

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION****Cotton Shop Towels From Bangladesh, China, Pakistan, and Peru<sup>1</sup>**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty orders on cotton shop towels from Pakistan and Peru and the antidumping duty orders on cotton shop towels from Bangladesh and China.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty orders on cotton shop towels from Pakistan and Peru and the antidumping duty orders on cotton shop towels from Bangladesh and China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at <http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm>.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 8, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Eninger (202-205-3194), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

<sup>1</sup> The investigation numbers are as follows: Bangladesh is 731-TA-514 (Review), China is 731-TA-103 (Review), Pakistan is 701-TA-202 (Review), and Peru is 701-TA-E (Review).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 8, 1999, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve similar domestic like products. See 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(D); 63 F.R. 29372, 29374 (May 29, 1998). The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 371, Jan. 4, 1999) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate.<sup>2</sup> The Commission also found<sup>3</sup> that other circumstances warranted conducting full reviews. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 1999

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-9693 Filed 4-16-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731-TA-101 (Review)]

**Greige Polyester/Cotton Printcloth From China****Determination**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester/cotton printcloth from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Askey dissenting with respect to the adequacy of the respondent interested party group response for Peru.

<sup>3</sup> Chairman Bragg, Vice Chairman Miller, and Commissioner Crawford dissenting.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Vice Chairman Miller not participating; Commissioners Crawford and Askey dissenting.

United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**Background**

The Commission instituted this review on November 2, 1998 (63 FR 58763), and determined on February 4, 1999, that it would conduct an expedited review (64 FR 9175, February 24, 1999).

The Commission is scheduled to transmit its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on April 15, 1999. The views of the Commission will be contained in USITC Publication 3184 (April 1999), entitled Greige Polyester/Cotton Printcloth from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-101 (Review).

Issued: April 12, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-9690 Filed 4-16-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-406]

**Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages; Notice of Determinations To Extend the Deadline for Determining Whether To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation and To Extend the Target Date For Completing the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined: (1) To extend the deadline for determining whether to review the final initial determination (ID) on violation by one week, or until April 19, 1999, and (2) to extend the target date for completing the investigation by eight days, or until June 2, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3104. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on March

25, 1998, based on a complaint by Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd. (Fuji) of Tokyo, Japan. 63 FR 14474. Fuji's complaint alleged unfair acts in violation of section 337 in the importation and sale of certain lens-2 fitted film packages (*i.e.*, disposable cameras). The complaint alleged that 27 respondents had infringed one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji. On October 23, 1998, the Commission determined not to review two IDs finding a total of eight respondents, *viz.*, Boshi Technology Ltd., Fast Shot, Haichi International, Innovative Trading Company, Labelle Time, Inc., Linfa Photographic Ind. Co. Ltd., Forcecam, Inc. and Rino Trading Co. Ltd., in default for failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. An evidentiary hearing was held November 2-13, 1998. Eight respondents participated in the hearing. Achiever Industries Limited, Argus Industries, China Film Equipment, Dynatec International Inc., Jazz Photo Corp., Opticolor Camera, P.S.I. Industries, and Sakar International, Inc. (participating respondents). Ten respondents that had filed responses to the complaint and notice of investigation failed to appear at the hearing, *viz.*, Ad-Tek Specialties Inc., AmerImage, Inc. d/b/a/ Rainbow Products, Boecks Camera LLC, BPS Marketing, E.T. Trading d/b/a Klikit, Penmax, Inc., PhilmEx Photographic Film, T.D.A. Trading Corp., Vantage Sales, Inc., and Vivitar Corp.

On February 24, 1999, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding a violation of section 337 by 26 of 27 named respondents. (Complainant Fuji admitted at closing argument that one named respondent, Opticam Inc, was not violating section 337). He found that Fuji had not carried its burden of proof in showing infringement of three design patents. The ALJ also issued his recommendations on remedy and bonding. Fuji, the Commission investigative attorney, and all participating respondents filed petitions for review of the ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.42(h)(2) and 210.51(a) of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR §§ 210.42(h)(2), 210.51(a).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours 3 (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

Issued: April 12, 1999

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-9691 Filed 4-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From Brazil, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand<sup>1</sup>

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 F.R. 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at <http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm>.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 8, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Carpenter (202-205-3172), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting

<sup>1</sup> The investigation numbers are as follows: Brazil is 731-TA-278 (Review), Japan is 731-TA-347 (Review), Korea is 731-TA-279 (Review), Taiwan is 731-TA-280 (Review), and Thailand is 731-TA-348 (Review).

the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 8, 1999, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve similar domestic like products. See 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(D); 63 FR 29372, 29374 (May 29, 1998).

With regard to malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil and Thailand, the Commission found that both the domestic interested party group response and the respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution<sup>2</sup> were adequate and voted to conduct full reviews.

With regard to malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Japan, Korea, Taiwan, the Commission found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission also found<sup>3</sup> that other circumstances warranted conducting full reviews.

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-9695 Filed 4-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

<sup>2</sup> The notice of institution for all of the subject reviews was published in the **Federal Register** on Jan. 4, 1999 (64 FR 369).

<sup>3</sup> Commissioner Crawford dissenting.