

(27 U.S.T. 1087); Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703-712); Lacey Act (18 U.S.C. 42); Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668a); Wild Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4901-4916); Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*); and Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: July 31, 1998.

Jamie Rapport Clark,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98-21368 Filed 8-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV-030-5700-10; Closure Notice No. NV-030-98-003]

Temporary Closure of Public Lands; Washoe County, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Nevada.

SUMMARY: The Carson City District Manager announces the temporary closure of selected public lands under his administration. This action is being taken to provide for public safety during the 1998 Reno National Championship Air Races.

EFFECTIVE DATES: September 14 through September 20, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles P. Pope, Acting Assistant Manager, Nonrenewable Resources, Carson City Field Office, 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, NV 89701. Telephone (702) 885-6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This closure applies to all the public, on foot or in vehicles. The public lands affected by this closure are described as follows:

Mt. Diablo Meridian

T. 21 N., R. 19 E.,

Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Aggregating approximately 680 acres.

The above restrictions do not apply to emergency or law enforcement personnel or event officials. The authority for this closure is 43 CFR 8364.1. Persons who violate this closure order are subject to arrest and, upon conviction, may be fined not more than \$1,000 and/or imprisoned for not more than 12 months.

A map of the closed area is posted in the Carson City District Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: August 8, 1998.

Charles P. Pope,

Acting Assistant Manager, Nonrenewable Resources, Carson City Field Office.

[FR Doc. 98-21357 Filed 8-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AZ-910-0777-61-241A]

State of Arizona Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Arizona Resource Advisory Council Meeting, notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Arizona Resource Advisory Council. The meeting will be held September 10, 1998, beginning at 8:30 a.m. in the New Mexico Room at the BLM National Training Center, 9828 North 31st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona. The agenda items to be covered at the one-day business meeting include review of previous meeting minutes; BLM State Director's Update on legislation, regulations and other statewide issues; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Presentation on the Endangered Species Act and Section 7 Consultation Process; General Presentation by Forest Service on rangeland management issues; BLM Presentation on the National Environmental Policy Act; Updates on the Barry Goldwater Range EIS and the Vermillion Cliffs Project; Proposed Field Office Rangeland Resource Teams; and Reports by the Standards and Guidelines, Recreation and Public Relations, Wild Horse and Burro Working Groups; Reports from BLM Field Office Managers; Reports from RAC members; and Discussion on future meetings. A public comment period will take place at 11:30 a.m. on September 10, 1998, for any interested publics who wish to address the Council.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah E. Stevens, Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office, 222 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2203, (602) 417-9215.

John Christensen,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 98-21290 Filed 8-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has

made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject