19 by the Supreme Court of the United States.".
- 20 (b) Limitation.—Section 2244(d)(2) of title 28,
- 21 United States Code, as amended by section 3, is amended
- 22 by adding at the end the following: "An application that
- 23 was otherwise improperly filed in State court shall not be
- 24 deemed to have been properly filed because the State court

- 1 exercises discretion in applying a rule or recognizes excep-
- 2 tions to that rule.".
- 3 SEC. 5. TOLLING OF LIMITATION PERIOD.
- 4 Section 2244(d) of title 28, United States Code, is
- 5 amended—
- 6 (1) in paragraph (2), by striking "judgment
- 7 or"; and
- 8 (2) by adding at the end the following:
- 9 "(3) In this section, an application for State post-
- 10 conviction or other collateral review—
- "(A) is pending from the date on which the ap-
- plication is filed with a State court until the date on
- which the same State court rules on that applica-
- tion; and
- 15 "(B) is not pending during any period of time
- between the date on which a State court rules on
- that application and the date on which the applica-
- tion or a related application is filed, or is otherwise
- 19 presented, for adjudication to such State court on
- 20 rehearing authorized by State law or to a higher
- 21 State court.
- "(4) The period of limitation under paragraph (1)
- 23 may be tolled, suspended, or extended only as provided
- 24 under this subsection.".

1 SEC. 6. HARMLESS ERROR IN SENTENCING.

- 2 Section 2254 of title 28, United States Code, as
- 3 amended by section 4, is amended by adding at the end
- 4 the following:
- 5 "(k) A court, justice, or judge shall not have jurisdic-
- 6 tion to consider an application with respect to an error
- 7 relating to the applicant's sentence or sentencing that has
- 8 been found to be harmless or not prejudicial in State court
- 9 proceedings, unless a determination that the error is not
- 10 structural is contrary to clearly established Federal law,
- 11 as determined by the Supreme Court of the United
- 12 States.".

13 SEC. 7. UNIFIED REVIEW STANDARD.

- 14 Section 107(c) of the Antiterrorism and Effective
- 15 Death Penalty Act of 1996 (28 U.S.C. 2261 note) is
- 16 amended by striking "Chapter 154 of title 28, United
- 17 States Code (as amended by subsection (a))" and insert-
- 18 ing "This title and the amendments made by this title".

19 SEC. 8. APPEALS.

- 20 (a) APPELLATE TIME LIMITS.—Section 2254 of title
- 21 28, United States Code, as amended by sections 4 and
- 22 6, is further amended by adding at the end the following:
- 23 "(1) In review by a court of appeals of a district
- 24 court's determination of an application for a writ of ha-
- 25 beas corpus on behalf of a person in custody pursuant to
- 26 the judgment of a State court, the following shall apply:

- "(1) A timely filed notice of appeal from an order issuing a writ of habeas corpus shall operate as a stay of that order, pending final disposition of the appeal.
 - "(2) A court of appeals shall decide the appeal from an order granting or denying a writ of habeas corpus—
 - "(A) not later than 300 days after the date on which the brief of the appellee is filed or, if no timely brief is filed, the date on which such brief is due; or
 - "(B) if a cross-appeal is filed, not later than 300 days after the date on which the appellant files a brief in response to the issues presented by the cross-appeal or, if no timely brief is filed, the date on which such brief is due.
 - "(3)(A) If a petition is filed for a panel rehearing or rehearing by the court of appeals en banc following a decision by a panel of a court of appeals under paragraph (2), the court of appeals shall decide whether to grant the petition not later than 90 days after the date on which the petition is filed, unless a response is required.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- "(B) If a response to a petition is required under subparagraph (A), a court of appeals shall decide whether to grant the petition not later than 90 days after the date on which the response is filed or, if no timely response is filed, the date on which the response is due.
 - "(C) If a panel rehearing is granted, the panel shall make a determination of the appeal on rehearing not later than 120 days after the date on which the order granting a panel rehearing is entered. No second or successive petition for panel rehearing shall be allowed.
 - "(D) If rehearing en banc is granted, the court of appeals shall make a final determination of the appeal not later than 180 days after the date on which the order granting rehearing en banc is entered.
 - "(4) If a court of appeals fails to comply with the requirements of this subsection, the State may petition the Supreme Court, or a justice thereof, for a writ of mandamus to enforce the requirements of this subsection.
 - "(5) The time limitations in this subsection shall apply in all proceedings in a court of appeals on review of a district court's determination of an

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- application for a writ of habeas corpus, including any such proceedings in a court of appeals following a remand by the Supreme Court for further proceedings.
 - "(6) In proceedings following remand in a court of appeals, the time limit specified in paragraph (2) shall begin on the date the remand is ordered if further briefing is not required in the court of appeals. If there is further briefing in the court of appeals, the time limit specified in paragraph (2) shall begin on the date on which a responsive brief is filed or, if no timely responsive brief is filed, from the date on which such brief is due.
 - "(7) The failure of a court to meet or comply with a time limitation under this subsection shall not be a ground for granting relief from a judgment of conviction or sentence, nor shall the time limitations under this subsection be construed to entitle a capital applicant to a stay of execution, to which the applicant would otherwise not be entitled, for the purpose of litigating any application or appeal.".
- 22 (b) Finality of Determination.—Section 23 2244(b)(3)(E) of title 28, United States Code, is amended 24 by striking "the subject of a petition" and all that follows

1	and inserting the following: "reheard in the court of ap-
2	peals or reviewed by writ of certiorari.".
3	SEC. 9. CAPITAL CASES.
4	(a) Scope of Review.—Chapter 154 of title 28,
5	United States Code, is amended by striking section 2264
6	and inserting the following:
7	"§ 2264. Scope of Federal review
8	"(a) In General.—Except as provided in subsection
9	(b), a court, justice, or judge shall not have jurisdiction
10	to consider any claim relating to the judgment or sentence
11	in an application covered under this chapter.
12	"(b) Exception.—A court, justice, or judge has ju-
13	risdiction to consider an application under this chapter
14	if—
15	"(1) the applicant shows that the claim relies
16	on a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive
17	to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court,
18	that was previously unavailable; or
19	"(2) both—
20	"(A) the factual predicate for the claim
21	could not have been discovered previously
22	through the exercise of due diligence; and
23	"(B) the facts underlying the claim, if
24	proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a
25	whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear

1	and convincing evidence that, but for constitu-
2	tional error, no reasonable fact finder would
3	have found the applicant guilty of the under-
4	lying offense.".
5	(b) Time Limits.—Section 2266(b)(1)(A) of title 28,
6	United States Code, is amended by striking "180 days"
7	and inserting "15 months".
8	(c) REVIEW BY ATTORNEY GENERAL.—
9	(1) In general.—Section 2261(b) of title 28,
10	United States Code, is amended—
11	(A) by striking "(b) This chapter is appli-
12	cable if a State establishes" and inserting the
13	following:
14	"(b) This chapter is applicable if—
15	"(1) the Attorney General of the United States
16	certifies that a State has established";
17	(B) in the first sentence, by striking the
18	period at the end and inserting a semicolon;
19	(C) by striking "The rule of court or stat-
20	ute must provide standards" and inserting the
21	following:
22	"(2) the court, statute, or other agency provides
23	standards'';
24	(D) by striking the period at the end and
25	inserting ": and": and

1	(E) by adding at the end the following:
2	"(3) the order required under subsection (c) is
3	entered on or after the effective date of the Attorney
4	General's certification under section 2267.".
5	(2) Technical and conforming amend-
6	MENTS.—Section 2265(a) of title 28, United States
7	Code, is amended—
8	(A) by striking "(a) For purposes" and in-
9	serting the following:
10	"(a)(1) For purposes";
11	(B) by striking "This chapter shall apply,
12	as provided in this section, in relation to a
13	State unitary review procedure if the State es-
14	tablishes" and inserting the following:
15	"(2) This chapter shall apply, as provided in this sec-
16	tion, in relation to a State unitary review procedure if—
17	"(A) the Attorney General of the United States
18	certifies that a State has established";
19	(C) by striking "or by statute" and insert-
20	ing ", by statute, or by agency rule";
21	(D) by striking the period after "pro-
22	ceedings" and inserting a semicolon;
23	(E) by striking "The rule of court or stat-
24	ute must provide" and inserting the following:

"(B) the rule of the court, the statute, or the 1 2 agency rule provides"; (F) by striking the period at the end and 3 inserting "; and"; and 4 5 (G) by adding at the end the following: 6 "(C) the order required under subsection (b) is 7 entered on or after the effective date of the Attornev 8 General's certification under section 2267.". 9 (d) Judicial Review.—Chapter 154 of title 28, 10 United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: 11 12 "§ 2267. Judicial Review 13 "(a) IN GENERAL.—If requested by the chief law enforcement officer of a State, the Attorney General of the 14 15 United States shall determine whether the State has established a qualifying mechanism for the purpose of sec-16 tion 2261(b)(3) or 2265(a)(2)(C), and, if so, the date on 18 which the mechanism was established. The date the mech-19 anism was established shall be the effective date of the 20 certification. 21 "(b) REGULATIONS.—The Attorney General shall promulgate regulations to implement the certification pro-23 cedure under subsection (a). "(c) REVIEW OF CERTIFICATION.— 24

1	"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General's de-
2	termination of whether to certify a State under this
3	section is subject to review exclusively as provided
4	under chapter 158.
5	"(2) VENUE.—The Court of Appeals for the
6	District of Columbia Circuit shall have exclusive ju-
7	risdiction over matters under paragraph (1), subject
8	to review by the Supreme Court under section 2350.
9	"(3) Standard of Review.—The Attorney
10	General's determination of whether to certify a State
11	under this section shall be conclusive unless mani-
12	festly contrary to the law and an abuse of discre-
13	tion.".
14	(e) Clerical Amendments.—The table of sections
15	for chapter 154 of title 28, United States Code, is amend-
16	ed—
17	(1) by striking the item related to section 2264
18	and inserting the following:
	"2264. Scope of Federal review.";
19	and
20	(2) by adding at the end the following:
	"2267. Judicial review.".
21	SEC. 10. CLEMENCY AND PARDON DECISIONS.
22	(a) In General.—Chapter 85 of title 28, United
23	States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-

24 lowing:

1 "§ 1370. State clemency and pardon decisions

- 2 "(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided under sub-
- 3 section (b), and notwithstanding any other provision of
- 4 law, no Federal court shall have jurisdiction to hear any
- 5 cause or claim arising from the exercise of a State's execu-
- 6 tive elemency or pardon power, or the process or proce-
- 7 dures used under such power.
- 8 "(b) Exception.—This section does not affect the
- 9 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court to review any decision
- 10 of the highest court of a State that involves a cause or
- 11 claim arising from the exercise of a State's executive clem-
- 12 ency or pardon power, or the process or procedures used
- 13 under such power.".
- 14 (b) Clerical Amendment.—The table of sections
- 15 for chapter 85 of title 28, United States Code, is amended
- 16 by adding at the end the following:

"1370. State elemency and pardon decisions.".

17 SEC. 11. EX PARTE FUNDING REQUESTS.

- Section 408(q)(9) of the Controlled Substances Act
- 19 (21 U.S.C. 848(q)(9)) is amended—
- 20 (1) by striking "(9) Upon" and inserting the
- following: "(9) (A) Upon";
- 22 (2) by striking the last two sentences and in-
- serting the following: "An application for services
- under this paragraph shall be decided by a judge
- other than the judge presiding over the post convic-

- tion proceeding under section 2254 or 2255 of Title 2 28, United States Code, seeking to vacate or set aside a death sentence. Any amounts authorized to
- 4 be paid under this paragraph shall be disclosed to
- 5 the public immediately."; and
- 6 (3) by adding at the end the following:
- 7 "(B) No ex parte proceeding, communication, 8 or request may be considered in a post-conviction ac-9 tion pursuant to this section, except to the extent 10 necessary to protect any confidential-communica-11 tions privilege between the defendant and post-con-12 viction counsel. The court shall not grant an applica-13 tion for an ex parte proceeding, communication, or 14 request unless the application has been served upon 15 the respondent and the court has allowed the re-16 spondent a reasonable opportunity to answer the ap-17 plication. All proceedings, communications, or re-18 quests conducted pursuant to this section shall be 19 transcribed and made a part of the record available 20 for appellate review.".

21 SEC. 12. CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS.

- Section 3771(b) of title 18, United States Code, is
- 23 amended by adding at the end the following: "A crime vic-
- 24 tim shall also be afforded the rights established for crime

- 1 victims by this section in a Federal habeas corpus pro-
- 2 ceeding arising out of a State conviction.".

3 SEC. 13. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

- 4 (a) Appeal.—Section 2253(c)(1) of title 28, United
- 5 States Code, is amended by striking "circuit justice or
- 6 judge" and inserting "district or circuit judge".
- 7 (b) Federal Custody.—Section 2255 of title 28,
- 8 United States Code, is amended by designating the 8 un-
- 9 designated paragraphs as subsections (a) through (h), re-
- 10 spectively.

11 SEC. 14. APPLICATION TO PENDING CASES.

- 12 (a) In General.—This Act and the amendments
- 13 made by this Act shall apply to cases pending on and after
- 14 the date of enactment of this Act.
- 15 (b) Time Limits.—In a case pending on the date of
- 16 enactment of this Act, if the amendments made by this
- 17 Act establish a time limit for taking certain action the pe-
- 18 riod of which began on the date of an event that occurred
- 19 prior to the date of enactment of this Act, the period of
- 20 such time limit shall instead begin on the date of enact-
- 21 ment of this Act.

 \bigcirc