#### Summary

The proposed power uprate will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, will not involve any new radiological release pathways, will not result in a significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure, and will not result in significant additional fuel cycle environmental impacts. Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### Non-Radiological Environmental Assessment

The licensee reviewed the nonradiological environmental impacts of power uprate based on information submitted in the Environmental Report, Operating License Stage, the NRC Final Environmental Statement (FES), and the requirements of the Environmental Protection Plan. Based on this review, the licensee concluded that the proposed uprate has no significant effect on the non-radiological elements of concern and the plant will be operated in an environmentally acceptable manner as established by the FES. In addition, the licensee states that existing Federal, State, and local regulatory permits presently in effect accommodate power uprate without modification.

The safety-related standby service water (SSW) at RBS is drawn from the ultimate heatsink (UHS), (e.g., the SSW cooling towers), where the maximum calculated temperature due to the uprate does not exceed the original maximum UHS temperature. As a result of power uprate to 105 percent of current licensed core power, there will be a slight increase in the normal heat loads rejected to the plant service water system. For normal operation, the maximum service water heat loads occur during peak summer months. The licensee calculates that the maximum summer discharge temperature for the service water system will remain below the current TS limit of 88 °F. EOI determined that the effects of power uprate on air and land resources are negligible. The aesthetics of the physical plant and plant site, as well as actual land use, are not changed or increased by power uprate. An increase in operational consumption of natural resources is negligible and below the levels previously evaluated for two unit operation. Finally, air quality and noise levels remain the same as before the power uprate.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not change the method of operation at RBS or the methods of handling effluents. No changes to land use would result and the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. Therefore, no new or different types of non-radiological environmental impacts are expected. Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts but would reduce the operational flexibility that would be afforded by the proposed change. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are not significantly different.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the FES for RBS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on August 15, 2000, the staff consulted with the Louisiana State official, Prosanta Chowdhury, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

## Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated July 30, 1999, as supplemented by letters dated April 3, May 9, July 18, and August 24, 2000, which may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of September 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **John A. Nakoski**,

Acting Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–24939 Filed 9–27–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7590–01–P** 

# PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Intention To Request Extension of OMB Approval of Collection; Comment Request— Termination of Single Employer Plans; Missing Participants; PBGC Forms 500–501, 600–602

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of intention to request extension of OMB approval.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation intends to request that the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") extend approval, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, of a collection of information in its regulations on Termination of Single Employer Plans and Missing Participants, and implementing forms and instructions (OMB control number 1212–0036; expires March 31, 2001). This notice informs the public of the PBGC's intent and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted by November 27, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Office of the General Counsel, suite 340, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, or delivered to that address between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. on business days. Written comments will be available for public inspection at the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department, suite 240 at the same address, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. on business days. Copies of the forms and instructions may be obtained free of charge from the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine B. Klion, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, PBGC, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005– 4026; 202–326–4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service tollfree at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.) SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 4041 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, a single-employer pension plan may terminate voluntarily only if it satisfies the requirements for either a standard or a distress termination. Pursuant to ERISA section 4041(b), for standard terminations, and section 4041(c), for distress terminations, and the PBGC's termination regulation (29 CFR part 4041), a plan administrator wishing to terminate a plan is required to submit specified information to the PBGC in support of the proposed termination and to provide specified information regarding the proposed termination to third parties (participants, beneficiaries, alternate payees, and employee organizations). In the case of a plan with participants or beneficiaries who cannot be located when their benefits are to be distributed, the plan administrator is subject to the requirements of ERISA section 4050 and the PBGC's missing participants regulation (29 CFR part 4050).

The PBGC estimates that 1,564 plan administrators will be subject to the collection of information requirements in the PBGC's termination and missing participants regulations each year, and that the total annual burden of complying with these requirements is 2,246 hours and \$1,864,600. (Much of the work associated with terminating a plan is performed for purposes other than meeting these requirements.)

Comments on these collection of information requirements may address (among other things)—

- Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the PBGC, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of the PBGC's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhancing the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimizing the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of September, 2000.

#### Stuart A. Sirkin,

Director, Corporate Policy and Research Department, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 00–24923 Filed 9–27–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

#### **PRESIDIO TRUST**

# **Notice of Public Meeting**

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 103(c)(6) of the Presidio Trust Act, 16 U.S.C. 460bb note, title I of Pub. L. 104-333, 110 Stat. 4097, and in accordance with the Presidio Trust's bylaws, notice is hereby given that a public meeting of the Presidio Trust Board of Directors will be held from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. on Thursday, October 26, 2000, at the Presidio Golden Gate Club, Fisher Loop, Presidio of San Francisco, California. The Presidio Trust was created by Congress in 1996 to manage approximately eighty percent of the former U.S. Army base known as the Presidio, in San Francisco, California.

The purposes of this meeting are to review Fiscal Year 2000 accomplishments and address the Trust's goals for Fiscal Year 2001. Public comment on these topics will be received and memorialized in accordance with the Trust's Public Outreach Policy.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. on Thursday, October 26, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Presidio Golden Gate Club, Fisher Loop, Presidio of San Francisco.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Middleton, Deputy Director for Operations and Governmental Affairs, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, California 94129–0052, Telephone: (415) 561–5300.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

#### Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–24899 Filed 9–27–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-U

#### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Request and Comment Request

In compliance with Public Law 104—13, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, SSA is providing notice of its information collections that require submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). SSA is soliciting comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate; the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility and clarity; and on ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

I. The information collections listed below will be submitted to OMB within 60 days from the date of this notice. Therefore, comments and recommendations regarding the information collections would be most useful if received by the Agency within 60 days from the date of this publication. Comments should be directed to the SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the address listed at the end of this publication. You can obtain a copy of the collection instruments by calling the SSA Reports Clearance Officer on (410) 965-4145, or by writing to him at the address listed at the end of this publication.

1. National Teacher Questionnaire (SSA–5665–BK), and Information About the Working Age Child (SSA–5665–SUPP)–0960–New. The information collected on forms SSA–5665–BK and SSA–5665–Sup is used by the Social Security Administration (SSA) and the State Disability Determination Services (DDS) to obtain descriptions of children claiming SSI benefits based on disability and their ability to function on a daily basis. The forms will be used for initial determinations of eligibility, in appeals and in initial continuing disability reviews.

These forms are being developed because the forms currently used by the DDSs vary a great deal in format and content. It was decided that for the sake of a uniform national childhood program (and with this information in hand and the sensitivity of this population), there is a need for a National Teacher Questionnaire and Information About the Working Age Child. The respondents are the educational Community and small businesses that educate and/or employ applicants for Supplemental Security Income for the aged, blind, and Disabled.