[FR Doc. 00–33070 Filed 12–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,377]

# Dearborn Brass, 21st Century Companies, Inc., Media, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 4, 2000 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Dearborn Brass, 21st Century Companies, Inc., Media, Pennsylvania.

The petition verification stage of the investigation revealed the petitioning group of workers are actually located in Tyler, Texas. The worker group is subject to an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued (TA–W–38,349). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 12th day of December, 2000.

#### Linda G. Poole,

 $\label{lem:continuous} \textit{Certifying Officer, Division Trade Adjustment Assistance}.$ 

[FR Doc. 00–33072 Filed 12–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,919]

# Guess?, Inc., Los Angeles, California; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 1, 2000, the petitioners request administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on November 3, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2000 (65 FR 76289).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous; (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The November 3, 2000, denial of TAA for workers of Guess?, Inc., was based on the finding the workers separated from employment at the subject firm in Los Angeles, California, were engaged in distribution of apparel and not in the production of an article as required in the group eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

The petitioners, in the application for reconsideration, state that some of the distribution workers were formerly employed in production operations (cutting, samples and embroidery). Workers were transferred to distribution before being separated from employment.

Although not elaborated on in the negative determination, sales and production at Guess?, Inc., Los Angeles, California, increased in the relevant time period. Consequently, there was no basis for further investigation.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of December 2000.

#### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–33061 Filed 12–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,364]

# Johnson and Johnson Medical, Inc., El Paso, TX; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant of section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on November 27, 2000 in response to a petition which was filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Johnson and Johnson Medical, Inc. in El Paso, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently,

further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D. C. this 12th day of December, 2000.

#### Linda Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–33071 Filed 12–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,077]

## Paris Accessories, Inc. Belt Division, Allentown, Pennsylvania; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Paris Accessories, Inc., Belt Division, Allentown, Pennsylvania. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-38,077; Paris Accessories, Inc., Belt Division Allentown, Pennsylvania (December 12, 2000)

Signed at Washington, DC this 13th day of December, 2000.

### Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–33073 Filed 12–27–00; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

## [TA-W-37,571 and TA-W-37,517A]

# Rugged Sportswear, Siler City, NC and Walstonburg, NC; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 30, 2000, applicable to workers of Rugged Sportswear, Siler City, North Carolina. The notice was published in