Section 4. Effective Period & Termination

A. Effective Period

These guidelines will be in effect 30 days from the publication of the Secretary's Record of Decision (ROD) in the **Federal Register**. These Guidelines will, unless subsequently modified, remain in effect through December 31, 2015 (through preparation of the 2016 AOP).

B. Termination of Guidelines

These Guidelines shall terminate on December 31, 2015 (through preparation of the 2016 AOP). At the conclusion of the effective period of these Guidelines, the modeled operating criteria are assumed to revert to the operating criteria used to model baseline conditions (*i.e.*, modeling assumptions used in the EIS are based upon a 70R strategy for the period commencing January 1, 2016 (for preparation of the 2017 AOP)).

At the conclusion of the effective period of these Guidelines, California shall have implemented sufficient measures to be able to limit total uses of Colorado River water within California to 4.4 maf, unless a surplus is determined under the 70R strategy.

Section 5. California's Colorado River Water Use Plan Implementation Progress

A. Introduction

The purpose of the California Colorado River Water Use Plan is to ensure that California limits its use of Colorado River water to no more than 4.4 maf in normal years at the end of the fifteen year period for these Guidelines, unless a surplus is determined under the 70R strategy. The Secretary will annually review the status of implementation of the California Colorado River Water Use Plan during the development of the AOP.

B. California's Quantification Settlement Agreement

It is expected that the California Colorado River contractors will execute the Quantification Settlement Agreement (and its related documents) among the Imperial Irrigation District (IID), Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD), MWD, and the San Diego County Water Authority by December 31, 2001. In the event that the California contractors and the Secretary have not executed such agreements by December 31, 2002, the interim surplus determinations under sections 2(B)(1) and 2(B)(2) of these Guidelines will be suspended and will instead be based upon the 70R Strategy, for either the remainder of the period identified in Section 4(A) or until such time as California completes all required actions and complies with reductions in water use reflected in section 5(C) of these Guidelines, whichever occurs first.

C. California's Colorado River Water Use Reductions

California will need to reduce its need for surplus Colorado River water through the period identified in Section 4(A). The California Agricultural (Palo Verde Irrigation District (PVID), Yuma Project Reservation Division (YPRD), IID, and CVWD) usage plus 14,500 af of Present Perfected Right (PPR) use would need to be at or below the following amounts at the end of the calendar year indicated in years of quantified surplus (for Decree accounting purposes all reductions must be within 25,000 af of the amounts stated):

Benchmark date (*calendar year*)	Benchmark quantity (*California agricultural usage & 14,500 AF of PPR Use* in maf)
2003	3.74
2006	3.64
2009	3.53
2012	3.47

In the event that California has not reduced its use in amounts equal to the above Benchmark Quantities, the interim surplus determinations under sections 2(B)(1) and 2(B)(2) of these Guidelines will be suspended and will instead be based upon the 70R Strategy, for up to the remainder of the period identified in section 4(A). If however, California meets the missed Benchmark Quantity before the next Benchmark Date, the interim surplus determinations under sections 2(B)(1) and 2(B)(2) shall be reinstated as the basis for the surplus determinations under the AOP for the next following year(s). Upon such reinstatement, California's reductions shall return to the schedule identified above.

Section 6. Authority

These Guidelines are issued pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary by federal law, including the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928 (28 Stat. 1057) (the ''BCPA''), and the Decree issued by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Arizona* v. *California*, 376 U.S. 340 (1964) (the ''Decree'') and shall be used to implement Article III of the Criteria for the Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs Pursuant to the Colorado River Basin Project Act of September 30, 1968 (Pub. L. No. 90–537) (the ''LROC'').

Section 7. Modeling and Data

The August 24-Month Study projections for the January 1 system storage and reservoir water surface elevations, for the following year, will be used to determine the applicability of these Guidelines.

In preparation of the AOP, Reclamation will utilize the 24-Month Study and/or other modeling methodologies appropriate for the determinations and findings necessary in the AOP. Reclamation will utilize the best available data and information, including the National Weather Service forecasting to make these determinations.

[FR Doc. 01–2118 Filed 1–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–447]

Certain Aerospace Rivets and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 26, 2000, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. of City of Industry, California. A supplement to the complaint was filed on January 11, 2001. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain aerospace rivets and products containing same by reason of infringement of common law trademarks ''BRFR'' and ''BRFZ,'' dilution of the ''BRFR'' and ''BRFZ'' trademarks, infringement of claims 1–6 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,580,202, and unfair competition by means of false designation of origin and false description. The complaint further alleges that there exists in the United States an industry as required by subsections (a)(1)(A) and (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a

permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2000).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 18, 2001, *Ordered That*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:

(a) whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain aerospace rivets or products containing same by reason of infringement of common law trademarks ''BRFR'' or ''BRFZ,'' dilution of the ''BRFR'' or ''BRFZ'' trademarks, or unfair competition by means of false designation of origin or false description, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States; or

(b) whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain aerospace rivets or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1–6 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,580,202, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc., 15200 Don Julian Road, City of Industry, California 91745;

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Ateliers De La Haute Garonne ets Auriol et Cie., S.A., Z.I. Flourens, B.P. 3, F–31131, Balma-Toulouse, France;

(c) Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401–O, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such response will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting a response to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: January 19, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–2212 Filed 1–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–U

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–446]

Certain Ink Jet Print Cartridges and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 22, 2000, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Hewlett-Packard of Palo Alto, California. An amendment to the Complaint was filed on January 17, 2001. The Complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink jet print cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2 and 3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,827,294; claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,635,073; claims 2 and 3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,680,859; claim 4 of U.S. letters Patent 4,872,027; claims 1–4 and 12 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,992,802; and claims 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19 and 20 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,409,134. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James B. Coughlan, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2575. General