

3. The proposed amendments will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed change is only a change to the QAP and does not involve any construction. Therefore, it does not result in a significant construction impact.

4. The proposed amendments will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

The proposed revisions to the QAP provide for additional ways to approve suppliers for inclusion on the Approved Suppliers List and clarify the audit requirements applied to suppliers conducting work under the USEC QAP. It does not change any previously analyzed accidents and does not affect the possibility of occurrence of a criticality accident. Therefore, the proposed change does not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

5. The proposed amendments will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The proposed revisions to the QAP provide for additional ways to approve suppliers for inclusion on the Approved Suppliers List and clarify the audit requirements applied to suppliers conducting work under the USEC QAP. The proposed change does not introduce any new or different kind of accident. Therefore, this change will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

6. The proposed amendments will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The proposed revisions to the QAP provide for additional ways to approve suppliers for inclusion on the Approved Suppliers List and clarify the audit requirements applied to suppliers conducting work under the USEC QAP. Margins of safety are not affected by this change. Therefore, the proposed change does not represent a reduction in any margin of safety.

7. The proposed amendments will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs.

The proposed revisions to the QAP provides for additional ways to approve suppliers for inclusion on the Approved Suppliers List and clarify the audit requirements applied to suppliers conducting work under the USEC QAP. These changes do not decrease the overall effectiveness of the plants' safety, safeguards, and security programs.

*Effective date:* The amendments to Certificates of Compliance GDP-1 and GDP-2 will become effective no later than 90 days after being signed by the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

*Certificates of Compliance Nos. GDP-1 and GDP-2:* These amendments will revise the QAPs to provide for additional ways to approve suppliers for inclusion on the Approved Suppliers List and to clarify the audit requirements applied to suppliers conducting work under the USEC QAP.

*Local Public Document Room Locations:* Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003 and Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl J. Paperiello,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 99-19859 Filed 8-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

#### Extension:

Rule 11a1-1(T), SEC File No. 270-428, OMB Control No. 3235-0478

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

- Rule 11a1-1(T)—Transaction Yielding Priority, Parity, and Precedence

On January 27, 1976, the Commission adopted Rule 11a1-1(T) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") to exempt transactions of exchange members for their own accounts that would otherwise be prohibited under Section 11(a) of the Exchange Act. The rule provides that a member's proprietary order may be executed on the exchange

of which the trader is a member, if, among other things: (1) The member discloses that a bid or offer for its account is for account to any member with whom such bid or offer is placed or to whom it is communicated; (2) any such member through whom that bid or offer is communicated discloses to others participating in effecting the order that it is for the account of a member; and (3) immediately before executing the order, a member (other than a specialist in such security) presenting any order for the account of a member on the exchange clearly announces or otherwise indicates to the specialist and to other members then present that he is presenting an order for the account of a member.

There are approximately 1,000 respondents that require an aggregate total of 333 hours to comply with this rule. Each of these approximately 1,000 respondents makes an estimated 20 annual responses, for an aggregate of 20,000 responses per year. Each response takes approximately 1 minute to complete. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 333 hours (20,000 minutes/60 minutes per hour = 333 hours). The approximate cost per hour is \$100, resulting in a total cost of compliance for the respondents of \$33,333 (333 hours @ \$100).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: July 27, 1999.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-19873 Filed 8-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M