Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Pan Am and operators of Cessna Citation Model 550, S550, 552, or 560 airplanes to operate those airplanes without a pilot who is designated as second in command. Grant, 04/06/2001, Exemption No. 7487.

Docket No.: FAA-2001-8744

(formerly Docket No. 28858). *Petitioner:* Evergreen Air Venture Museum.

Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 91.315, 119.5(g), and 119.21(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit EAVM to operate its former military Boeing B–17G aircraft, which has a limited category airworthiness certificate, for the purpose of carrying passengers on local flights in return for donations. Grant, 04/10/2001, Exemption No. 6632B.

Docket No.: FAA–2000–8154. *Petitioner:* Grand Holdings, Inc. dba Champion Air.

Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.623(a) and (d), 121.643, and 121.645(e).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Champion Air to conduct its supplemental operations within the 48 contiguous United States and the District of Columbia using the flight regulations for alternate airports as required by § 121.619 and fuel reserve requirements as required by § 121.639 that are applicable to domestic operations. Grant, 04/10/2001, Exemption No. 7490.

Docket No.: FAA–2000–7991. Petitioner: American Trans Air, Inc. Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14

CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii). Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow ATA to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman in place of an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command while that PIC is performing prescribed duties during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing when completing initial or upgrade training as specified in § 121.424. Grant, 04/10/ 2001, Exemption No. 7491.

Docket No.: FAA–2000–8151 (formerly Docket No. 28649). *Petitioner:* Rolls-Royce Brasil, Ltda. *Section of the 14 CFR Affected:* 14

CFR 145.47(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To allow Rolls-Royce to use Institute National de Metrology, Normalizão e Qualidade Industrial, Brazil's national standards organization, rather than the calibration standards of the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology to test its inspection and test equipment. Grant, 04/06/2001, Exemption No. 7483. Docket No.: FAA–2000–8426 (formerly Docket No. 25988). Petitioner: Soloy Corporation. Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 21.19(b)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Soloy to apply for a supplemental type certificate (STC) for a design change that would convert the Cessna Caravan from a one-engine aircraft to a two-engine aircraft using the STC process. Grant, 04/06/2001, Exemption No. 6888A.

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9141. Petitioner: Business Aviation Courier. Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit BAV to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 04/09/ 2001, Exemption No. 7488.

Docket No.: FAA–2000–8062. Petitioner: The Boeing Company. Section of the 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 25.961(a)(5).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Boeing to use a maximum temperature limitation of 80°F for JP–4 and Jet B fuels on the Boeing Model 747–400/–400F/RB11– 524G–T/H–T airplane. Grant, 04/12/ 2001, Exemption No. 7496.

[FR Doc. 01–10001 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Pago Pago International Airport, Pago Pago, American Samoa

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pago Pago International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 23, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered

in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3024, Lawndale, CA 90261, or Honolulu Airports District Office, Federal Building, 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 7–128, Honolulu, HI, 96813. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Abe Malae, Director, Department of Port Administration, American Samoa Government, at the following address: P.O. Box 639, Pago Pago, American Samoa, 96799. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Department of Port Administration, American Samoa Government under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steven Wong, Project Engineer, Honolulu Airports District Office, 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Room 7–128, Honolulu, HI, 96813, Telephone: (808) 541–1225. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Pago Pago International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On March 28, 2001, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the American Samoa Government was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 28, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application number 01–02–C–00–PPG:

Level of proposed PFC: \$4.50. Charge effective date: September 1, 2001.

Proposed charge expiration date: June 1, 2003.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$765,000.

Brief description of the proposed project: Terminal Improvements.

⁶ *Ćlass or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs:* None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA

Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Department of Port Administration in American Samoa.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on March 29, 2001.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 01–9885 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at San Jose International Airport, San Jose, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 23, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd. Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, Ca 94010–1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Ralph G. Tonseth, Director of Aviation, City of San Jose, Airport Department, at the following address: 1732 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4538. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of San Jose under section 158.23 of part 159.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010–1303, Telephone (650) 876–2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On March 29, 2001, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the city of San Jose was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than June 29, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the application No. 01–11–C–00–SJC: Level of proposed PFC: \$4.50.

Charge effective date: April 1, 2001.

Proposes charge expiration date:

January 1, 2007.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$44,407,000.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Runway 12R/30L reconstruction (use project); Runway 12R/30L extension (impose and use project).

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/ Commercial Operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of San Jose.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on March 29, 2001.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 01–9884 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement; Dubuque County, Iowa

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for the U.S. 20 Capacity Improvement Study in Dubuque County, Iowa.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Manu M. Chacko, Transportation Engineer, FHWA, 105 6th Street, Ames, IA 50010–6337, (515) 233–7307. James P. Rost, Director, Office of Environmental Services, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, IA 50010, (515) 239–1798.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded using a modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512–1661. Internet users may reach the Federal Register's home page at: http://www.nara.gov/fedreg and the Government Printing Office's database at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara.

Background

The FHWA, in cooperation with the Iowa Department of Transportation, will prepare an environment impact statement (EIS) for a capacity improvement study for U.S. 20 between the Peosta Interchange and Devon Drive in the City of Dubuque in Dubuque County, Iowa.

The capacity improvement study is to consider reconstruction of U.S. 20 to provide a free flow facility. The U.S. 20 Capacity Improvement Study will identify and evaluate potential longrange improvements for the U.S. 20 corridor. Improvements that will be considered include the addition of interchanges, modifications to adjacent existing intersections and frontage roads, and conversion of two-way frontage roads to one-way. The two main goals of the study are to (1) identify feasible concepts to provide free flow traffic on U.S. 20 and (2) to determine a preferred concept.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments have been sent to appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and to private organizations