

equipment in the design, development, testing, evaluation, manufacture, repair, maintenance, rebuilding, modification, or conversion of aircraft; and

(2) They are either:

(i) Manufactured or operated pursuant to a certificate issued by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) under 49 U.S.C. 44704 or pursuant to the approval of the airworthiness authority in the country of exportation, if that approval is recognized by the FAA as an acceptable substitute for the FAA certificate;

(ii) Covered by an application for such certificate, submitted to and accepted by the FAA, filed by an existing type and production certificate holder pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 44702 and implementing regulations (Federal Aviation Administration Regulations, title 14, Code of Federal Regulations); or

(iii) Covered by an application for such approval or certificate which will be submitted in the future by an existing type and production certificate holder, pending the completion of design or other technical requirements stipulated by the FAA (applicable only to the quantities of parts, components, and subassemblies as are required to meet the stipulation).

(b) *Department of Defense or U.S. Coast Guard use.* If purchased for use by the Department of Defense or the United States Coast Guard, aircraft, aircraft engines, and ground flight simulators, including their parts, components, and subassemblies, are subject to this section only if they are used as original or replacement equipment in the design, development, testing, evaluation, manufacture, repair, maintenance, rebuilding, modification, or conversion of aircraft and meet the requirements of either paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this section.

(c) *Claim for admission free of duty.* Merchandise qualifying under paragraph (a) or paragraph (b) of this section is entitled to duty-free admission in accordance with General Note 6, HTSUS, upon meeting the requirements of this section. An importer will make a claim for duty-free admission under this section and General Note 6, HTSUS, by properly entering qualifying merchandise under a provision for which the rate of duty "Free (C)" appears in the "Special" subcolumn of the HTSUS and by placing the special indicator "C" on the entry summary. The fact that qualifying merchandise has previously been exported with benefit of drawback does not preclude free entry under this section.

(d) *Importer certification.* In making a claim for duty-free admission as

provided for under paragraph (c) of this section, the importer is deemed to certify, in accordance with General Note 6(a)(ii), HTSUS, that the imported merchandise is, as described in paragraph (a) or paragraph (b) of this section, a civil aircraft or has been imported for use in a civil aircraft and will be so used.

(e) *Documentation.* Each entry summary claiming duty-free admission for imported merchandise in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section must be supported by documentation to verify the claim for duty-free admission, including the written order or contract and other evidence that the merchandise entered qualifies under General Note 6, HTSUS, as a civil aircraft, aircraft engine, or ground flight simulator, or their parts, components, and subassemblies. Evidence that the merchandise qualifies under the general note includes evidence of compliance with paragraph (a)(1) of this section concerning use of the merchandise and evidence of compliance with the airworthiness certification requirement of paragraph (a)(2)(i), (a)(2)(ii), or (a)(2)(iii) of this section, including, as appropriate in the circumstances, an FAA certification; approval of airworthiness by an airworthiness authority in the country of export and evidence that the FAA recognizes that approval as an acceptable substitute for an FAA certification; an application for a certification submitted to and accepted by the FAA; a type and production certificate issued by the FAA; and/or evidence that a type and production certificate holder will submit an application for certification or approval in the future pending completion of design or other technical requirements stipulated by the FAA and of estimates of quantities of parts, components, and subassemblies as are required to meet design and technical requirements stipulated by the FAA. This documentation need not be filed with the entry summary but must be maintained in accordance with the general note and with the recordkeeping provisions of Part 163 of this chapter. Customs may request production of documentation at any time to verify the claim for duty-free admission. Failure to produce documentation sufficient to satisfy the port director that the merchandise qualifies for duty-free admission will result in a denial of duty-free treatment and may result in such other measures permitted under the regulations as the port director finds necessary to more closely monitor the importer's importations of merchandise claimed to be duty-free under this

section. Proof of end use of the entered merchandise need not be maintained.

(f) *Post-entry claim.* An importer may file a claim for duty-free treatment under General Note 6, HTSUS, after filing an entry that made no such duty-free claim, by filing a written statement with Customs any time prior to liquidation of the entry or prior to the liquidation becoming final. When filed, the written statement constitutes the importer's claim for duty-free treatment under the general note and its certification that the entered merchandise is a civil aircraft or has been imported for use in a civil aircraft and will be so used. In accordance with General Note 6, HTSUS, any refund resulting from a claim made under this paragraph will be without interest, notwithstanding the provision of 19 U.S.C. 1505(c).

(g) *Verification.* The port director will monitor and periodically audit selected entries made under this section.

**Robert C. Bonner,**  
*Commissioner of Customs.*

Approved: June 3, 2002.

**Timothy E. Skud,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*  
[FR Doc. 02-14285 Filed 6-6-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4820-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 917

[KY-235-FOR]

#### Kentucky Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule; technical amendment.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), are announcing the removal of two instructions to the State of Kentucky pertaining to required amendments to the Kentucky regulatory program (the "Kentucky program"). The Kentucky program was established under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA or the Act) and authorizes Kentucky to regulate surface coal mining and reclamation operations in Kentucky. We are removing the instructions because the actions required by our instructions were previously satisfied and nothing further is required by the state.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 7, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

William J. Kovacic, Field Office Director; Telephone: (859) 260-8400; E-mail: [bkovacic@osmre.gov](mailto:bkovacic@osmre.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Background on the Kentucky Program
- II. Purpose of the Rule
- III. Procedural Determinations

**I. Background on the Kentucky Program**

Section 503(a) of the Act permits a state to assume primacy for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations on non-Federal and non-Indian lands within its borders by demonstrating that its State program includes, among other things, "a State law which provides for the regulation of surface coal mining and reclamation operations in accordance with the requirements of the Act \* \* \*; and rules and regulations consistent with regulations issued by the Secretary pursuant to the Act." See 30 U.S.C. 1253(a)(1) and (7). On the basis of these criteria, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Kentucky program on May 18, 1982. You can find background information on the Kentucky program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and conditions of approval in the May 18, 1982, **Federal Register** (47 FR 21404). You can also find later actions concerning Kentucky's program and program amendments at 30 CFR 917.11, 917.12, 917.13, 917.15, 917.16, and 917.17.

**II. Purpose of the Rule**

During the course of implementing SMCRA, we occasionally issue new regulations that may result in the state having to amend its approved program. A state on its own initiative may also amend its approved program. When either situation occurs, we review the amendment submitted by the state and determine if it meets the requirements of SMCRA. When it does, it is approved and when it does not, it is not approved and instructions are issued to the state on new amendments that are required. These instructions are codified in our regulations at 30 CFR 917.16 for the Kentucky program. The instructions should be removed once the requirement is satisfied either by the submission and approval of a new amendment, or by a change in circumstances such as the issuance of new regulations by OSM or the enactment of new legislation. Occasionally, we neglect to remove the instruction and by this rulemaking will remove instructions that are no longer required for the reasons that follow.

At 30 CFR 917.16(d)(1), Kentucky was required to remove the word "abated" or otherwise clarify that the rule at 405 Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KAR)7:090 section 3(4)(a) applies to abated and unabated violations to comply with the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 845.20. The Federal regulations require any person who chooses not to contest the fact of a violation (whether abated or not) or the assessment to pay the assessment in full within 30 days of the date the final assessment order was mailed. Kentucky has since made numerous changes to its hearing regulations, including the removal of 405 KAR 7:090. We approved the changes on August 6, 1993 (58 FR 42601). Kentucky's current regulations at 405 KAR 7:092 section 3(4)(a) state, in part, that if a person chooses not to contest the assessment, a finding will be made that the person has waived all rights to an administrative hearing, and the fact of the violation is deemed admitted. Because Kentucky no longer refers to "abated" violations, the requirement codified at 30 CFR 917.16(d)(1) is hereby satisfied and the instruction should be removed. 30 CFR 917.16(f) required a program change to 405 KAR 8:010 sections 5(1)(c) and (d) to require that information required by sections 2 and 3 of 405 KAR 8:030 and 8:040 be submitted on any format prescribed by OSM, as well as any format prescribed by the Cabinet. On December 19, 2000 (65 FR 79582), we removed the requirement that states must submit information on forms approved by OSM. The requirement codified at 30 CFR 917.16(f) is no longer necessary and the instruction should have been removed.

**III. Procedural Determinations***Administrative Procedure Act*

This final rule has been issued without prior public notice or opportunity for public comment. The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553) provides an exception to the notice and comment procedures when an agency finds that there is good cause for dispensing with such procedures on the basis that they are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. We have determined that under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), good cause exists for dispensing with notice of proposed rulemaking and an opportunity for public comment. This rule is technical in nature and non-controversial. It merely removes from our regulations instructions to the state pertaining to amendments to the Kentucky program that were required. As previously mentioned, Kentucky satisfied one

requirement, and the Federal regulations no longer contain the other. The instructions in our regulations should, therefore, be removed. For these same reasons, we believe there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) of the APA to have the rule become effective on a date that is less than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

*Executive Order 12630—Takings*

This rule is a technical amendment and does not have takings implications.

*Executive Order 12866—Regulatory Planning and Review*

This rule is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

*Executive Order 12988—Civil Justice Reform*

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section.

*Executive Order 13132—Federalism*

This rule is a technical amendment and does not have Federalism implications.

*Executive Order 13211—Regulations That Significantly Affect the Supply, Distribution, or Use of Energy*

On May 18, 2001, the President issued Executive Order 13211 which requires agencies to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for a rule that is (1) considered significant under Executive Order 12866, and (2) likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Because this rule is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 and will not have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

*National Environmental Policy Act*

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement because section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed state regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

*Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the

Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Department of the Interior certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The rule is a technical amendment that does not impose any additional requirements on small entities.

#### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. For the reasons stated above, this rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and (c) does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

#### *Unfunded Mandates*

This rule is a technical amendment and will not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of \$100 million or more in any given year.

#### **List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 917**

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: May 8, 2002.

**Allen D. Klein,**

*Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 917 is amended as set forth below:

#### **PART 917—KENTUCKY**

1. The authority citation for part 917 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*

#### **§ 917.16 [Amended]**

2. Section 917.16 is amended by removing and reserving paragraphs (d)(1) and (f).

[FR Doc. 02-14076 Filed 6-6-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Coast Guard**

#### **33 CFR Part 165**

[CGD09-02-008]

RIN 2115-AA97

#### **Security Zones; Captain of the Port Chicago Zone, Lake Michigan**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule; change in effective period.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is revising the effective period for the temporary security zones on the navigable waters of the Kankakee River, the Rock River, and Lake Michigan in the Captain of the Port Chicago zone. These security zones are necessary to protect the nuclear power plants, water intake cribs water filtration plants, and Navy Pier from possible sabotage or other subversive acts, accidents, or possible acts of terrorism. These security zones are intended to restrict vessel traffic from portions of the Kankakee and Rock River and Lake Michigan.

**DATES:** The amendment to § 165.T09-002 is effective on June 7, 2002. Section 165.T09-002, added at 67 FR 19676, April 23, 2002, effective March 25, 2002 until June 15, 2002, is extended in effect through August 1, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being in available in the docket, are part of docket CGD09-02-008 and are available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Chicago, 215 W. 83rd Street, Burr Ridge, IL 60521 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Commander Al Echols, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Safety Office Chicago, at telephone number (630) 986-2175.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Regulatory Information**

On April 23, 2002, we published a temporary final rule entitled Security Zones: Captain of the Port Chicago Zone, Lake Michigan in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 19676). The temporary final rule established nine temporary security zones in the Captain of the Port Chicago zone for the nuclear power plants, water intake cribs water filtration plants, and Navy Pier from possible sabotage or other subversive acts, accidents, or possible acts of terrorism.

We are extending the effective period of the temporary final rule so that we can complete a rulemaking CGD09-02-001 Security Zones; Captain of the Port Chicago Zone, Lake Michigan, to establish a permanent security zone the nuclear power plants, water intake cribs water filtration plants, and Navy Pier. Extending the effective date until August 1, 2002 should provide us enough time to complete the rulemaking.

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this rule and it is being made effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. When we promulgated the rule published April 23, 2002, we intended to either allow it to expire on June 15, 2002, or to cancel it if we made permanent changes before that date. We published an NPRM on May 22, 2002 to make permanent changes to the temporary final rule (67 FR 35939). That rulemaking will follow normal notice and comment procedures, and a final rule should be published before August 1, 2002.

Continuing the temporary final rule in effect while the permanent rulemaking is in progress will help ensure the safety of critical infrastructure that may be the subject of subversive activity. Nuclear power plants are an important means of electrical energy in the region. In addition, they could be a source of severe radiological contamination throughout the region. Therefore, the Coast Guard finds good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(B) and (d)(3) for why a notice of proposed rulemaking and opportunity for comment is not required and why this rule will be made effective fewer than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### **Background and Purpose**

A temporary security zone is necessary to ensure the security for the following nine facilities: (1) Navy Pier and the Jardine Water Filtration Plant; (2) Dresden Nuclear Power Plant Water Intake; (3) Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant; (4) Palisades Nuclear Power Plant; (5) Byron Nuclear Power Plant; (6) Zion Nuclear Power Plant; (7) 68th Street Water Intake Crib; (8) Dever Water Intake Crib; and (9) 79th Street Water Filtration Plant, as a result of the terrorist attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001.

The following nine security zones consist of:

(1) All waters between the Navy Pier and the Jardine Water Filtration Plant shoreward of a line starting at the southeast corner of the Jardine Water Filtration Plant at 41°53'36" N, 87°36'17" W and ending at the northeast