Burden Statement: The annual burden for this collection of information is estimated to average fourteen work weeks of professional effort at \$840 per week, and seven work weeks of clerical support at \$360 per week for the government. Approximately 210 requests may be made annually with an average of one hour spent on each request by both entities. The total costs are attributed to labor hours and overhead since there is no capital investment required for this collection of information. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instruction; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instruction and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: August 3, 1998.

### Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 98–21210 Filed 8–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6139-8]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request Up for Renewal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): EPA Worker Protection Standard for Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, EPA ICR #1426.03, OMB Control #2050–0105, Expiration 1/31/99. Before submitting ICR to OMB and Budget (OMB) for review and approval, EPA is soliciting

comments on specific aspects of the collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before October 3, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, 401 M. Street, SW, MS 5101, Washington, DC 20460.

Remit Comments to: Sella M. Burchette, S EPA/ERT, 2890 Woodbridge Ave., Blg 18, MS 101, Edison, NJ 08837–3679.

To obtain a copy at no charge, please contact Sella Burchette at (732) 321–6726/FAX: (732) 321–6724/or electronically at burchette.sella@epamail.epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected entities: Entities affected by this action are those State and local employees engaged in hazardous waste operations and emergency response in the 27 States that do not have Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) approved State plans.

Title: EPA Worker Protection Standard for Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, EPA ICR #1426.03, OMB Control #2050– 0105, Expiration 1–31–99. This is a request for renewal, without change, of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Section 126 (f) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) require EPA to set worker protection standards for State and local employees engaged in hazardous waste operations and emergency response in the 27 States that do not have Occupational Safety and Health Administration approved State plans. The EPA coverage, required to be identical to the OSHA standards, extends to three categories of employees: those in clean-ups at uncontrolled hazardous waste sites, including corrective actions at Treatment, Storage and Disposal (TSD) facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); employees working at routine hazardous waste operations at RCRA TSD facilities; and employees involved in emergency response operations without regard to location. This ICR renews the existing mandatory recordkeeping collection of ongoing activities including monitoring of any potential employee exposure at uncontrolled hazardous waste site, maintaining records of employee training, refresher training, medical exams, and reviewing emergency response plans.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(iii) enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected: and

(iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including though the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technology collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The annual recordkeeping burden for this collection is estimated to average 10.64 hours per site or event. The estimated number of respondents is approximated at 100 RCRA regulated TSD facilities or uncontrolled hazardous waste sites; 23,900 State and local police departments, fire departments or hazardous materials response teams. The estimated total burden hours on respondents: 255,427. The frequency of collection: continuous maintenance or records.

Send comments regarding these matters, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the address listed above.

Dated: July 30, 1998.

## Larry Reed,

Acting Office Director, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

[FR Doc. 98-21211 Filed 8-6-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5494-3]

# **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements, Filed July 27, 1998 Through July 31, 1998, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 980287, DRAFT EIS, COE, CA, Los Angeles County Drainage Area

- (LACDA) Water Conservation and Supply and Santa Fe-Whittier Narrows Dams Feasibility Study, Implementation, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: September 21, 1998, Contact: Ms. Debbie Lamb (213) 452– 3798.
- EIS No. 980288, FINAL EIS, AFS, CA, Eight Eastside Rivers, Wild and Scenic River Study, Suitability or Nonsuitability, Tahoe National Forest and Lake Tahoe Management Unit, Land and Resource Management Plans, Alpine, El Dorado, Placer, Nevada and Sierra Counties, CA, Due: September 8, 1998, Contact: Phil Horning (530) 478–6210.
- EIS No. 980289, FINAL EIS, FHW, TX, Loop 49 Southern Section Construction, TX–155 to TX–110, Funding, Tyler, Smith County, TX, Due: September 8, 1998, Contact: Walter C. Waidelich (512) 916–5988.
- EIS No. 980290, DRAFT EIS, NPS, CA, Redwood National and State Parks General Management Plan, Implementation, Humboldt and Del Norte Counties, CA, Due: October 9, 1998, Contact: Alan Schmierer (414) 427–1441.
- EIS No. 980291, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MN, TH–23 Reconstruction, MN-TH–22 in Richmond extending through the Cities of Richmond, Cold Spring and Rockville to I–94, Funding, Stearns County, MN, Due: September 22, 1998, Contact: Cheryl Martin (612) 291–6120.
- EIS No. 980292, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MO, MO–63 Corridor Project,
  Transportation Improvement extending from south of the Phelps/Maries County Line and South of Route W near Vida, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Rolla, Phelps and Maries Counties, MO, Due: October 3, 1998, Contact: Don Neumann (573) 636–7104.
- EIS No. 980293, FINAL EIS, FHW, TN, Shelby Avenue/Demonbreum Street Corridor, from I–65 North to I–40 West in Downtown Nashville, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Davidson County, TN, Due: September 8, 1998, Contact: James E. Scapellato (615) 736–5394.
- EIS No. 980294, DRAFT EIS, NOA, MN, Minnesota's Lake Superior Costal Program, Approval and Implementation, St. Louis and Cook Counties, MN, Due: September 21, 1998, Contact: Joseph A. Uravitch (301) 713–3155.
- EIS No. 980295, DRAFT EIS, BLM, WY, Carbon Basin Coal Project Area, Coal Lease Application for Elk Mountain/ Saddleback Hills, Carbon County,

- WY, Due: October 6, 1998, Contact: Jon Johnson (307) 775–6116.
- EIS No. 980296, FINAL EIS, BLM, AK, Northeast National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska (NPR-A), Integrate Activity Plan, Multiple-Use Management, for Land within the North Slope Borough, AK, Due: September 8, 1998, Contact: Gene Terland (907) 271–3344.
- EIS No. 980297, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, AFS, MT, Helena National Forest and Elkhorn Mountain portion of the Deerlodge National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Updated Information on Oil and Gas Leasing, Implementation several counties, MT, Due: September 08, 1998, Contact: Tom Andersen (Ext 277) (406) 446–5201.
- EIS No. 980298, FINAL EIS, COE, CA, Montezuma Wetlands Project, Use of Cover and Non-cover Dredged Materials to restore Wetland, Implementation, Conditional-Use-Permit, NPDES and COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Suisum Marsh in Collinsville, Solano County, CA, Due: September 08, 1998, Contact: Liz Varnhagen (415) 977–8451.
- EIS No. 980299, FINAL EIS, USA, MD, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Pilot Testing of Neutralization/Biotreatment of Mustard Agent (HD), Design, Construction and Operation, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permit, Harford County, MD, Due: September 08, 1998, Contact: Mr. Matt Hurlburt (410) 612–7027.
- EIS No. 980300, DRAFT EIS, COE, AR, Grand Prairie Area Demonstration Project, Implementation, Water Conservation, Groundwater Management and Irrigation Water Supply, Prairie, Arkansas, Monroe and Lonoke Counties, AR, Due: September 21, 1998, Contact: Edward P. Lambert (901) 544–0707.

## Amended Notices

EIS No. 980267, DRAFT EIS, DOE, CA, NM, TX, ID, SC, WA, Surplus Plutonium Disposition (DOE/EIS–0283) for Siting, Construction and Operation of three facilities for Plutonium Disposition, Possible Sites Hanford, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Pantex Plant and Savannah River, CA, ID, NM, SC, TX and WA, Due: September 16, 1998, Contact: G. Bert Stevenson (202) 586–5368. The DOE granted a 60-Day review period for the above project.

EIS No. 980269, DRAFT EIS, AFS, ID, Eagle Bird Project Area, Timber Harvesting and Road Construction, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, St. Joe Ranger District, Shoshone County, ID, Due: September 07, 1998, Contact: Cameo Flood (208) 245–4517. Published FR–07–24–98—Due Date Correction.

Dated: August 4, 1998.

### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Environmental Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98-21235 Filed 8-7-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6139-5]

### Notice of Proposed CERCLA Section 122(h)(1) Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposal of CERCLA section 106 abatement action and section 122(h)(1) administrative cost recovery settlement for the Cecil's Transmission Repair site.

**SUMMARY:** U.S. EPA proposes to address the potential liability of Buhl and Laura Smith ("Settling Parties") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., by providing for performance of removal actions to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare or the environment resulting from the actual or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Cecil's Transmission Repair Site ("the Site"), located at 197 and 209 Collier Road, Doylestown, Wayne County, Ohio. U.S. EPA proposes to address the potential liability of the Settling Parties by execution of a CERCLA section 122(h)(1) Administrative Order on Consent ("AOC"), prepared pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1). The key terms and conditions of the AOC may be briefly summarized as follows: (1) The Settling Parties agree to remove and dispose of all hazardous waste located on the portion of the Site they own, including drums; (2) U.S. EPA provides the Settling Parties a covenant not to sue for recovery of response costs (past and oversight costs) pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), and contribution protection as provided by CERCLA sections 113(f)(2) and 122(h)(4), 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) and 9622(h)(4), conditioned upon satisfactory completion of obligations under the AOC. The Site is not on the NPL, and no further response activities at the Site are anticipated at this time. The total response costs connected with