Levy at 703–308–7267, or e-mailed to his e-mail address: *levy.steve@epa.gov*. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the companion citizen's guide, Waste Transfer Stations: Involved Citizens Make the Difference (EPA530–K–01–003), is also available in hardcopy (from the RCRA Hotline) or electronically from the internet site mentioned above.

Thea McManus,

Acting Director, Municipal and Industrial Solid Waste Division, Office of Solid Waste. [FR Doc. 01–13408 Filed 5–25–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6986-7]

SES Performance Review Board; Membership

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the membership of the EPA Performance Review Board.

DATES: May 29, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Stinson, Executive Resources and Special Programs, 3650, Office of Human Resources and Organizational Services, Office of Administration and Resources Management, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460 (202) 260–1373.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314 (c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES performance review boards. This board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, along with any recommendations to the appointment authority relative to the performance of the senior executive.

Members of the EPA Performance Review Board are:

Russell L. Wright (Chair), Director, Science and Ecosystem Support Division, Region 4

Jeanette L. Brown, Director, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, Office of the Administrator

Lynda F. Carroll, Assistant Regional Administrator, Region 6

Judy S. Davis, Acting Director, Office of Acquisition Management, Office of Administration and Resources Management Emmett D. Dashielle, Deputy Assistant IG for Investigations, Office of the Inspector General

Joseph L. Dillon, Acting Comptroller, Office of the Comptroller

Joan Fidler, Director, Office of Management Operations, Office of International Activities

Lisa K. Friedman, Associate General Counsel (Solid Waste & Emergency Response), Office of General Counsel

Ann É. Goode (Ex-Officio), Director, Office of Civil Rights, Office of the Administrator

Geoffrey H. Grubbs, Director, Office of Science and Technology, Office of Water

Walter W. Kovalick, Jr., Director, Technology Innovation Office, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

Henry L. Longest II, Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Research and Development

Brian J. McLean, Director, Clean Air Markets Division, Office of Air and Radiation

Linda M. Murphy, Director, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Region 1 Eric V. Schaeffer, Director, Office of Regulatory Enforcement, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

Keith A. Takata, Director, Superfund Division, Region 9

Linda A. Travers, Deputy Director, Office of Technology Operations and Planning, Office of Environmental Information

Marylouise M. Uhlig, Director, Office of Program Management Operations, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances

Vanessa T. Vu, Associate Director for Health (NCEA), Office of Research and Development

Daiva Balkus (Executive Secretary)
Director, Office of Human Resources
and Organizational Services, Office of
Administration and Resources
Management

Members of the Inspector General Subcommittee to the EPA Performance Review Board are:

James E. Henderson, Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, General Services Administration

Richard L. Skinner, Deputy Inspector General, Federal Emergency Management Agency

Joseph Willever, Deputy Inspector General, Office of Personnel Management

Dated: May 18, 2001.

David J. O'Connor,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Administration and Resources Management. [FR Doc. 01–13418 Filed 5–25–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6984-4]

San Fernando Valley—Glendale Operable Units Superfund Site Proposed Notice of Administrative Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., notice is hereby given that a proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement associated with the San Fernando Valley Crystal Springs (Area 2) Superfund Site—Glendale Operable Units was executed by the United States **Environmental Protection Agency** ("EPA") on February 12, 2001. The proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement would resolve certain potential claims of the United States under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607, against Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (the "Purchaser"). The Purchaser plans to acquire two contiguous parcels located within the Glendale Operable Units, 1200 South Flower Street, Burbank, California and 801 Allen Avenue, Glendale, California for the construction of a Home Depot U.S.A. retail operation. The proposed settlement would require the Purchaser to pay EPA a one-time payment of \$200,000.

For thirty (30) calendar days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 28, 2001.

Availability: The proposed Prospective Purchaser Agreement and additional background documentation relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Marie M. Rongone, Senior Counsel (ORC–3), Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA

Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Comments should reference "Home Depot U.S.A. Prospective Purchaser Agreement, San Fernando Valley Superfund Site, Glendale Operable Unit," and "Docket No. 2001–06" and should be addressed to Marie M. Rongone at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marie M. Rongone, Senior Counsel (ORC–3), Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; E-mail: rongone.marie@epa.gov; Telephone: (415) 744–1313, Facsimile: (415) 744–1041.

Dated: March 6, 2001.

Keith Takata,

Director, Superfund Division, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 01–13411 Filed 5–25–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary publishes a list of information collections it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and 5 CFR 1320.5. The following are those information collections recently submitted to OMB.

1. Organizing an Institutional Investigation Assistance Program: A Feasibility Study—NEW—A review group charged with examining the Office of Research Integrity's (ORI) role in handling allegations of research misconduct developed numerous recommendations. One of the recommendations stated that "HHS should encourage the development of a consortium-based approach to be used by awardee institutions that do not have the capacity to conduct the fact-finding process, or at which there is otherwise inadequate institutional or organizational capacity." The Office of Research Integrity is proposing a survey of research institutions, educational institutions, and related organizations to assess the level of interest in the development of consortia.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit; Non-profit institutions; State or local governments; Number of Respondents: 1,000; Burden per Response: 20 minutes; Total Burden: 333 hours. OMB Desk Officer: Allison Herron Eydt.

Copies of the information collection packages listed above can be obtained by calling the OS Reports Clearance Officer on (202) 690–6207. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the OMB desk officer designated above at the following address: Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Comments may also be sent to Cynthia Agens Bauer, OS Reports Clearance Officer, Room 503H, Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20201. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: May 21, 2001.

Kerry Weems,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Budget. [FR Doc. 01–13386 Filed 5–25–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-01-40]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639–7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques

or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project: Cognitive Tuning for Website Promotion—NEW—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The mission of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health is to promote "safety and health at work for all people through research and prevention." NIOSH is guided by the National Occupational Research Agenda (NORA), which specifies 21 priority areas for occupational safety and health research. One of the NORA priority areas is intervention effectiveness, which includes "information dissemination and health communication practices." This project, in testing the effectiveness of a cognitive tuning instruction in increasing visits to a NIOSH website for children and teenagers, would address the intervention effectiveness priority area.

Cognitive tuning refers to two possible orientations a person may have when exposed to information. One orientation is that of a receiver, who is primarily concerned with understanding the information for its own sake. The other orientation is that of a transmitter, who expects to pass on the information by communicating with others. Unlike the receiver, the transmitter is faced with the demand of using the information in the near future and is likely to be motivated to appear competent and knowledgeable in front of other people when passing on the information. Past research has shown that transmitters, compared to receivers, show more attitude change when given information about issues or persons. Also, the attitude change for transmitters tends to be more persistent than for receivers.

The Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) is a theory of attitude change that has achieved much empirical support and has organized a large body of previously fragmented results. The ELM posits that the nature of attitude change depends on whether the person is thinking carefully about the issue at hand. A person thinking about an issue is likely to form an attitude that is persistent, resistant to attack, and predictive of behavior. Conversely, a person who lacks either the motivation or the ability to think carefully about an issue is likely to form an attitude that is transitory, easy to change, and unpredictive of behavior.