DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petrotechnical Open Software Corporation (''POSC'')

Notice is hereby given that, on October 25, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), Petrotechnical Open Software Corporation (''POSC'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, PIDX Petroleum Industry Data Exchange, Findlay, OH has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Petrotechnical Open Software Corporation (''POSC'') intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 14, 1991, Petrotechnical Open Software Corporation (''POSC'') filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 7, 1991 (56 FR 5021).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 3, 1999. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00–12045 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Reproduction Act of 1993—Rotorcraft Technology Association, Inc. (''RITA'')

Notice is hereby given that, on November 24, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), Rotorcraft Technology Association, Inc. (''RITA'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; Ohio Aerospace Institute, Cleveland, OH; University of California, Los Angeles, CA; University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX; and West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Rotorcraft Technology Association, Inc. (''RITA'') intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 28, 1995, Rotorcraft Technology Association, Inc. (''RITA'') filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 3, 1996 (61 FR 14817).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 7, 1999. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 19, 1999 (64 FR 13605).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00–12041 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Secure Digital Music Initiative (''SDMI'')

Notice is hereby given that, on October 4, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), Secure Digital Music Initiative (''SDMI'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Bose Corporation, Framingham, MA; Canadian Audiotrak, Toronto, Canada; Casio, Tokyo, Japan; Cinram International, Inc., Scarborough, Ontario, Canada; Digital River Inc., Eden Prairie, MN; Gemplus Corporation, Montgomeryville, PA; General Instrument, Horsham, PA; Intervu, Inc., San Diego, CA; Lexar Media, Inc., Fremont, CA; Media Fair, Inc., Monterey Park, CA; Micronas Semiconductors, Inc., San Jose, CA; Nokia UK Limited, London, England; Packard Bell NEC, Inc., Sacramento, CA; Philips, Briarcliff Manor, NY; Plug 'n Play Technologies, Inc., Hauppauge, NY; Portal Player, Inc., Saratoga, CA; Pricewaterhouse Coopers, Tampa, FL; Qdesign, Vancouver, B.C., Canada; Saehan Information Systems Co., LTD, Seoul, South Korea; Softlock Services, Rochester, NY; Sonic Foundry, Inc., Madison, WI; SpectraNet Communications, Inc.—ThrottleBox, Johnson City, NY; Sun Microsystems, Palo Alto, CA; Telian Corporation, Kyonggi, South Korea; WavePhore, Phoenix, AZ; and Xerox Corp., Rochester, NY have been added as parties to this venture. Also Emusic.com, Inc., Redwood City, CA has change its name to GoodNoise Corporation.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Secure Digital Music Initiative (''SDMI'') intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 28, 1999, Secure Digital Music Initiative (''SDMI'') filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00–12044 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—United Technologies Research Center (''UTRC''): Open Software Tools for Condition Based Maintenance

Notice is hereby given that, on October 19, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), United Technologies Research Center (''UTRC'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are United Technologies Corporation (''UTC''), Hartford, CT; and i2 Federal, Inc., Irving, TX. The nature and objectives of the venture are to engage in cooperative research and development in the area of Open Software Tools for Condition Based Maintenance pursuant to an Advanced Technology Program with the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00–12048 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Water Heater Industry Joint Research and Development Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on December 3, 1999, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (''the Act''), the Water Heater Industry Joint Research and Development Consortium (''the Consortium'') has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, A. O. Smith Water Products Company, a division of A.O. Smith Corporation, Irving, TX has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Consortium intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 28, 1995, the Consortium filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 27, 1995 (60 FR 15789).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 17, 1999. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 1, 1999 (64 FR 53416).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00–12039 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Danilo Abud-Sanchez, M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On August 5, 1999, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause to Danilo Abud-Sanchez, M.D., of Paramount, California, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration BA3042657 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), and deny any pending applications for renewal of his registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for reason that he is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California. The order also notified Dr. Abud-Sanchez that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, his hearing right would be deemed waived.

DEA first sent the Order to Show Cause to Dr. Abud-Sanchez at his registered location, and it was returned unclaimed. Next, the Order to Show Cause was sent to Dr. Abud-Sanchez at a residential address, and it too was returned unclaimed. DEA investigators then contacted Dr. Abud-Sanchez' legal counsel who indicated that he would accept service of the Order to Show Cause on behalf of Dr. Abud-Sanchez. The Order to Show Cause was sent to Dr. Abud-Sanchez' legal counsel and DEA received a signed receipt indicating that the Order to Show Cause was received on October 25, 1999.

No request for a hearing or any other reply was received by DEA from Dr. Abud-Sanchez or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter. Therefore, the Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) 30 days have passed since the receipt of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. Abud-Sanchez is deemed to have waived his hearing right. After considering material from the investigative file in this matter, the Deputy Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(d) and (e) and 1301.46.

The Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Abud-Sanchez currently possesses DEA Certificate of Registration BA3042657 issued to him in California. The Deputy Administrator further finds that effective February 17, 1997, the Medical Board of California revoked Dr. Abud-Sanchez' license to practice medicine. Dr. Abud-Sanchez did not present any evidence that his medical license has since been reinstated in California and there is no such evidence in the investigative file. Therefore, the Deputy Administrator finds that Dr. Abud-Sanchez is not currently authorized to practice medicine in the State of California and as a result, it is reasonable to infer that he is also not authorized to handle controlled substances in that state.

The DEA does not have the statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. See U.S.C. 802(21), 832(f) and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite had been consistency upheld. See Romeo. J. Perez, M.D., 62 FR 16,193 (1997); Demetris A. Green, M.D., 61 FR 70,728 (1996); Domminick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51,104 (1993).

Here it is clear that Dr. Abud-Sanchez is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California. As a result, he is not entitled to a DEA registration in that state.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration BA3042657, previously issued to Danilo Abud-Sanchez, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registration, be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective June 12, 2000.

Dated: May 4, 2000.

Donnie R. Marshall,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00–11887 Filed 5–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–M