INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-244 (Review)]

Natural Bristle Paint Brushes From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on natural bristle paint brushes from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on natural bristle paint brushes from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Noreen (202-205-3167), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 8, 1999, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 374, Jan. 4, 1999) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was

inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.
Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 6, 1999, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written Submissions

As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,2 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 11, 1999, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the fiveyear review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 11, 1999. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination

The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the

review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–9692 Filed 4–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-282 (Review)]

Petroleum Wax Candles From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the rules of practice and procedure pertinent to fiveyear reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm. EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1999.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bonnie Noreen (202–205–3167), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by

¹A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by the Paint Applicator Division of the American Brush Manufacturers Association to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 8, 1999, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (64 FR 365, Jan. 4, 1999) of the subject five-year review was adequate ¹ and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.² Accordingly, the Commission determined ³ that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 20, 1999, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.

As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,4 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 25, 1999, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the fiveyear review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 25, 1999. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize

filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination

The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 14, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–9697 Filed 4–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Certain Steel Wire Rope From Japan, Korea, and Mexico ¹

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on steel wire rope from Japan and carbon steel wire rope from Korea and Mexico.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on steel wire rope from Japan and carbon steel wire rope from Korea and Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules

of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 F.R. 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Deyman (202-205-3197), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 8, 1999, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission, in consultation with the Department of Commerce, grouped these reviews because they involve similar domestic like products. See 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(D); 63 FR 29372, 29374 (May 29, 1998).

www.usitc.gov).

With regard to carbon steel wire rope from Korea, the Commission found that both the domestic interested party group response and the respondent interested party group response to its notice of institution ² were adequate and voted to conduct a full review.³

With regard to steel wire rope from Japan and carbon steel wire rope from Mexico, the Commission found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate and the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting full reviews.⁴

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be

¹ Commissioner Crawford dissenting.

² Commissioners Hillman and Koplan dissenting. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

³ Commissioners Hillman and Koplan dissenting.

⁴The Commission has found responses submitted by Woodbridge Candles, Inc. and the National Candle Association to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

¹The investigation numbers are as follows: Japan is AA1921–124 (Review), Korea is 731–TA–546 (Review), and Mexico is 731–TA–547 (Review).

²The notice of institution for all of the subject reviews was published in the **Federal Register** on Jan. 4, 1999 (64 FR 367).

³ Commissioner Crawford dissenting with respect to the adequacy of the domestic interested party group response and with respect to conducting a full review.

⁴Commissioner Crawford dissenting with respect to the adequacy of the domestic interested party group response and with respect to finding other circumstances that warranted conducting a full review.