

include a federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: September 30, 1999.

William J. Muszynski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 99-26856 Filed 10-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6453-1]

Georgia: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to grant final authorization to the hazardous waste program revisions submitted by Georgia. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the State's program revisions as an immediate final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. The Agency has explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. If EPA does not receive adverse written comments, the immediate final rule will become effective and the Agency will not take further action on this proposal. If EPA receives adverse written comments, EPA will withdraw the immediate final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will then address public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. EPA may not provide further opportunity for comment. Any parties interested in commenting on this action must do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 15, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to Narindar Kumar, Chief, RCRA Programs Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104; (404) 562-8440. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by Georgia during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 4, Library, The Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3104, Phone number: (404) 562-8190; or Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, 205 Butler Street, SE, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, Phone number: (404) 656-2833.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Narindar Kumar, Chief, RCRA Programs Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency at the above address and phone number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 99-26192 Filed 10-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

Possible Revision or Elimination of Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Review of regulations under the Regulatory Flexibility Act; comment request.

SUMMARY: This document invites members of the public to comment on the Commission's rules to be reviewed pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980. The purpose of the review is to determine whether the rules, published 1986 through 1989 as contained in the Appendix, should be continued without change, should be amended, or should be rescinded to minimize any significant impact of the rules upon a substantial number of small entities. Upon receipt of comments from the public, comments will be evaluated, and action taken to rescind or amend the Commission's rules, as required.

DATES: Comments may be filed on or before December 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric Malinen or Helen G. Hillegass, Office of Communications Business Opportunities, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-0990.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of Secretary, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each year an opportunity will be created for a review and comment by interested parties on the Commission's rules that may require amendment or rescission. What follows is the entire text of the public notice, including the Appendix.

Public Notice

FCC Seeks Comment Regarding Possible Revision or Elimination of Rules Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 610

Released: September 24, 1999.

Comment Period Closes: December 10, 1999.

1. Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, *see* 5 U.S.C. 610, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) hereby publishes a plan for the review of rules issued by the agency in calendar years 1986, 1987, 1988, and 1989 which have, or might have, a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The purpose of the review will be to determine whether such rules should be continued without change, or should be amended or rescinded, consistent with the stated objectives of applicable statutes, to minimize any significant economic impact of such rules upon a substantial number of small entities.

2. The accompanying Appendix lists the FCC regulations to be reviewed during the next twelve months. In succeeding years, as here, lists will be published for the review of regulations promulgated ten years preceding the year of review.

3. In reviewing each rule under this plan to minimize the possible significant economic impact on small entities, consistent with the stated objectives of the applicable statutes, the FCC will consider the following factors:

- The continued need for the rule;
- The nature of complaints or comments received concerning the rule from the public;
- The complexity of the rule;
- The extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other Federal rules, and, to the extent feasible, with State and local governmental rules; and