Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

- a. Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million.
- b. Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions.
- c. Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S. based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

This determination is based upon the fact that the State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 918

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: June 11, 2001.

### John W. Coleman,

Acting Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 01–16039 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[C0-001-0063b; FRL-7000-8]

Determination of Attainment for the Carbon Monoxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Metropolitan Denver; State of Colorado

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed action makes a determination of attainment for the carbon monoxide (CO) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for the metropolitan Denver CO nonattainment area which was classified as "serious." The Denver area was required by the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 to attain the CO NAAQS by December 31, 2000. This determination is based on complete, quality assured ambient air quality monitoring data for the years 1998, 1999, and 2000. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the determination of attainment for the carbon monoxide CO NAAQS for the metropolitan Denver CO nonattainment area as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 27, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P—AR, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, Air Program, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Russ, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466; Telephone number (303) 312–6479 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules section of this Federal

Dated: June 13, 2001.

Jack W. McGraw,

Register.

 $Acting \ Regional \ Administrator, Region \ VIII. \\ [FR \ Doc. \ 01-15874 \ Filed \ 6-26-01; \ 8:45 \ am]$ 

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NC95-200034b; FRL-6994-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to Miscellaneous Volatile Organic Compounds Regulations Within the North Carolina State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of North Carolina for the purpose of adopting, amending and repealing regulations relating to volatile organic compounds (VOCs). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before July 27, 2001.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Randy Terry at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the State submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Randy Terry, 404/562– 9032

North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Randy B. Terry at 404/562–9032.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 5, 2001.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 01–15876 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Part 25

[IB Docket 01-96; FCC 01-134]

Policies and Service Rules for the Non-Geostationary Satellite Orbit, Fixed Satellite Service in the Ku-Band; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications

Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This documents corrects one sentence in the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of June 6, 2001, regarding Policies and Service Rules for the Non-Geostationary Satellite Orbit, Fixed Satellite Service in the Ku-Band. This correction includes

the proper radio frequency bands cited in the sentence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Mark Young, 202–418–0762.

#### Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 01-14141, beginning on page 30361 in the issue of June 6, 2001, make the following correction, in the "Summary" section. On page 30361 in the 3rd column, the first sentence is corrected to read as follows: "The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes to decide the means for sharing among multiple satellite network licensees in spectrum recently designated for the nongeostationary satellite orbit, fixedsatellite service (NGSO FSS) in the 10.7-12.7 GHz, 12.75-13.25 GHz and 13.75-14.5 GHz frequency bands (the Ku-band)."

Dated: June 21, 2001.

Federal Communications Commission.

### Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16189 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am]