

the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Fokker Services B.V.:** Docket 2001-NM-24-AD.

**Applicability:** All Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To ensure that a warning light goes on when the fuel filter is partially blocked by ice, so that the blockage of the fuel filter does not continue, leading to reduced fuel flow to the engine and possibly to an engine flame-out, accomplish the following:

#### Inspection

(a) Within 60 days from the effective date of this AD: Perform a one-time general visual inspection for correct installation of the left- and right-hand fuel differential pressure (FDP) switches and for correct connection of the pressure sensing lines to the FDP switches, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/28-63, dated November 21, 1999. If the switches are found to be installed incorrectly, as specified in the service bulletin, prior to further flight, re-install the switches and re-connect the pressure sensing lines to the switches, in accordance with the service bulletin.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or drop-light, and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

#### Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 20, 2001.

**Kalene C. Yanamura,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 01-16052 Filed 6-26-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 1

[REG-100548-01]

RIN 1545-AY72

#### Withdrawal of Proposed Regulations Relating to Corporations Filing Consolidated Returns and Proposed Regulations Relating to Collapsible Corporations

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of notices of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document withdraws two notices of proposed rulemaking, one relating to corporations filing consolidated income tax returns and the other relating to collapsible corporations. The proposed regulations were published before the enactment of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, do not reflect changes to the tax law made after their publication, and will not be finalized unless repropoed.

**DATES:** These proposed regulations are withdrawn June 27, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles M. Whedbee (202) 622-7550 (not a toll-free call).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 31, 1984, the IRS issued proposed regulations (LR-97-79) relating to corporations filing consolidated returns (49 FR 30528). Portions of these proposed consolidated return regulations were withdrawn by subsequent notices of proposed rulemaking (CO-78-90 and REG-103805-99) published in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 1991 (56 FR 4228) and September 26, 2000 (65 FR 57755).

On August 31, 1984, the IRS issued proposed regulations (LR-107-84) relating to collapsible corporations (49 FR 34523).

The IRS is withdrawing these proposed regulations because of intervening amendments to the Internal Revenue Code and because these regulations projects will not be undertaken in the foreseeable future (or if undertaken, the regulations will be repropoed).

##### Drafting Information

The principal author of this withdrawal notice is Charles M. Whedbee of the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (Corporate). However,

other personnel from the IRS and Treasury participated in its development.

#### List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirement.

#### Withdrawal of Notices of Proposed Rulemaking

Accordingly, under the authority of 26 U.S.C. 7805, the notices of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 1984 (49 FR 30528) and August 31, 1984 (49 FR 34523) are withdrawn.

**Robert E. Wenzel,**

*Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue.*

[FR Doc. 01-16021 Filed 6-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 918

[SPATS No. LA-020-FOR]

#### Louisiana Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Louisiana regulatory program (Louisiana program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Louisiana proposes to add standards for measuring revegetation success on pastureland. Louisiana intends to revise the Louisiana program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations and to improve operational efficiency.

This document gives the times and locations that the Louisiana program and the proposed amendment to that program are available for your inspection, the comment period during which you may submit written comments on the amendment, and the procedures that we will follow for the public hearing, if one is requested.

**DATES:** We will accept written comments until 4 p.m., c.d.t., July 27, 2001. If requested, we will hold a public hearing on the amendment on July 23, 2001. We will accept requests to speak at the hearing until 4 p.m., c.d.t. on July 12, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** You should mail or hand deliver written comments and requests to speak at the hearing to Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, at the address listed below.

You may review copies of the Louisiana program, the amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may receive one free copy of the amendment by contacting OSM's Tulsa Field Office.

Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135-6547, Telephone: (918) 581-6430.

Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Conservation, Injection and Mining Division, 625 N. 4th Street, P. O. Box 94275, Baton Rouge, LA 70804, Telephone: (504) 342-5540.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office. Telephone: (918) 581-6430. Internet: mwolfrom@osmre.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background on the Louisiana Program

On October 10, 1980, the Secretary of the Interior approved the Louisiana program. You can find background information on the Louisiana program, including the Secretary's findings and the disposition of comments in the October 10, 1980, **Federal Register** (45 FR 67340). You can find later actions concerning the Louisiana program at 30 CFR 918.15 and 918.16.

##### II. Description of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated June 1, 2001 (Administrative Record No. LA-365.04), Louisiana sent us an amendment to its program under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.17(b). Louisiana sent the amendment in response to our letters dated March 24, 1999, and August 16, 2000, that we sent to Louisiana under 30 CFR 732.17 (Administrative Record Nos. LA-365 and LA365.01, respectively). Below is a summary of the revegetation success guidelines proposed by Louisiana. The full text of the program amendment is available for your inspection at the locations listed above under **ADDRESSES**.

##### 1. Section A: Introduction

Section A describes the purpose of the revegetation success guidelines for pastureland. It also summarizes the State regulation at Louisiana

Administrative Code (LAC) 43:XV.5423 that applies to ground cover and production success on pastureland.

##### 2. Section B: General Revegetation Requirements

Section B describes the determinations that the Commissioner of Conservation (Commissioner) must make in order for the requirements of LAC 43:XV.5417 to be considered satisfied. LAC 43:XV.5417 provides general requirements for revegetation of all approved post-mining land uses.

##### 3. Section C: Success Standards and Measurement Frequency

Section C provides success standards and measurement frequency information for ground cover and forage production. It also provides requirements for reference areas.

##### 4. Section D: Sampling Procedures

Section D.1. provides standards for sampling pastureland. Section D.2.a. describes approved methods for measuring ground cover. Section D.2.b. describes factors that may affect production yields. It also describes approved methods for evaluating production. Section D.3. provides criteria for choosing and using test plots. Finally, section D.4. describes how to determine the size of a sample for ground cover and productivity.

##### 5. Section E: Data Submission and Analysis

Section E describes when and how a permittee should submit data to the Commissioner for review.

##### 6. Section F: Maps

Section F describes what a permittee must include on the maps he or she submits when submitting a proposed reclamation phase III release or data from a previously approved plan to the Commissioner.

##### 7. Section G: Mitigation Plans

Section G describes when a permittee must submit a mitigation plan to the Commissioner. It also describes what the mitigation plan must include.

##### 8. Appendices

Appendix A describes how to choose horizontal and vertical coordinates in establishing the location of sampling sites on the reclaimed area. Appendices B, C, and D provide formats for submitting data on ground cover, sampling frames, and whole release area harvesting, respectively. Appendix E provides T-Tables for use in calculating sample adequacy of ground cover and productivity data. Appendices F and G