Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to settle a pending countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of Canadian softwood lumber. Among other things, the MOU provided for Canada to assess a 15% export fee on certain lumber exports to the United States. The fee could be reduced or eliminated for exports from a province that too, so-called replacement measures to increase stumpage or other charges on lumber.

In September 1991, as permitted under the MOU, Canada provided notice that it was unilaterally terminating the MOU in 30 days. In response, in October 1991, Commerce self-initiated a section 301 investigation, immediately determining Canada's action to be unreasonable and to burden or restrict U.S. commerce, and took section 301 action.

The section 301 action applied to imports that entered during the 5-month period (October 1991-March 1992) prior to Commerce's preliminary CVD determination. (Imports entering after that time could be assessed duties under the CVD laws.) Under the section 301 action, USTR required importers of softwood lumber from certain provinces to post a bond in an amount up to 15 percent. The amount was lower or zero where a province had replacement measures in place. USTR indicated that these entries during the interim period prior to Commerce's preliminary CVD determination would ultimately be assessed fees in the amount of Commerce's final CVD determination.

Canada challenged in the GATT both the section 301 action and the selfinitiation of the CVD investigation. The GATT panel issued a mixed decision, finding that the initiation of the CVD investigation did not violate GATT but that the section 301 action did.

When the final CVD determination was issued in May 1992 (and while the GATT panel proceedings were pending), both the U.S. domestic industry and Canadian parties sought review by a binational panel under Chapter 19 of the U.S.-Canada FTA. The binational panel found Commerce's subsidy determination to be inconsistent with U.S. law and directed Commerce to issue a negative CVD determination. An Extraordinary Challenge Committee (ECC) requested by the United States upheld the panel's decision. Consequently, Commerce revoked the CVD order in August 1994, and USTR terminated the section 301 action in October 1994.

In September 1994, the domestic industry filed a lawsuit in U.S. court alleging that the binational panel process was unconstitutional. In December 1994, the industry withdrew

this complaint following the establishment of a consultative process between the United States and Canada regarding softwood lumber trade. This process ultimately led to the signing of the Softwood Lumber Agreement in May 1996.

## **Request for Comments**

Given that the U.S.-Canada Softwood Lumber Agreement expires in April 2001, the TPSC invites public comment with respect to Canadian softwood lumber practices. Furthermore, the TPSC invites comment with respect to economic and environmental aspects of: (a) Those softwood lumber practices, (b) related U.S.-Canada softwood lumber trade issues, and (c) a possible negotiation concerning Canadian provincial lumber practices and softwood lumber trade between the United States and Canada.

Those persons wishing to submit written comments, should submit ten (10) typed copies, no later than noon, Friday, April 14, 2000, to Gloria Blue, Executive Secretary, Trade Policy Staff Committee, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, Room 122, 600 Seventeenth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508. Comments should state clearly the position taken and should describe with particularly the evidence supporting that position. Any business confidential material must be clearly marked as such on the cover page (or letter) and succeeding pages. Such submissions must be accompanied by a non-confidential summary thereof.

Non-confidential submissions will be available for public inspection at the USTR Reading Room, Room 101, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 Seventeenth Street, NW, Washington, DC. An appointment to review the file may be made by calling Brenda Webb at (202) 395–6186. The Reading Room is open to the public from 10 a.m. to 12 noon and from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

### Carmen Suro-Bredie,

Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee. [FR Doc. 00–5084 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3901–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## Office of the Secretary

# Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending February 18, 2000

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

Docket Number: OST-2000-6935.
Date Filed: February 14, 2000.
Parties: Members of the International
Air Transport Association.

Subject: PTC23 ME-TC3 0086 dated 15 February 2000

Mail Vote 063—TC23 Middle East—TC3

Special Passenger Amending Resolution from Thailand Intended effective date: 1 March 2000

### Dorothy W. Walker,

Federal Register Liaison.
[FR Doc. 00–4997 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## Office of the Secretary

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed During the Week Ending February 18, 2000.

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 *et seq.*). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

*Docket Number:* OST–1998–3404 and OST–1998–3479.

Date Filed: February 14, 2000. Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope: March 13, 2000.

Description: Application of Aero Micronesia, Inc. d/b/a Asia Pacific Airlines ("Asia Pacific") pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41102 and Subpart Q, applies for renewal of its Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity for Interstate Charter Air Transportation and Foreign Charter Air Transportation, which authorize Asia Pacific to engage in interstate and foreign charter air transportation of property and mail.

## Dorothy W. Walker,

Federal Register Liaison.
[FR Doc. 00–4996 Filed 3–1–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–62–P