was filed by the Board on April 21, 1997, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 34–97, 62 FR 24393, 5/5/97) and was amended on April 21, 1998; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that approval of the application, as amended, would be in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the ferroalloys and silicon metals manufacturing plant of Globe Metallurgical, Inc., located in Beverly, Ohio (Subzone 138D), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, and subject to the following conditions:

1. Foreign status products consumed in the production process shall be subject to duty at the applicable rate;

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone; and

3. All foreign status merchandise subject to an antidumping or countervailing duty order (15 CFR 400.33) must be exported.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of July 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19398 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 994]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 183, Austin, Texas

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Foreign Trade Zone of Central Texas, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone No. 183, for authority to expand its zone to include a site at the MET Center industrial park in Austin, Texas, within the Austin Customs port of entry, was filed by the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on August 4, 1997 (Docket 63–97, 62 FR 43700, 8/15/97);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the Act and the regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The grantee is authorized to expand its zone as requested in the application, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of July 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19400 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On June 16, 1998, the Department of Commerce published the final results of administrative review of the antidumping order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea (63 FR 32833). The period of review is November 1, 1995, through October 31, 1996. Subsequent to the publication of the final results, we received comments from respondents and petitioners alleging various ministerial errors. After analyzing the comments submitted, we are amending our final results to correct certain ministerial errors.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marian Wells or Zak Smith; Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, US Department of Commerce; 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone numbers (202) 482–6309 or (202) 482–1279, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act''), as amended, are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. Additionally, unless otherwise indicated all citations to the Department of Commerce's (''the Department's'') regulations are to 19 CFR part 353 (April 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 16, 1998, the Department published the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Korea covering the period November 1, 1995, through October 31, 1996 (*see*, Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 63 FR 32833 (''Final Results''). Subsequently, the following interested parties submitted ministerial error allegations: SeAH Steel Coporation (''SeAH'') and Hyundai Pipe Company Limited (''Hyundai'')(collectively ''the respondents''), and Allied Tube and Conduit Corporation, Sawhill Tubular Division-Armco, Inc., and Wheatland Tube Company (collectively ''the petitioners'').

A summary of each allegation along with the Department's response is included below. We are hereby amending our final results, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.28(c), to reflect the correction of those errors which are clerical in nature.

Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations

Allegation 1: Hyundai alleges that in the concordance program, the Department inadvertently used a different date of sale for Hyundai's U.S. sales than that specified in the Final Results.

Department's Position: We agree with Hyundai and have altered the concordance program such that the appropriate date of sale, as discussed in our Final Results, is used in both the margin and concordance programs.

Allegation 2: Respondents allege that in the concordance program the Department inadvertently applied its general and administrative expenses (G&A) and interest expense adjustment factor on a compounding basis for each

subsequent sale within a control number.

Department's Position: We agree with respondents and have altered the concordance program in order to eliminate the compounding of the adjustment factor for G&A and interest expenses.

Allegation 3: SeAH states that the Department limited the coverage of U.S. sales to the period November 1, 1995 through October 31, 1996 and, in doing so, excluded sales made prior to November 1, 1995, but entered during the period of review (''POR'') from the concordance program. The petitioners argue that the Department correctly limited the sales analyzed to those sales made during the POR.

SeAH also asserts that the Department excluded sales from the year 1995 by incorrectly naming the months of 1995 in the concordance program. According to SeAH, this resulted in the absence of all 1995 sales in the concordance table and therefore, the use of constructed value for all 1995 sales.

SeAH further states that the Department has used two different sales date variables in the concordance and margin programs.

Department's Position: We agree with SeAH on all three issues. Accordingly, we have altered the concordance program in order to include export price (EP) sales made before the POR but entered during the POR (*see*, comment 2 of the Final Results, at 32836). Furthermore, since we incorrectly named the variable representing sales during 1995, we have altered the concordance program to correct this problem. Finally, we corrected the inconsistent use of date variables in the margin program by using the contract date for all EP sales. For constructed export price (''CEP'') sales, we use the variable SALEDTU (sale date) as discussed in our Final Results.

Allegation 4: SeAH maintains that the Department incorrectly excluded certain sales with entry dates during the POR in its margin analysis program.

Department's Position: We agree with SeAH. However, this error only applies to EP sales. For EP sales, we have substituted the field name ENTRDTU for SHIPDT2U in the margin analysis program to correct this error.

Allegation 5: SeAH alleges that the Department double counted U.S. commissions by adding the amount of commissions to the foreign market price and deducting commissions from U.S. price.

Department's Position: We agree with SeAH. To correct this error, we have eliminated the deduction of commissions in the calculation of U.S. price.

Allegation 6: SeAH states that the Department's adjustment to duty drawback was incorrectly calculated for CEP sales. SeAH argues that the Department has negated the claimed duty drawback and calculated a downward adjustment to the U.S. price.

Department's Position: We agree with SeAH. To correct this error, we have recalculated the duty drawback for SeAH's CEP sales (*see* SeAH Correction of Ministerial Errors Calculation Memorandum, June 9, 1998).

Allegation 7: Petitioners argue that the Department neglected to include any selling expenses in the formula for calculating constructed value (''CV'') profit while including such expenses when calculating total CV.

Department's Position: We disagree with petitioners that we made a ministerial error when calculating CV profit. When calculating CV profit we applied the profit rate to a cost of production figure exclusive of certain selling expenses. We did this because the profit rate was also calculated on a basis exclusive of the same selling expenses. Thus, we intentionally did not include selling expenses when calculating CV profit, and therefore, this is not a ministerial error.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the amended margin calculations, the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period November 1, 1995 through October 31, 1996:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percentage margin
Hyundai	2.64
SeAH	2.63

In accordance with the methodology in the *Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Termination of Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea* (62 FR 55574), October 27, 1997, we calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment values by dividing the total antidumping duties due for each importer by the number of tons used to determine the duties due. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting per-ton dollar amount against each ton of the merchandise entered by these importers during the review period.

We will also direct the Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the Final Results and as amended by this determination. The amended deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: July 15, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–19395 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98–033. *Applicant:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 200 S.W. 35th Street, Corvallis, OR 97333. *Instrument:* Nutrient Monitor with Stainless Steel Mooring-frame-in-line. *Manufacturer:* W.S. Ocean Systems Ltd., United Kingdom. *Intended Use:*