Authority, 400 West Summit Drive, ET 10H, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to General Counsel, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Drive, ET 10H Knoxville, Tennessee 37902, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 25, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (http://www.nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of February 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Ronald W. Hernan**,

Senior Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–5017 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 72-1014]

Holtec International; Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Regarding the Request for Exemption From Requirements of 10 CFR Part 72; Correction

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 2000 (65 FR 2995). This action is necessary to correct an erroneous Unit number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Matula, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Washington, D.C. 20555–0001, telephone 301–415–8563, e-mail tom1@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 2995, in the center column, in the last sentence, "Units 2 and 3" is corrected to read "Unit 1".

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **E. William Brach.**

Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. [FR Doc. 00–5018 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549

[Extension: Rule 30b2–1; SEC File No. 270–213; OMB Control No. 3235–0220]

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("Act") [44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.], the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 30b2–1 Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, Filing of Copies of Reports to Stockholders

Rule 30b2–1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [17 CFR 270.30b2–1] requires the filing of four copies of every periodic or interim report transmitted by or on behalf of any registered investment company to its stockholders.¹ This requirement ensures that the Commission has information in its files to perform its regulatory functions and to apprise investors of the operational and financial condition of registered investment companies.²

It is estimated that approximately 3,490 registered management investment companies are required to send reports to stockholders at least twice annually. The annual burden of filing the reports is estimated to be negligible.

The burden estimate for Rule 30b2–1 is made solely for the purposes of the Act and is not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20549.

Dated: February 23, 2000.

Margaret H. McFarland,

 $Deputy\ Secretary.$

[FR Doc. 00–4980 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-24314; 812-11904]

Harris & Harris Group, Inc.; Notice of Application

February 24, 2000.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

ACTION: Issuance of Certification Pursuant to Section 851(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Code").

SUMMARY: The SEC is issuing a certification pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code that applicant Harris & Harris Group, Inc. ("Harris") was, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally

¹Most filings are made via the Commission's electronic filing system; therefore, paper filings under Rule 30b2–1 occur only in exceptional circumstances. Electronic filing eliminates the need for multiple copies of filings.

¹ Annual and periodic reports to the Commission become part of its public files and, therefore, are available for use by prospective investors and stockholders.

engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on December 22, 1999, and amended on February 24, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549– 0609; Applicant, One Rockefeller Plaza, 14 Wast 49th Street, New York, New York 10020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paula L. Kashtan, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942–0615, or Mary Kay Frech, Branch Chief, at (202) 942–0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application and a certification. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the SEC's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549–0102 (telephone (202) 942–8090).

Applicant's Representations

1. Harris is a New York corporation. On July 26, 1995, Harris elected to become regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

2. Harris proposed to qualify as a "regulated investment company" under section 851(a) of the Code pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code. Section 851(b) of the Code imposes certain portfolio diversification requirements on investment companies that seek to qualify as a regulated investment company. Section 851(e) of the Code provides an exemption from these diversification requirements if the investment company, among other things, obtains a certification from the SEC that the investment company is principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available (collectively, 'Development Corporations'').

- 3. Harris has filed an application seeking a certification pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999. The application describes certain companies in Harris' portfolio during the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, that Harris believes to be Development Corporations. Harris states that, in making this determination, it relied upon information provided by the portfolio companies to Harris and to others, including but not limited to, offering circulars, prospectuses, analyst reports, internal company memoranda, patent applications and similar documents. In addition, Harris generally is represented on the boards of directors of its portfolio companies through member or observe status, and also has direct access to senior management of the companies.
- 4. The following table shows the composition of the total assets of Harris as of each of the calendar quarters ended March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31, 1999, as set forth in the application.

Assets (at value)	Mar. 31, 1999	June 30, 1999	Sept. 30, 1999	Dec. 31, 1999
Investments representing capital furnished to corporations believed to be Development Corporations	\$14,947,426 10,540,419 646,226	\$18,845,689 9,007,741 1,328,451	\$20,952,249 6,897,452 546,593	\$59,806,703 4,945,693 568,372
Total Assets	26,134,071	29,181,881	28,396,294	65,320,768

As reflected in the table above, Development Companies comprised the following percentages of the total assets of Harris at the end of each calendar quarter of 1999; March 31, 57.2%; June 30, 64.6%; September 30, 73.8%; and December 31, 91.6%.

Certification

On the basis of the information set forth in the application, it appears that Harris was principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to Development Corporations within the meaning of section 851(e) of the Code in the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999. IT IS THEREFORE CERTIFIED to the Secretary of the Treasury, or his delegate, pursuant to section 851(e) of the Code, that Harris was, for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999, principally engaged in the furnishing of capital to other corporations which are principally engaged in the development or exploitation of inventions, technological improvements, new processes or products not previously generally available.

For the SEC, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–4981 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–42449; File No. SR–CHX–99–14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Withdrawal of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to "Stop" and "Stop Limit" Orders

February 22, 2000.

On August 28, 1999, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change, pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² to add Article XX, Rule 28A to the Exchange's Rules relating to "stop" and "stop limit" orders to clarify that the existing Rule 28 of Article XX relates solely to "stopped" orders. Notice of the proposed rule change was published on October 7, 1999, in the Federal Register, to solicit comment from interested persons.³ On February 7, 2000, the Exchange withdrew the proposed rule change.⁴

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–5061 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 41969 (September 30, 1999), 64 FR 54702.

⁴ See letter from Kathleen Boege, Associate General Counsel, CHX, to Katherine England, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated, February 7, 2000.

^{5 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).