Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 63, No. 128
Monday, July 6, 1998

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping, Steel Jacks et al.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (''Sunset'') Reviews.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') is automatically initiating five-year (''sunset'') reviews of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, and/or suspended investigations listed below. The International Trade Commission (''the Commission'') is publishing concurrently with this notice its notices of *Institution of Five-Year Review* covering these same orders and/or suspended investigations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482–1560, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, at (202) 205–3176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping (''AD'') or countervailing duty (''CVD'') order will be revoked, or the suspended investigation will be terminated, unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and (2) material injury to the domestic industry.

Parties wanting to participate in the sunset review being conducted by the Department must follow the separate procedural regulations promulgated by the Department (see *Procedures for Conducting Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998)). [1] In addition, because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (''APO'') immediately following publication of the notice of initiation of the sunset review in the **Federal Register**. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306 (see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Administrative Protective Order Procedures; Procedures for Imposing Sanctions for Violation of a Protective Order*, 63 FR 24391 (May 4, 1998)). Finally, for guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews, you may wish to consult the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871(April 16, 1998). We are making information related to sunset proceedings available to the public on the Internet at the following address: ''http://www.ita.doc.gov/import__admin/records/sunset/''. Finally, the procedural rules regarding filing, format, translation, service, and certification of documents can be found at 19 CFR 351.303 (see *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final rule*, 62 FR 27295, 27406 (May 19, 1997)).

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218, as amended, we are initiating sunset reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, or suspended investigations:

Doc case no.	ITC case no.	Country	Product
A–122–006	AA–49	Canada	Steel Jacks.
A–588–029	AA–85	Japan	Fish Netting of Manmade Fiber.
A–427–030	AA–86	France	Large Power Transformers.
A–475–031	AA–87	Italy	Large Power Transformers.
A–588–032	AA–88	Japan	Large Power Transformers.
A–843–803	AA–51	Kazakstan	Titanium Sponge.
A–821–803	AA–51	Russia	Titanium Sponge.
A–823–803	AA–51	Ukraine	Titanium Sponge.
A–588–020	A–161	Japan	Titanium Sponge.
A–588–038	AA–98	Japan	Bicycle Speedometers.
A–602–039	AA–110	Australia	Canned Bartlett Pears.
A–588–028	AA–111	Japan	Roller Chain.

[1] A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation (pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4)). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: June 29, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–17789 Filed 7–2–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–485–602]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof From Romania: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On March 6, 1998, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished or unfinished (''TRBs''), from Romania. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period June 1, 1996, through May 31, 1997. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have not changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

We received no comments from interested parties with regard to the Department's preliminary determination to grant Tehnoimportexport, S.A. (''TIE'') a separate rate for this review. Therefore, for the final results of review, we reaffirm our determination that TIE is entitled to a separate rate.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 6, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Blozy or Rick Johnson, Office of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0374 or (202) 482–3818.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1997).

Background

On March 6, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 11217) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on TRBs from Romania (''*Preliminary Results*''). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received written comments from respondent, TIE, and from Universal Automotive Trading Company Ltd. (''Universal''), an interested party. Comments submitted consisted of respondent's case brief of April 6, 1998 and Universal's rebuttal brief of April 13, 1998.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of TRBs from Romania. These products include flange, take-up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating tapered roller bearings, and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating tapered rollers, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00, 8482.99.30, 8483.20.40, 8483.30.40, and 8483.90.20. Although the HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

The period of review (''POR'') is June 1, 1996, through May 31, 1997.

Analysis of Comment Received

Comment 1: Respondent and Universal assert that the Department erred in its calculation of freight for certain steel supplies imported from Russia. Respondent states that, based on the Department's language in its analysis memorandum, the longest possible distance used in this review to calculate freight for steel supplies should be either the distance from the Romanian steel mill to the Alexandria factory (280 km) or from Constanza, the port, to the Alexandria factory (350 km).

Petitioner did not comment on this issue.

Department's Position: We disagree with respondent and Universal. As stated in the analysis memorandum for the preliminary results, the Department ''added to CIF surrogate values from Indonesia a surrogate freight cost using the shorter of the reported distances from either the closest port to the manufacturer's factory, or from the actual supplier to the manufacturer's factory.'' *See TIE Analysis Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Review (''Analysis Memorandum'')* at page 5 (March 2, 1998). The Department established this methodology for accounting for the freight component of surrogate values in *Collated Roofing Nails from the People's Republic of China,* 62 FR 25895 (May 12, 1997) (''*Nails*''). Thus, if the material was domestically produced or imported from a non-market economy (''NME'') supplier, we used the shorter of (a) the distance between the closest Romanian port and the factory, or (b) the distance between the actual supplier and the factory to calculate a freight cost.

As noted on page 5 of the *Analysis Memorandum,* some of the distances between Alexandria and NME suppliers were not reported. For those missing distances, the Department assigned a distance of 3000 km, the longest distance reported in the submission. *See Analysis Memorandum* at page 5. However, despite respondent's assertion, the Department correctly calculated a freight cost for those inputs using 350 km, which is the shorter of the distance between Constanza and Alexandria (350 km) and the distance between Alexandria and the Russian NME supplier (3000 km). Therefore, the Department calculated freight in a manner consistent with the methodology established in *Nails.*

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine the dumping margin (in percent) for the period June 1, 1996, through May 30, 1997, to be as follows:

Exporter	Margin (percent)
TIE	0.86

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For assessment purposes, we have calculated an importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rate for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR. The