Century, or TEA–21, which established the Refuge Roads Program. TEA–21 requires that all projects funded under the Refuge Roads Program be consistent with agency management plans. The Monument CCP and EIS will address transportation issues to determine current and future transportation needs such as the maintenance or improvement of existing roads, closure and revegetation of existing roads and the construction of new roads, parking lots, comfort stations, signs, or pedestrian trails. Construction of new roads and parking lots can not be funded by the Refuge Roads Program. The plan will explain how the public is going to access Service administered lands and waters within the Monument.

Conclusion

With the publication of this notice, the public is encouraged to help identify potential issues, management actions and concerns; significant problems or impacts; and opportunities or alternatives to resolve them. The public scoping period will continue for 90 days from the date of this notice, however, the Service will accept comments throughout the planning process. The public may provide the Service with written comments at either the mailing address or planning website listed in this notice. Comments may also be provided at scheduled meetings of the Hanford Reach National Monument Federal Advisory Committee. The dates and location of Committee meetings will be published in the **Federal Register** and announced through local media and other appropriate means. All comments and written materials submitted to the Committee will be documented and provided to the Service for their consideration.

All comments received on environmental documents become part of the official public record and may be released. Requests for such comments will be handled in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, CEQ and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6(f)), and other Service and DOE policy and procedures. When requested, the Service generally will provide comment letters with the authors' names and addresses. However, the telephone number of the commenting individual will be withheld in response to such requests to the extent permissible by law. Additionally, public comment letters are not required to contain the author's name, address, or other identifying information.

The environmental review of this project will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of NEPA, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), NEPA implementing regulations (40 CFR 1500–1508), other appropriate Federal laws and regulations, the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and Service policies and procedures for compliance with those regulations.

Dated: June 4, 2002.

William F. Shake,

Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. 02–14694 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332–352]

Andean Trade Preference Act: Effect on the U.S. Economy and on Andean Drug Crop Eradication

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to submit comments in connection with the 2001 ATPA report.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanne Guth (202–205–3264), Country and Regional Analysis Division, Office of Economics, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20436.

Background

This report is being prepared under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 following receipt of a request on May 22, 2002, from the Committee on Ways and Means of the United States House of Representatives. Previous reports in this series were provided pursuant to section 206 of the Andean Trade Preference Act (ATPA) (19 U.S.C. 3204). The Committee noted that the Commission's authority to prepare such reports under section 206 expired on December 4, 2001, and requested continuation of the report series for 2001 in light of the current legislative uncertainty regarding ATPA renewal.

As requested by the Committee, the Commission's 2001 report will be similar in scope to that of previous reports in the series, and will analyze the economic impact of ATPA on U.S. industries and consumers and, in conjunction with other agencies, the effectiveness of ATPA in promoting drug-related crop eradication and crop substitution efforts of the beneficiary countries. The report will include:

(1) The actual effect of ATPA on the U.S. economy generally as well as on specific domestic industries which produce articles that are like, or directly competitive with, articles being imported under the Act;

(2) The probable future effect that ATPA will have on the U.S. economy generally and on domestic industries affected by the Act; and

(3) The estimated effect that ATPA has had on drug-related crop eradication and crop substitution efforts of beneficiary countries.

Notice of institution of the investigation and the schedule for such reports under section 206 of ATPA was published in the **Federal Register** of March 10, 1994 (59 FR 11308). As requested by the Committee, the Commission's report covering calendar year 2001 will be submitted by September 30, 2002.

Written Submissions

The Commission does not plan to hold a public hearing in connection with the preparation of this eighth report. However, interested persons are invited to submit written statements concerning the matters to be addressed in the report. Commercial or financial information that a party desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each clearly marked ''Confidential Business Information'' at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section 201 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested persons in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission. The Committee on Ways and Means has asked that the Commission transmit and publish a public report; accordingly, the Commission will not include confidential business information in its report. To be assured of consideration by the Commission, written statements relating to the Commission's report should be submitted at the earliest practical date and should be received no later than July 2, 2002.

Address all submissions to Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E St., SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

Issued: June 6, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–14693 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–02–018]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

Time and Date: June 20, 2002 at 11:00 a.m.

Place: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

Status: Open to the public.

Matters To Be Considered:

1. Agenda for future meeting: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. 731–TA–943 (Final)(Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before June 28, 2002.)
5. Inv. No. 731–TA–948 (Final) (Individually Quick Frozen Red Raspberries from Chile)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before June 28, 2002.)
6. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: June 10, 2002.

By order of the Commission:

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02–14942 Filed 6–10–02; 12:20 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–40,495 and NAFTA–05581]

G & L Service Company, North America (USA), Incorporated, Eagle Pass, Texas; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of April 4, 2002, the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) under petition TA–W–40,495 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA–TAA) under petition NAFTA–5581. The TAA denial notice applicable to workers of G & L Service Company, North America (USA), Incorporated, Eagle Pass, Texas was signed on March 8, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15226). The NAFTA–TAA denial notice applicable to workers of G & L Service Company, North America (USA), Incorporated, Eagle Pass, Texas, was signed on March 8, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2002 (67 FR 15227).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at G & L Service Company, North America (USA), Incorporated, Eagle Pass, Texas were engaged in providing support services to a manufacturing facility located in Mexico. There was no separation of workers manufacturing a product at a corporately-affiliated domestic facility. Sales increased in 2000 compared to 1999 and in January–September 2001 compared to the same period in 2000.

The NAFTA–TAA petition for the same worker group was denied because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act, as amended, were not met. There was no shift in production from the workers' firm to Mexico or Canada during the relevant period. The workers of the subject firm provided services to a manufacturing facility of their parent company located in Mexico. Increased company imports from Mexico did not cause separations of workers at the subject firm, however, production of men's and women's slacks at the Mexican facility contributed to employment at the subject facility.

The petitioners allege that production at the subject firm declined during the relevant period of the investigation. The petitioners further state that they believe all criteria at the subject firm have been met and therefore they should qualify for Trade Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance.

The Department reviewed the data supplied by the company during the initial investigation and requested clarification from the company concerning the functions performed at the subject firm. Based on further information provided by the company, it has become evident that the workers were not engaged in production of an article, men's and women's pants and shorts. Workers instead, only performed administrative services at the subject facility during the 2000 and 2001 period. The workers provided services in support of a foreign affiliated plant that produced a product.

The subject workers do not produce an article within the meaning of section 222(3) of the Act (TAA) and section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974 (NAFTA–TAA).

The petitioners also allege that a portion of their work was performed in Mexico.

Subject plant worker functions performed outside the subject plant location are not relevant. The Department conducts TAA and NAFTA–TAA investigations for specified locations that are indicated on the TAA and/or NAFTA–TAA petition. Regardless, the work performed by the workers was not producing an article.

The new information provided by the petitioner, which while perhaps altering the basis for the prior decisions, does not provide a basis to change the prior decisions.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of May, 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02–14787 Filed 6–11–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P