

§ 852.18 Is there an appeals process?

(a) An applicant may request DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) to review:

(1) A decision by the Program Office not to submit an application to a Physician Panel;

(2) A negative determination by a Physician Panel that is accepted by the Program Office; and

(3) A final decision by the Program Office not to accept a determination in the applicant's favor by a Physician Panel.

(b) An applicant must file a notice of appeal with OHA on or before 30 days from the date of a letter from the Program Office notifying the applicant of a determination appealable under this section.

(c) An appeal under this section is subject to the procedures of OHA in 10 CFR Part 1003.

(d) A decision by OHA constitutes DOE's final determination with respect to an application.

§ 852.19 What is the effect of the acceptance by the Program Office of a determination by a Physician Panel in favor of an applicant?

In the event the Program Office accepts a determination by a Physician Panel in favor of an applicant:

(a) The Program Office must assist the applicant in filing a claim with the relevant State's workers' compensation system by providing the determination and other information provided to the Program Office by a Physician Panel pursuant to § 852.12 of this part;

(b) The Program Office may not contest the determination;

(c) The Program Office must advise the cognizant DOE Secretarial Officer to recommend to the Contracting Officer (CO) for a DOE contractor that, to the extent permitted by law, the CO direct the contractor not to contest an applicant's workers' compensation claim or award in any administrative or judicial forum with respect to the same health condition for which the applicant received a favorable final Physician Panel determination;

(d) Any costs of contesting a claim or award identified in paragraph (c) of this section—that is, any costs of supporting arguments or activities with the intent or effect of delaying or defeating such a claim or award—are not allowable costs under a DOE contract; and,

(e) All workers' compensation costs incurred as a result of a workers' compensation award on a claim based on the same health condition that was the subject of a positive Physician Panel determination are allowable, reimbursable contract costs to the full

extent permitted under the DOE contractor's contract with DOE.

[FR Doc. 02-20459 Filed 8-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 23**

[Docket No. CE172, Special Condition 23-125-SC]

Special Conditions; GROB-WERKE, Burkhurt Grob e.k., Unternehmensbereich Luft-und Raumfahrt, Model G120A Airplane; Protection of Systems From High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF): Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; correction.

SUMMARY: The FAA published a document in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2002, concerning final special conditions on the GROB-WERKE, Burkhurt Grob e.k., Unternehmensbereich Luft-und Raumfahrt, Model G120A airplane. There was an inadvertent error in the special condition number in the document. This document contains a correction to the special condition number for the final special conditions.

DATES: The effective date of these corrected special conditions is January 29, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ervin Dvorak, Aerospace Engineer, Standards Office (ACE-110), Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329-4123.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Need for Correction**

The FAA published a document on February 5, 2002 (67 FR 5196) that issued final special conditions. In the document heading, a special condition number appears that had already been issued for another set of special conditions with a different docket number. This document corrects that error.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the special condition number, which appears in the heading of Docket No. CE172, is revised from 23-110-SC to 23-125-SC.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on July 25, 2002.

James E. Jackson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 02-20628 Filed 8-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 23**

[Docket No. CE170, Special Condition 23-124-SC]

Special Conditions; Byerly Aviation, Twin Commander Models 690, 690A, 690B, 690C, 690D, 695, 695A, and 695B; Protection of Systems From High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF): Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; correction.

SUMMARY: The FAA published a document in the **Federal Register** on October 5, 2001, concerning final special conditions on the Byerly Aviation Twin Commander Models 690, 690A, 690B, 690C, 690D, 695, 695A, and 695B airplane. There was an inadvertent error in the special condition number in the document. This document contains a correction to the special condition number for the final special conditions.

DATES: The effective date of these corrected special conditions is September 17, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ervin Dvorak, Aerospace Engineer, Standards Office (ACE-110), Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone (816) 329-4123.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Need for Correction**

The FAA published a document on October 5, 2001 (66 FR 50819) that issued final special conditions. In the document heading, a special condition number appears that had already been issued for another set of special conditions with a different docket number. This document corrects that error.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the special condition number, which appears in the heading of Docket No. CE170, is revised from 23-109-SC to 23-124-SC.