

Office of the Port Director, U.S. Customs Service, 2831 Talleyrand Avenue, Jacksonville, FL 32206.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: December 5, 2000.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-31755 Filed 12-12-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1130]

#### Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 94; Laredo, TX

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the City of Laredo, Texas, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 94, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 94 to include a site at the Unitec Industrial Center located in Laredo (Site 6), within the Laredo Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 7-2000; filed 3/3/00);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 12970, 3/10/00) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders: The application to expand FTZ 94 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and further subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of November 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

Attest:

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-31750 Filed 12-12-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

#### Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination Not To Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 8, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from Thailand. This review covers nine producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section. Furthermore, we are not revoking the antidumping duty order with respect to Malee Sampran Public Co., Ltd. (Malee) given that shipments of this company's subject merchandise to the United States have not been made in commercial quantities for each of the three consecutive review periods that formed the basis of the revocation request.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Constance Handley or Charles Riggle, Office 5, Group II, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0631 and (202) 482-0650, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

##### Background

This review covers the following producers/exporters of merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order on canned pineapple fruit from

Thailand: Vita Food Factory (1989) Co., Ltd. (Vita); Siam Fruit Canning (1988) Co., Ltd. (SIFCO); Siam Food Products Public Co. Ltd. (SFP); The Thai Pineapple Public Co., Ltd. (TIPCO); Malee; The Prachuab Fruit Canning Company Ltd. (PRAFT); Thai Pineapple Canning Industry (TPC); Tropical Food Industries Co., Ltd. (TROFCO); and Kuiburi Fruit Canning Co. Ltd. (KFC).

On August 8, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination Not to Revoke Order in Part: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand*, 65 FR 48450 (*Preliminary Results*). On September 7 and 14, 2000, we received case briefs and/or rebuttal briefs, respectively, from the petitioners,<sup>1</sup> SFP, TIPCO, Malee, TPC, and SIFCO.

##### Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is canned pineapple fruit (CPF). CPF is defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (*i.e.*, juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 6, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding

<sup>1</sup> The petitioners in this case are Maui Pineapple Company and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union.