review with respect to Kohap, Ltd. (Kohap). The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and the period June 1, 1997 through May 31, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4475 or 482–0649, respectively.

Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review and Rescission of Review With Respect To Kohap

On July 16, 1998, the Department initiated this new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea, manufactured by H.S. Industries and Kohap, Ltd. (63 FR 38371). The current deadline for the preliminary results is January 12, 1999. We have determined that this review is extraordinarily complicated, and that we are unable to complete it within the original timeframe. (See Memorandum to the File dated November 24, 1998.)

Accordingly, the deadline for issuing the preliminary results is now due no later than May 12, 1999. The deadline for issuing the final results will be no later than 90 days from the publication of the preliminary results.

On August 21, 1998, Kohap withdrew its request for a new shipper administrative review. Accordingly, we are rescinding this new shipper review with respect to Kohap. Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to require cash deposits on all shipments of PET film manufactured by Kohap and entered or withdrawn from warehouse.

This notice is in accordance with Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(2)(B)(iv)).

Dated: November 29, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III.

[FR Doc. 98–32442 Filed 12–4–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate, Film, Sheet, and Strip From Korea; Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Korea. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise and the period June 1, 1997 through May 31, 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4475 or 482–0649, respectively.

Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review

On July 28, 1998, the Department initiated this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Korea. (63 FR 40258). The current deadline for the preliminary results is March 2, 1999. We determine that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time frame. (See Memorandum to the File dated November 24, 1998.)

Accordingly, the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this review is now due no later than June 30, 1999. The deadline for issuing the final results of this review will be no later than 120 days from the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(3)(A)).

Dated: November 21, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III.

[FR Doc. 98–32443 Filed 12–4–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–827]

Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan; Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on static random access memory semiconductors from Taiwan. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shawn Thompson or Sergio Gonzalez, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–1776 or 482–1779, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27295, May 19, 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received a timely request from Giga Semiconductor Inc. (GSI Technology), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), for a semiannual new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain static random access memory semiconductors (SRAMS) from Taiwan, which has an October semiannual anniversary date. GSI Technology (the respondent) has certified in its October 15, 1998, and its November 20, 1998, submissions to Department that it did not export SRAMS to the United States for sale during the period of investigation (POI) and that it is not affiliated with any exporter or producer which did export SRAMS for sale during the POI. According to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), a person may request a new shipper review if the person did not export

subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. GSI Technology's new shipper request indicates that it did export subject merchandise during the POI. However, GSI Technology certified that such exports were samples used for customer qualification purposes and were never sold. Because GSI Technology's exports were never sold, we have determined that they were not ''exports'' within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i). Thus, GSI Technology qualifies as a new shipper. However, GSI Technology's claim that the merchandise it exported during the POI was never sold is subject to verification.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating the new shipper review as requested.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on SRAMS from Taiwan. Under section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i), the Secretary will issue preliminary results of this review within 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated and will issue the final results of the review within 90 days after issuance of the preliminary result. In accordance with our practice, all other provisions of 19 CFR 351.214 will apply to GSI Technology throughout the duration of this new shipper review.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
Taiwan: Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors, A–583–827 Giga Semiconductor Inc	10/01/97–09/30/98

We will instruct the Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the above-listed company. This action is in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e) and (j)(3).

Interested parties that need access to the proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

Dated: November 30, 1998.

Holly Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–32437 Filed 12–4–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

[C–533–816]

Preliminary Negative Countervailing Duty Determination: Elastic Rubber Tape From India

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vincent Kane or Suresh Maniam, Office I, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3099, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–2815 or 482–0176, respectively.

Preliminary Determination

The Department of Commerce preliminarily determines that no countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of elastic rubber tape from India.

Petitioners

The petition in this investigation was filed on August 18, 1998. The petitioners are Fulflex, Inc., Middletown, Rhode Island; Elastomer Technologies Group, Inc., Stuart, Virginia; and RM Engineered Products, Inc., North Charleston, South Carolina (''the petitioners'').

Case History

Since the publication of the notice of initiation in the **Federal Register** (see Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Elastic Rubber Tape from India, 63 FR 49549 (September 16, 1998)), the following events have occurred. On September 18, 1998, and October 15, 1998, we issued countervailing duty questionnaires to the Government of India (''GOI'') and the only known producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, Garware Elastomerics, Ltd. (''GEL''). On November 3 and November 13, 1998, we issued supplemental questionnaires to GEL and the GOI, respectively.

We received questionnaire responses from the GOI and GEL on November 9, 1998, and a supplemental questionnaire response from GEL on November 16, 1998.

On October 30, 1998, we postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation until November 30, 1998. (See Notice of Postponement of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Investigation: Elastic Rubber Tape from India, 63 FR 601762.)

Period of Investigation

The period for which we are measuring subsidies (''the POI'') is GEL's 1997 fiscal year from April 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998.

Scope of Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the product covered is elastic rubber tape. Elastic rubber tape is defined as vulcanized, non-cellular rubber strips, of either natural or synthetic rubber, 0.006 inches to 0.100 inches (0.15 mm to 2.54 mm) in thickness, and ⅛ inches to 1⅝ inches (3 mm to 42 mm) in width. Such product is generally used in swim wear and underwear.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (''HTSUS'') at subheading 4008.21.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''), effective January 1, 1995 (''the Act''). The Department of Commerce (''the Department'') is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. All other references are to the Department's regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1997), unless otherwise indicated.

Injury Test

Because India is a ''Subsidies Agreement Country'' within the meaning of section 701(b) of the Act, the International Trade Commission (''ITC'') is required to determine whether imports of the subject merchandise from