

United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

### Background

On March 6, 2001, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Rezolex, Ltd. Co., Las Cruces, NM, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of oleoresin paprika from India. Accordingly, effective March 6, 2001, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-923 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of March 14, 2001 (66 FR 14934). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on March 26, 2001, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on April 20, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3415 (April 2001), entitled *Oleoresin Paprika from India: Investigation No. 731-TA-923* (Preliminary).

Issued: April 20, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-10299 Filed 4-25-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-01-016]

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** May 3, 2001 at 11 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436 Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meeting: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. 731-TA-702 (Review) (Ferrovandium and Nitrided Vanadium from Russia)—briefing and vote. (The

Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on May 15, 2001.)

5. Inv. No. 731-TA-888-890 (Final) (Stainless Steel Angle from Japan, Korea, and Spain)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on May 11, 2001.)

6. Outstanding action jackets: none. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission:

Issued: April 24, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-10509 Filed 4-24-01; 12:59 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Hazardous Conditions Complaints

**AGENCY:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the **ADDRESSEE** section of this notice.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before June 25, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Brenda C. Teaster, Acting Chief, Records Management Division, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 709A, 4015, Arlington, VA 22203-1984. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments

on a computer disk, or via Internet E-mail to [bteaster@msha.gov](mailto:bteaster@msha.gov), along with an original printed copy. Ms. Teaster can be reached at (703) 235-1470 (voice), or (703) 235-1563 (facsimile).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brenda C. Teaster, Acting Chief, Records Management Division, U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 709A, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22203-1984. Ms. Teaster can be reached at [Bteaster@msha.gov](mailto:Bteaster@msha.gov) (Internet E-mail), (703) 235-1470 (voice), or (703) 235-1563 (facsimile).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Under section 103(g) of the Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Pub. L. 91-173, as amended by Pub. L. 95-164) (the Act), a representative of miners, or any individual miner acting voluntarily as a representative of miners, may submit a written notification of alleged violation of the Act or a mandatory standard or of an imminent danger. Such notification requires MSHA to make an immediate inspection. A copy of the notice must be provided to the operator.

Title 30, CFR, part 43, implements section 103(g) of the Act. It provides the procedures for submitting notification of the alleged violation and the actions which MSHA must take after receiving the notice. Although the regulation contains a review procedure (required by section 103(g)(2) of the Act) whereby a miner or a representative of miners may in writing request a review if no citation or order is written as a result of the original notice, the option is so rarely used that it was not considered in the burden estimates.

##### II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the information collection related to the Hazardous Conditions Complaints addressed by 30 CFR 43.4 and 43.7. MSHA is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and