improve the facilities' international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 8, 1999. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to January 25, 1999).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, Room 596, 517 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53202.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: October 30, 1998.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 98–29853 Filed 11–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[A(27f)–45–98]

Foreign-Trade Zone 8—Toledo, OH, Redesignation of Foreign-Trade Subzone 8F

Based on a request by the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 8, for a minor modification of the grant of authority for FTZ Subzone 8F at the BP Exploration & Oil Inc., refineries in Toledo and Lima, Ohio (Board Order 822, 61 FR 27048, 5/30/96), Subzone 8F—Site 2 (the Lima refinery site) is redesignated as Subzone 8G. The Lima refinery has been sold to Clark USA and will be operated separately. The authority for the site, now designated as Subzone 8G, would continue to be based on the FTZ Board's authorization in Board Order 822, including its special conditions and restrictions.

Dated: October 30, 1998.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–29852 Filed 11–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 48–98]

Foreign-Trade Subzone 167B—Polaris Industries, Inc.; Expansion of Manufacturing Authority (Internal-Combustion Engines) Osceola, Wisconsin

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by Polaris Industries, Inc. (Polaris), operator of FTZ Subzone 167B, at the Polaris plant, Osceola, Wisconsin, requesting an expansion of the scope of manufacturing authority to include a new end product (motorcycle engines) and additional internal-combustion engine manufacturing capacity under FTZ procedures within Subzone 167B. It was formally filed on November 3, 1998.

Subzone 167B was approved by the Board in 1997 with activity granted for the manufacture of small internal-combustion engines for snowmobiles, personal water craft, all-terrain vehicles (Board Order 940, 62 FR 66601, 12–19–97).

Polaris has installed new manufacturing capacity at the Osceola plant (84 employees) used to produce a new model motorcycle engine (V-twin, 1,507 cc) and now requests that its FTZ manufacturing authority be extended to include the increased motorcycle engine capacity. The company plans to produce up to 20,000 motorcycle engines annually, which would be shipped to Polaris' Spirit Lake, Iowa, plant to equip motorcycles assembled there. The new engine manufacturing activity will involve casting, machining, finishing, and assembly using domestic and foreign materials and components.

The motorcycle engine production will utilize foreign-sourced pistons and cylinders (2.6% duty rate) (representing 5% of the finished engines' ex-plant value) and all other components from domestic sources.

FTZ procedures would exempt Polaris from Customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export activity (less than 5% of shipments). On its domestic sales, the company would be able to elect the duty rate that applies to finished engines (duty free) for the foreign components noted above. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures help improve Polaris' international competitiveness.

The application has requested review under § 400.32(b)(1) of the FTZ Board regulations based on the previous Board approvals.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 24, 1998. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to January 8, 1999.)

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the following location: Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–29991 Filed 11–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–822 A–122–823]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada: Notice of Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 9, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Thomas Gilgunn, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0666 and (202) 482–0648 respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act

by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Canada. On September 25, 1997 (62 FR 50292), the Department initiated this antidumping administrative review covering the period August 1, 1996 through July 31, 1997.

Because of the complexity of certain issues, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the final results to December 17, 1998. This extension of time limits is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement III.

[FR Doc. 98–29996 Filed 11–6–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–557–805

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the petitioner and three producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States (Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd., Heveafil Sdn. Bhd./Filmax Sdn. Bhd., Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd., and Rubfil Sdn. Bhd.). The period of review is October 1, 1996, through September 30, 1997.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the normal value by each of the companies subject to this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who wish to submit comments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 9, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shawn Thompson or Irina Itkin, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1776 or (202) 482–0656, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 2, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of ''Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review'' of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia (62 FR 51628).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), on October 20, 1997, the petitioner, North American Rubber Thread, requested an administrative review of the antidumping order covering the period October 1, 1996, through September 30, 1997, for the following producers and exporters of extruded rubber thread: Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd. (Filati), Heveafil Sdn. Bhd./Filmax Sdn. Bhd. (Heveafil), Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd. (Rubberflex), and Rubfil Sdn. Bhd. (Rubfil). On October 31, 1997, Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex also requested an administrative review.

In November 1997, the Department initiated an administrative review for Filati, Heveafil, Rubberflex, and Rubfil (62 FR 63069 (Nov. 26, 1997)) and issued questionnaires to each of these companies.

In February 1998, we received responses from Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex. We received no response from Rubfil. Because Rubfil did not respond to the questionnaire, we have assigned a margin to Rubfil based on facts available. For further discussion, see the ''Facts Available'' section, below.

In June and July 1998, we issued supplemental questionnaires to Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex. We received responses to these questionnaires in July, August, and September 1998.

From September through November 1998, the Department conducted verifications of the data submitted by Filati, Heveafil, and Rubberflex, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.307(b)(iv).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is extruded rubber thread. Extruded rubber thread is defined as vulcanized rubber thread obtained by extrusion of stable or concentrated natural rubber latex of any cross sectional shape, measuring from 0.18 mm, which is 0.007 inch or 140 gauge, to 1.42 mm, which is 0.056 inch or 18 gauge, in diameter. Extruded rubber thread is currently classifiable under subheading 4007.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351, 62 FR 27296 (May 19, 1997).

Facts Available

A. Use of Facts Available for Rubfil

In accordance with section 776(a)(2)(A) of the Act, we preliminarily determine that the use of facts available is appropriate as the basis for Rubfil's dumping margin. Section 776(a)(2) of the Act provides that if an interested party: (1) Withholds information that has been requested by the Department; (2) fails to provide such information in a timely manner or in the form or manner requested, subject to subsections 782(c)(1) and (e); (3) significantly impedes a determination under the antidumping statute; or (4) provides such information but the information cannot be verified, the Department shall, subject to subsection 782(d) of the Act, use facts otherwise available in reaching the applicable determination. Specifically, Rubfil failed to respond to the Department's questionnaire, issued in November 1997. Because Rubfil did not respond to the Department's questionnaire, we must use facts otherwise available to determine Rubfil's dumping margin.