activities and outcomes of the analysis and assessment phases of their grant project. The agencies implementing the problem-solving process through their PSP grants vary significantly in terms of population size, primary problems, location, partners, evaluators, and demographics. The agencies and their partners are working together to target either specific property crimes, violent crimes, problems associated with drugs and/or alcohol, or crimes related to public disorder.

The COPS Office is looking to provide documentation that may stimulate the promotion of problem solving as a way of addressing crime/disorder problems for both current and future grantees looking to implement the problemsolving approach. The Analysis Survey will be distributed to grantees once OMB approval is obtained. The Assessment Survey will be distributed to grantees at a later date, once agencies have completed evaluating the impact of their tailor-made responses. Information obtained from these surveys will be disseminated to other departments to promote the adoption of problemsolving approaches.

- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply: Each survey, the Analysis Survey and the Assessment Survey, will be administered one time. Approximately 470 respondents per survey administration, at 55 minutes per respondent per survey (including record-keeping).
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 861.6 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Ms. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Office, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 8, 1998.

## Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98–27783 Filed 10–15–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Emergency Planning and Right To Know Act, and Toxic Substance Control Act

Notice is hereby given that the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") lodged a proposed Consent Decree in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, in *United States* v. *Ashland*, Inc., Civil Action No. 98-157, on October 1, 1998. This Consent Decree resolves the claims of the United States against Ashland, pursuant to the Clean Air Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1431, et seq., the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq., the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, §§ 6901, et seq., the **Emergency Planning and Community** Right-to-Know Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11011, et seq., the Toxic Substances Control Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2601, et seq., state permits, related state laws, and state and federal regulations. The consent decree concerns Ashland's operation of petroleum refineries in Canton, Ohio, Catlettsburg, Kentucky, and St. Paul Park, Minnesota.

The Consent Decree provides that Ashland will pay \$5,864,000 in cash penalties and will spend approximately \$15 million implementing four Supplemental Environmental Projects ("SEPs"). In addition, Ashland has agreed to undertake injunctive work at its three facilities. The cost of this work totals approximately \$12 million. The consent decree further provides for the payment of interest from the date of lodging the decree and stipulated penalties should Ashland fail to comply with the decree including failure to complete any of the injunctive work or SEPs.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Ashland, Inc.* DOJ #90-7-1-906.

The proposed Settlement Agreement may be examined at the following offices: United States Attorney, Eastern District of Kentucky, 110 West Vine Street, Suite 400, Lexington, KY 40596– 3077, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, 1800 Bank

One Center, 600 Superior Ave., E., Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2600; United States Attorney for the District of Minnesota, 300 South 4th St., Suite 600, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the reference given above and enclose a check in the amount of \$9.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. Bruce S. Gelber,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources

Division.

[FR Doc. 98-27853 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree in Clean Water Act Enforcement Action

In accordance with the Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a Consent Decree in United States v. Coastal Coal Company, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2:98CV97 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia on September 28, 1998. This Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims against the named defendants under Section 309(b) and 309(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b) and 1319(d), for discharging pollutants in violation of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit at the T & T Fuels Mine No. 2 in Preston County, West Virginia. The Consent Decree requires Coastal Coal Company, LLC and Coastal Coal Company—West Virginia, LLC to implement a remediation project at the T & T Fuels Mine No. 2 site to abate continuing discharges of acid mine drainage. The Consent Decree also requires the Coastal companies to pay a civil penalty of \$100,000 and requires defendant FSS Holdings, Inc. to pay a civil penalty of \$10,000.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments on the proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044 and refer to

United States v. Coastal Coal Company, et al., DOJ No. 90–5–1–4287–1.

Copies of the proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of West Virginia, 100 Main Street, Room 200, Wheeling, West Virginia 26003; EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. When requesting a copy of the proposed Consent Decree, please enclose a check to cover the twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs payable to the "Consent Decree Library" in the amount of \$10, and please reference United States v. Coastal Coal Company, et al., DOJ No. 90-5-1-1-4287-1.

#### Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-27852 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[DEA #179P]

Controlled Substances: Proposed Aggregate Production Quotas for 1999

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Justice. ACTION: Notice of proposed 1999 aggregate production quotas. **SUMMARY:** This notice proposes initial 1999 aggregate production quotas for controlled substances in Schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

**DATES:** Comments or objections must be received on or before November 16, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments or objections to the Acting Deputy Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C. 20537, Attn: DEA Federal Register Representative (CCR).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank L. Sapienza, Chief, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C. 20537, Telephone: (202) 307–7183.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 306 of the CSA (21 U.S. C. 826) requires that the Attorney General establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedules I and II. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by Section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Administrator, in turn, has redelegated this function to the Deputy Administrator of the DEA pursuant to Section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The proposed 1999 aggregate production quotas represent those quantities of controlled substances that may be produced in the United States in 1999 to provide adequate supplies of each substance for: the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States; lawful export requirements; and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. These quotas do not include imports of controlled

substances for use in industrial processes.

In determining the proposed 1999 aggregate production quotas, the Acting Deputy Administrator considered the following factors: total actual 1997 and estimated 1998 and 1999 net disposals of each substance by all manufacturers: estimates of 1998 year-end inventories of each substance and of any substance manufactured from it and trends in accumulation of such inventories: product development requirements of both bulk and finished dosage form manufacturers; projected demand as indicated by procurement quota applications filed pursuant to Section 1303.12 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and other pertinent information.

Pursuant to Section 1303 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Acting Deputy Administrator of the DEA will, in early 1999, adjust aggregate production quotas and individual manufacturing quotas allocated for the year based upon 1998 year-end inventory and actual 1998 disposition data supplied by quotas recipients for each basic class of Scheudle I or II controlled substance.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by Section 306 of the CSA of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826), delegated to the Administrator of the DEA by Section 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and redelegated to the Deputy Administrator pursuant to Section 0.104 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Acting Deputy Administrator hereby proposes that the 1999 aggregate production quotas for the following controlled substances, expressed in grams of anhydrous acid or base, be established as follows:

Basic class	Proposed 1999 quotas
Schedule I:	
2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine	10,001,000
2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine	2
3-Methylfentanyl	14
3-Methylfentanyl	2
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA)	20
3.4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine (MDFA)	30
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine (MDEA) 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)	20
3,4,5-Trimethoxyamphetamine	20
4-Bromo-2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine (DOB)	2
4 Promo 2.5 Dimethoxynthricalinine (DOD)	2
4-Bromo-2,5-Dimethoxyphenethylamine (2-ĆB) 4-Methoxyamphetamine 4-Methylaminorex	∠ 17
4-wetroxyamprieamine	17
4-Methylaminorex	3
4-Methyl-2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine (DOM)	2
5-Methoxy-3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine	2
Acetyl-alpha-methylfentanyl	2
Acetyldihydrocodeine	2
Acetylmethadol	7
Allylprodine	2
Alpha-acetylmethadol	7