

office of the Phlx. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Phlx-98-42 and should be submitted by January 13, 1999.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-33983 Filed 12-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #3145]

State of Texas; Amendment #4

In accordance with information received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency dated December 7 and 8, 1998, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to include Grimes, Polk, and Trinity Counties in the State of Texas as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms, flooding, and tornadoes. This declaration is further amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on October 17 and continuing through November 15, 1998, and to extend the deadline for filing applications for physical damage to January 21, 1999 in the following counties: Grimes, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, Polk, San Jacinto, Trinity, and Victoria.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the contiguous counties of Angelina, Houston, Madison, and Tyler in the State of Texas may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location. Any counties contiguous to the above-named primary counties and not listed herein have been previously declared.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the deadline for filing applications for physical damage for all other counties is December 19, 1998, and for economic injury the termination date is July 21, 1999.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: December 14, 1998.

Bernard Kulik,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98-33877 Filed 12-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR Part 236 as detailed below.

Docket No. FRA-1998-4628.

Applicant: Central Kansas Railway, L.L.C., Mr. L. R. Mitchell, Superintendent, 1825 West Harry Street, Wichita, Kansas 67213.

The Central Kansas Railway, L.L.C. seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the automatic interlocking signal system, on the single main track, Hoisington Subdivision, at Scott City, Kansas, milepost 681.8, associated with the installation of two connecting tracks, one north and one south of the Hoisington main track, which will enable eastward or westward train movements from the crossing at grade.

The reasons given for the proposed changes is that the pole line affecting the interlocking was severely damaged during an ice storm in March 1998, and only one train movement is operated over the interlocking daily.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made and contain a concise statement of the interest of the Protestant in the proceeding. The original and two copies of the protest shall be filed with the Associate Administrator for Safety, FRA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590 within 45 calendar days of the date of publication of this notice. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on December 15, 1998.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development.

[FR Doc. 98-33899 Filed 12-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR part 236 as detailed below.

Docket No.: FRA-1998-4630

Applicant: Consolidated Rail Corporation, Mr. J.F. Noffsinger, Chief Engineer—C&S Assets, 2001 Market Street, P.O. Box 41410, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101-1410.

The Consolidated Rail Corporation seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of "CP Wall" Interlocking, milepost 87.4, near Lebanon, Pennsylvania, on the Harrisburg Line and Cornwall Industrial Track, Philadelphia Division, consisting of the discontinuance and removal of all controlled signals, conversion of remaining switches to hand operation, and installation of electric locks for the switches on tracks No. 1 and No. 2.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to retire facilities no longer required for present operation.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the Protestant in the proceeding. The original and two copies of the protest shall be filed with the Associate Administrator for Safety, FRA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590 within 45 calendar days of the date of publication of this notice. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).