Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/ doorbell htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16056 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL01-94-000]

Rumford Power Associates, LP, Complainant, v. Central Maine Power Company, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

June 21, 2001.

Take notice that on June 20, 2001, Rumford Power Associates, L.P. (Rumford) filed a complaint pursuant to Section 206 of the Federal Power Act against Central Maine Power Company (CMP) requesting that the Commission issue an order: (1) Directing CMP to issue a Final Cost Report for the facilities constructed under the Rumford Interconnection Agreement, and refund to Rumford certain monies collected thereunder without authorization; (2) finding that CMP's non-capital cost carrying charges, calculated under CMP's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), are unjust and unreasonable and should, therefore, be summarily modified or set for hearing to determine just and reasonable rates; and (3) requiring CMP to charge Rumford the "Control Center Services" charge under Schedule 1 of CMP's OATT, as elected by Rumford, instead of CMP's local "Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service'' charge.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before July 10, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222) for assistance. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before July 10, 2001.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–16061 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-430-001]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 21, 2001.

Take notice that on June 15, 2001, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, certain new and revised tariff sheets enumerated in Appendix A attached to the filing. The proposed effective date of such tariff sheets is July 15, 2001.

Transco states that the purpose of the instant filing is to submit tariff sheets setting forth Transco's revised interconnect policy and to eliminate any references in Transco's tariff to proposed Rate Schedules DLS and DLS– R, all in compliance with the Commission's May 17, 2001 order in this proceeding. Transco states that the revised interconnect policy sets forth the conditions applicable to the construction of new receipt and delivery interconnect facilities on Transco's pipeline system, and that such conditions are in compliance with the May 17 order and the Commission's new interconnect policy.

Transco states that it will serve copies of the instant filing on its affected customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 01–16058 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11301-001 Georgia]

Fall Line Hydro Company; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

June 21, 2001.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for an original major license for the **Carters Regulation Dam Hydroelectric** Project located on the Coosawatte Rivery in Murray County, Georgia, and has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed project. In the EA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project and has concluded that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigative measure, would