in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1998 Jaguar XK-8 is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence \* \* \*, 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 116 Brake Fluid, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints, 204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Retention, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the vehicle conforms to the Bumper Standard found at 49 CFR part 581.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) Substitution of a lens marked "Brake" for a lens with a noncomplying symbol on the brake failure indicator lamp; (b) Installation of a seat belt warning lamp that displays the appropriate symbol; (c) Recalibration of the speedometer/ odometer to show distance in miles and speed in miles per hour.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment:* (a) Installation of U.S.-model headlamp assemblies; (b) Installation of U.S.model front and rear sidemarker/ reflector assemblies; (c) Installation of U.S.-model taillamp assemblies; (d) Installation of a high mounted stop lamp if the vehicle is not already so equipped.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* Installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 111 *Rearview Mirror:* Replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component.

Standard No. 114 *Theft Protection:* Installation of a warning buzzer and a warning buzzer microswitch in the steering lock assembly.

Standard No. 118 *Power Window Systems:* Rewiring of the power window system so that the window transport is inoperative when the ignition is switched off.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Installation of a U.S. model seat belt in the driver's position, or a belt webbing actuated microswitch inside the driver's seat belt retractor; (b) Installation of an ignition switch actuated seat belt warning lamp and buzzer; (c) Replacement of the driver's and passenger's side air bags and knee bolsters with U.S.-model components on vehicles that are not already so equipped. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with combination lap and shoulder belts that adjust by means of an automatic retractor and release by means of a single push button at the front outboard seating positions, with combination lap and shoulder restraints that release by means of a single push button at the rear outboard seating positions, and with a lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

Standard No. 214 *Side Impact Protection:* Installation of reinforcing door beams.

Standard No. 301 *Fuel System Integrity:* Installation of a rollover valve in the fuel tank vent line.

The petitioner also states that all vehicles will be inspected prior to importation to ensure that they are equipped with anti-theft devices in compliance with the Theft Prevention Standard found in 49 CFR part 541 and modified if necessary.

The petitioner also states that a vehicle identification plate must be affixed to the vehicle to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on October 22, 1999. **Marilynne Jacobs,**  *Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.* [FR Doc. 99–28099 Filed 10–27–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-99-6384]

#### Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1994 Eagle Vision Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1994 Eagle Vision passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that a 1994 Eagle Vision that was not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards is eligible for importation into the United States because (1) It is substantially similar to a vehicle that was originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that was certified by its manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) It is capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is November 29, 1999. ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 am to 5 pm].

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366– 5306).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Wallace Environmental Testing Laboratories, Inc. of Houston, Texas ("Wallace") (Registered Importer 90-005) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1994 Eagle Vision passenger cars originally manufactured in the United States for export to foreign markets are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicle which Wallace believes is substantially similar is the 1994 Eagle Vision that was manufactured for sale in the United States and certified by its manufacturer, Chrysler Corporation, as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared the non-U.S. certified 1994 Eagle Vision to its U.S. certified counterpart, and found the two vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Wallace submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that the non-U.S. certified 1994 Eagle Vision, as originally manufactured, conforms to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as its U.S. certified counterpart, or is capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that the non-U.S. certified 1994 Eagle Vision is identical to its U.S. certified counterpart with respect to compliance with Standards Nos. 102 Transmission Shift Lever Sequence \* \* \*, 103 Defrosting and Defogging Systems, 104 Windshield Wiping and Washing Systems, 105 Hydraulic Brake Systems, 106 Brake Hoses, 109 New Pneumatic Tires, 111 Rearview Mirror, 113 Hood Latch Systems, 114 Theft Protection, 116 Brake Fluid, 118 Power Window Systems, 124 Accelerator Control Systems, 201 Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, 202 Head Restraints,

204 Steering Control Rearward Displacement, 205 Glazing Materials, 206 Door Locks and Door Retention Components, 207 Seating Systems, 209 Seat Belt Assemblies, 210 Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages, 212 Windshield Retention, 214 Side Impact Protection, 216 Roof Crush Resistance, 219 Windshield Zone Intrusion, and 301 Fuel System Integrity, 302 Flammability of Interior Materials.

Additionally, the petitioner states that the non-US certified 1994 Eagle Vision complies with the Bumper Standard found in 49 CFR part 581.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicle is capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 101 *Controls and Displays:* (a) Replacement of the odometer/speedometer with units calibrated in miles/miles per hour on vehicles that are not already so equipped; (b) Inscription of the word "brake" on the brake failure indicator lamp lens.

Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment:* replacement of the headlights, taillights, and front and rear sidemarker assemblies with components that conform to the standard.

Standard No. 110 *Tire Selection and Rims:* Installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: Installation of driver's and passenger's side airbags and knee bolsters. The petitioner states that the vehicle is equipped with Type 2 seat belts in front and rear outboard seating positions, and with a lap belt in the rear center designated seating position.

The petitioner states that a vehicle identification number plate that meets the requirements of 49 CFR part 565 will be affixed to the vehicle if it is not already so equipped.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. [Docket hours are from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.]. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal**  **Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: October 22, 1999.

### Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 99–28100 Filed 10–27–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 99-6093; Notice 2]

#### Italjet S.p.A.; Grant of Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123

This notice grants the application by Italjet S.p.A., an Italian corporation, through Italjet USA ("Italjet") of New York City, NY, for a temporary exemption of two years from a requirement of S5.2.1 (Table 1) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays*. The basis of the request was that "compliance with the standard would prevent the manufacturer from selling a motor vehicle with an overall safety level at least equal to the overall safety level of nonexempt vehicles," 49 U.S.C. Sec. 30113(b)(3)(B)(iv).

We published a notice of receipt of the application on August 24, 1999 (64 FR 46225) asking for comments, but received none.

Italjet has applied on behalf of its Torpedo 125, Formula 125, Millenium 125, and Millenium 150 motor scooters ("scooters"). The scooters are defined as "motorcycles" for purposes of compliance with the Federal motor vehicle safety standards. According to Italjet, its scooters have a peak motor output of 26 hp and a top speed of 60 miles per hour.

If a motorcycle is produced with rear wheel brakes, S5.2.1 of Standard No. 123 requires that the brakes be operable through the right foot control, though the left handlebar is permissible for motor driven cycles (Item 11, Table 1). Italjet would like to use the left handlebar as the control for the rear brakes of the scooters, whose peak motor output of 26 hp produces more than the 5 hp maximum that separates motor driven cycles from motorcycles. The gear ratio of the vehicle is fixed, and "there is no need for the rider to shift gears, as on a standard motorcycle." Because of this, the scooters are "equipped with neither a