

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 0, 1, 61 and 69

[CC Docket No. 96-262; DA 00-2866]

#### CLEC Access Charge Reform

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** This document extends the deadline for filing comments in an ongoing FCC proceeding considering whether and how to reform the manner in which competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) may tariff the charges for the switched local exchange access service that they provide to inter-exchange carriers (IXCs).

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before January 11, 2001. Submit reply comments on or before January 26, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth St., S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. Or comments may be filed electronically via the Internet at <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott K. Bergmann, 202-418-0940, or Jeffrey H. Dygert, 202-418-1500.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 20, 2000, the FCC's Common Carrier Bureau (the Bureau) granted a motion for extension of time for parties to file comments and reply comments in response to Public Notice in CC Docket No. 96-262. *Common Carrier Bureau Grants Motion for Limited Extension of Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments on Issues Relating to CLEC Access Charge Reform*, Public Notice, CC Docket No. 96-262, DA 00-2866 (rel. Dec. 20, 2000). This document summarizes that Public Notice.

On December 7, 2000, the Bureau released a Public Notice in CC Docket No. 96-262 inviting comment on issues related to CLEC access charge reform. *Common Carrier Bureau Seeks Additional Comment on Issues Relating to CLEC Access Charge Reform*, Public Notice, 65 FR 77545, DA 00-2751, CC Docket No. 96-262 (pub. Dec. 12, 2000) (*CLEC Access Charge Reform Notice*). Pursuant to the *CLEC Access Charge Reform Notice*, parties were required to file comments on or before December 27, 2000 and reply comments on or before January 11, 2001.

On December 14, 2000, Allegiance Telecom, Inc. filed a Motion for

Extension of Time to extend the dates for filing comments and reply comments in response to the Public Notice. In its pleading, Allegiance requests that the deadlines for filing comments and reply comments be extended by fifteen (15) days.

It is the policy of the Commission that extensions of time are not routinely granted. In this instance, however, the Bureau finds that Allegiance has shown good cause for an extension of the deadline for filing comments and reply comments in this proceeding. Accordingly, interested parties may now file comments on or before January 11, 2001 and reply comments on or before January 26, 2001. This matter shall continue to be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules. 47 CFR. 1.1200, 1206. All other requirements discussed in the *CLEC Access Charge Reform Notice* in this proceeding remain in effect.

#### List of Subjects

##### 47 CFR Part 0

Organization and functions.

##### 47 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedures, Communications common carrier, telecommunications.

##### 47 CFR Part 61

Communications common carriers, Tariffs.

##### 47 CFR Part 69

Communications common carriers, Access charges, Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-32926 Filed 12-26-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-U**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-2832; MM Docket No. 00-250; RM-10025]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Alexandria and Sauk Centre, Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission, at the request of Main Street Broadcasting, Inc., licensee of Station KMSR(FM), Sauk Centre, Minnesota, and BDI

Broadcasting, Inc., licensee of Station KIKV-FM, Alexandria, Minnesota, proposes the substitution of Channel 232C3 for 232A at Sauk Centre, the reallocation of Channel 232C3 from Sauk Centre to Alexandria, and the modification of Station KMSR's license accordingly; and the reallocation of Channel 264C1 from Alexandria to Sauk Centre, and the modification of Station KIKV's license accordingly. Channel 232C3 can be allotted at Alexandria, Minnesota, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements, with respect to domestic allotments, at petitioner's requested site 8.8 kilometers (5.5 miles) northwest of the community at coordinates 45-55-57 and 95-28-21. Additionally, Channel 264C1 can be reallocated from Alexandria to Sauk Centre in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements, with respect to domestic allotments, at a site 15.6 kilometers (9.7 miles) west of the community at coordinates 45-41-03 and 95-08-14.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before February 5, 2001, and reply comments must be filed by February 20, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: John Wells King, Esq., Garvey, Schubert and Barer 1000 Potomac Street, NW., Fifth Floor, Washington, DC 20007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Victoria M. McCauley, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No., adopted December 6, 2000, and released December 15, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in