#### **Information Collection Request**

1. *Title:* Voyage-Planning for Tank-Barge Transits in the Northeast United States.

*OMB Control Number*: 2115–0637. *Summary:* The information collected for a voyage plan serves as a preventive measure and assists in ensuring the successful execution and completion of a voyage in the First Coast Guard District. This rule [33 CFR 165.100] applies primarily to towing vessels engaged in towing certain tank barges carrying petroleum oil in bulk as cargo.

*Need:* The information for a voyage plan will provide a mechanism for assisting vessels towing tank barges to identify those specific risks, potential equipment failures, or human errors that may lead to accidents.

*Respondents:* Owners and operators of towing vessels.

Frequency: On occasion.

*Burden Estimate:* The estimated burden is 439 hours a year.

2. *Title:* Welding and Hot-Work Permits; Posting of Warning Signs. *OMB Control Number:* 2115–0054.

Summary: The information collected here helps ensure that waterfront facilities and vessels are in compliance with safety standards. A permit must issue before welding or hot work on certain waterfront facilities; and the posting of warning signs is required on certain such facilities.

*Need:* The information is needed to ensure safe operations on certain waterfront facilities and vessels.

*Respondents:* Owners and operators of certain waterfront facilities and vessels.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Burden Estimate:* The estimated burden is 226 hours a year.

3. *Title:* Approval of Alterations to Marine Portable Tanks; Approval of Non-Specification Portable Tanks.

OMB Control Number: 2115–0585. Summary: The information helps us evaluate the safety of proposed alterations to marine portable tanks and of non-specification portable tank designs used to transfer hazardous materials during offshore operations, such as those on drilling rigs. Respondents will be those who wish to alter existing marine portable tanks or use non-specification portable tanks.

*Need:* Approval by the Coast Guard of alterations to marine portable tanks ensures that the altered tanks retain the level of safety to which they were originally designed. Further, rules' allowing for the approval of nonspecification portable tanks ensures that the rules do not frustrate innovation and new designs.

*Respondents:* Owners of marine portable tanks and owners and designers of non-specification portable tanks.

Frequency: On occasion. Burden Estimate: The estimated burden is 18 hours a year.

Dated: June 15 2001.

#### V.S. Crea,

Director of Information and Technology. [FR Doc. 01–16185 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at San Antonio International Airport, San Antonio, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Antonio International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 27, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate copies tot he FAA at the following address: Mr. G. Thomas Wade, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW–611, Fort Worth, Texas 76193– 0610.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Kevin Dolliole, Manager of San Antonio International Airport at the following address: Mr. Kevin Dolliole, Director of Aviation, San Antonio International Airport, 9800 Airport Boulevard, San Antonio, TX 78216–9990.

Air carriers and foreign air carries may submit copies of the written comments previously provided to the Airport under § 158.23 of part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. G. Thomas Wade, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and

Programming Branch, ASW–611, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0610, (817) 222– 5613.

The application may be reviewed in person a this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Antonio International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On June 19, 2001 the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Airport was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 16, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date:

November 1, 2001. Proposed charge expiration date:

November 1, 2009.

Total estimated PFC revenue:

- \$102,524,363.
- *PFC application number:* 01–01–C–00–SAT.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

# **Projects To Impose PFC's**

- 1.1 Residential Noise Attenuation Program
- 1.4 Construct ARFF Training Facility
- 1.5 Construct Three High-Speed
- Taxiways (Runway 12R/30L)
- 1.6 Extend Runway 21
- 1.10 Construct Concourse B
- 1.12 Construct Concourse B Elevated Roadway

# **Projects To Impose and Use PFC's**

- 1.3 Construct RW 30L Holding Apron
- 1.7 Modify Wash Rack
- 1.8 Relocate Remain Over Night Apron
- 1.9 Terminal 1 and 2 Modifications
- 1.11 Reconstruct Perimeter Road

Proposed class or classes of air carriers to be exempted from collecting PFC's: Air Carriers holding a part 135 certificate and Filing FAA Form 1800– 31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW–610, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137–4298.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at San Antonio International Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on June 19,2001.

# Joseph G. Washington,

Acting Manager, Airports Division. [FR Doc. 01–16182 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

# Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Texarkana Regional Airport, Texarkana AR

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Texarkana Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 27, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate copies to the FAA at the following address: Mr. G. Thomas Wade, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW–611, Fort Worth, Texas 76193– 0610.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Stephen Luebbert, Manager of Texarkana Regional Airport at the following address: Mr. Stephen Luebbert, Airport Director, Texarkana Regional Airport, 201 Airport Way, Texarkana, AR 71854.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of the written comments previously provided to the Airport under § 158.23 of part 158.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{Mr}}$ .

G. Thomas Wade, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW–611, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0610, (817) 222– 5613.

The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Texarkana Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On June 19, 2001 the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Airport was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 11, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date: August 1, 2004.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* June 1, 2005.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$125,891.

*PFC application number:* 01–03–C–00–TXK.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

#### **Projects To Impose and Use PFC's**

1. Enclose Drainage Ditch

2. Rehabilitate Apron

- 3. Rehabilitate Runway 4/22 Lighting
- 4. Acquire Airfield Sweeper

5. PFC Administrative Fees

Proposed class or classes of air carriers to be exempted from collecting PFC's: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW–610, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137–4298.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Texarkana Regional Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on June 19, 2001.

#### Joseph G. Washington,

Acting Manager, Airports Division. [FR Doc. 01–16183 Filed 6–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## **Federal Highway Administration**

# Environmental Impact Statement: Williamson County, Tennessee

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway project in the City of Franklin in Williamson County Tennessee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mark A. Doctor, Field Operations Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration, 640 Grassmere Park, Suite 112, Nashville, Tennessee 37211, Telephone: (615) 781–5788.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Tennessee Department of Transportation, will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to extend State Route 397 (Mack Hatcher Parkway) in the City of Franklin in Williamson County, Tennessee. The proposed project will extend the existing Mack Hatcher Parkway circumferentially around the westside of the City of Franklin and will be constructed on new alignment for a distance of approximately 12.8 kilometers (8.0 miles). The extension will be constructed as a four lane divided, partial control of access highway.

The proposed extension of Mack Hatcher Parkway to the west is being developed as a transportation facility that will be capable of safely handling anticipated levels of future traffic growth within the study area. The proposed project is located within one of the fastest growing counties in Tennessee. This growth has placed a heavy burden on the existing transportation system and will continue to do so as projected development occurs within the study area. The completed circumferential route will help improve the overall local flow of traffic for the City of Franklin. The proposed extension will be developed to continue the geometry and operational characteristics of the existing parkway. Alternatives under consideration include (1) taking no action (no-build) (2) constructing a four-lane divided highway on new locations to complete the circumferential route and (3) other reasonable alternatives that may arise from public and agency input.

Initial coordination letters describing the proposed action and soliciting