DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that a proposed consent Decree in *United States* v. *BP Amoco PLC*, et al., Civil Action No. 4–99–CV–10671, was lodged on November 29, 1999, with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

The Consent Decree settles an action brought under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, to recover costs incurred in connection with Operable Units 2 and 4 at the Site. The Defendants are BP Amoco PLC, Chevron Chemical Company, Bayer Corporation, Monsanto Company, and Shell Oil Company. The Consent Decree provides that the Defendants will pay the United States \$2,513,808 for response costs incurred in conducting response activities at the Des Moines TCE Site, Operable Units 2 and 4, located in Des Moines, Iowa.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *BP Amoco PLC*, et al., DOJ Ref. #90–11–3–1138A

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of Iowa U.S. Courthouse Annex, 2nd Fl., 110 East Court, Des Moines, Iowa 50309; and the Region VII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66202. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 99–32326 Filed 12–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in United States v. HPS&R, Inc, Case No. 7:99-CV-222-BR(1) (E.D.N.C.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina on November 22, 1999. The proposed Consent Decree concerns alleged violations of sections 301(a) and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 1344, resulting from Defendant's unauthorized discharge of dredged and/or fill material into waters of the United States at the Phillips-Sabiston Estate in Onslow County, North Carolina.

The proposed Consent Decree would require the payment of a civil penalty of \$85,000 and preservation of 100 acres of wetlands as a supplemental environmental project.

The United States Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice.

Comments should be addressed to S. Randall Humm, Attorney, United States Department of Justice, environmental Defense Section, PO Box 23986, Washington, D.C. 20026–3986, and should refer to *United States* v. *HPS&R*, *Inc*, Case No. 7:99–CV–222–BR(1) (E.D.N.C.).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, 310 New Bern Avenue, Federal Building, 5th Floor, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 99–32327 Filed 12–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. AlliedSignal Inc. and Honeywell Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, DC, in *United States* v. *AlliedSignal Inc. and Honeywell Inc.*, Case No. 1:99 CV 02959 (PLF).

On November 8, 1999, the United States filed a Complaint, which alleged that AlliedSignal's proposed merger with Honeywell would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18, by substantially lessening competition in the traffic alert and collision avoidance systems ("TCAS") market, the search and surveillance weather radar ("SSWR") market, the reaction and momentum wheel market, and the inertial systems market. The proposed Final Judgment, filed on November 8, 1999, requires AlliedSignal and Honeywell to divest the TCAS business of Honeywell located in Glendale, Arizona: the SSWR business of AlliedSignal located in Olathe, Kansas; the space and navigation business of AlliedSignal located in Teterboro, New Jersey; the mechanical rate gyroscope business of Allied Signal located in Cheshire, Connecticut, and a related repair business in Newark Ohio; the microSCIRAS technology business of AlliedSignal located in Redmond. Washington, or, in the alternative, the micro-electro-mechanical system inertial sensor business of Honeywell located in Minneapolis and Plymouth, Minnesota; and the AlliedSignal micromachined silicon accelerator and micromachined accelerometer gyroscope technology business.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereof will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to J. Robert Kramer II, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H. Street, NW, Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530 [telephone: (202) 307–0924].

Constance K. Robinson,

 $Director\ of\ Operations\ \&\ Merger\ Enforcement.$

Hold Separate Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties, subject to approval and entry by the Court, that:

I. Definitions

As used in this Hold Separate Stipulation and Order:

A. "United States" means plaintiff United States of America.

B. "DoD" means the United States Department of Defense.

C. "AlliedSignal" means defendant AlliedSignal Inc., a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Morristown, New Jersey, and its successors, assigns, subsidiaries,