## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Engineering; Notice of Meeting; Correction

*Correction:* The National Science Foundation published a document in the **Federal Register** of October 1, 2002 on page 61670, 2nd column concerning the notice of meeting for advisory committee #1170. The subject heading and name of the committee should read ''Advisory Committee for Engineering.'' Below is the corrected notice.

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended) the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name and Committee Code:* Advisory Committee for Engineering (#1170).

*Date and time:* October 17, 2002/8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.; October 18, 2002/8:30 a.m.–12 p.m.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Stafford II, Room 555.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Dr. Elbert L. Marsh, Deputy Assistance Director for Engineering, National Science Foundation, Suite 505, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230; Telephone: (703) 292–4609. If you are attending the meeting and need access to the NSF building, please contact Maxine Byrd at 703–292–4601 or at *mbyrd@nsf.gov* so that your name can be added to the building access list.

*Minutes:* May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to Engineering programs and activities.

*Agenda:* The principal focus of the forthcoming meeting will be on strategic issues, both for the Directorate and the Foundation as a whole. The Committee will also address matters relating to the future of the engineering profession, and engineering education.

Dated: October 1, 2002

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02–25502 Filed 10–07–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

*Name:* Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences Advisory Committee (MPSAC).

*Date/Time:* November 6, 2002, 12–5; November 7, 2002, 8:30 AM–6 PM; November 8, 2002, 8:30 AM–3 PM.

*Place:* November 6, 2002, Stafford Building II, Room 595, 4121 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA. November 7–8, 2002, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Room 375.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Dr. Morris L. Aizenman, Senior Science Associate, Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Room 1005, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. (703) 292–8807.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning NSF science and education activities within the Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences.

*Agenda:* November 6: Briefing to New MPSAC members. November 7–8: Briefing on current status of Directorate. Meeting with members of the Education and Human Resources Directorate Advisory Committee. Discussion of MPS Activities with respect to MPSAC Recommendations concerning responses to the Hart-Rudman Report Discussion of MPS International Activities. Meeting of MPSAC with Divisions within MPS Directorate.

Dated: October 1, 2002.

**Susanne E. Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 02–25503 Filed 10–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Membership of National Science Foundation's Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Announcement of membership of the National Science Foundation's Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board.

**SUMMARY:** This announcement of the membership of the National Science Foundation's Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board is made in compliance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Director, Division of Human Resource Management, National Science Foundation, Room 315, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. John F. Wilkinson, Jr., at the above address or (703) 292–8180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The membership of the National Science Foundation's Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board is as follows:

Joseph Bordogna, Deputy Director, Chairperson

Mary E. Clutter, Assistant Director for Biological Sciences

Karl A. Erb, Director, Office of Polar Programs

Nathaniel Pitts, Director, Office of Integrative Activities

Dated: October 3, 2002.

**John F. Wilkinson, Jr.,**

*Director, Division of Human Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 02–25602 Filed 10–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Membership of National Science Foundation's Office of Inspector General Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Announcement of membership of the National Science Foundation's Performance Review Board for Office of Inspector General Senior Executive Service positions.

**SUMMARY:** This announcement of the membership of the National Science Foundation's Office of Inspector General Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board is made in compliance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Director, Division of Human Resource Management, National Science Foundation, Room 315, 4210 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. John P. Wilkinson, Jr., at the above address or (703) 292–8180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The membership of the National Science Foundation's Office of Inspector General Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board is as follows: Mark S. Wrighton, Chairman, Audit and Oversight Committee, National Science Board, Chairperson Nathaniel Pitts, Director, Office of Integrative Activities Bruce Umminger, Senior Scientist, Office of Integrative Activities.

Dated: October 3, 2002.

**John F. Wilkinson, Jr.,**

*Director, Division of Human Resource Management.*

[FR Doc. 02–25603 Filed 10–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

**[Docket Nos. 50–254 and 50–265]**

### Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–29 and DPR–30 issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon, the licensee), for operation of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, IL.

The proposed amendment would revise the Updated Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) to allow lifting heavier loads with the reactor building crane during the Unit 1 refueling outage beginning in November 2002.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), § 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes will allow use of the reactor building crane at Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station (QCNPS) during power operations to lift heavy loads up to 125 tons for removal and re-installation activities for the reactor cavity shield blocks during the Unit 1 refueling outage (*i.e.*, Q1R17). The reactor building crane has additional margin for a total lifted load of 125 tons with single failure-proof features if a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) is not assumed. Exelon has qualitatively demonstrated that the probability of a DBE occurring during the limited duration (estimated to be 24 hours) of the request is very small. The probability of load drop accidents previously evaluated is not increased since the capacity of the reactor building crane equals or exceeds the weight of the reactor cavity shield blocks.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes allow use of the QCNPS reactor building crane for a limited duration to lift heavy loads up to a total of 125 tons during removal and re-installation activities for the reactor cavity shield blocks. The reactor building crane has additional margin for a lifted load of 125 tons with single failure-proof features if a DBE is not assumed. The probability of a DBE during the limited duration of the request is very small. Therefore, the single failure-proof features ensure that the proposed changes provide an equivalent level of safety and will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The reactor building crane is rated for lifting loads up to 125 tons. The NRC has approved qualification of the QCNPS reactor building crane as single failure-proof for loads of up to 110 tons. The proposed change allows use of the crane for a limited duration to lift loads up to 125 tons. Existing safety margins are enhanced when lifting loads up to 125 tons if a DBE is not assumed, and Exelon has demonstrated that the probability of a DBE during the limited duration of the request is very small. Therefore, it is concluded that the proposed changes do not result in a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By November 7, 2002, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's ''Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings'' in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714,[1] which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or electronically on the Internet at the NRC Web site *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/*. If there are problems in accessing the document, contact the Public Document Room Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to *pdr@nrc.gov.* If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of

[1] The most recent version of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, published January 1, 2002, inadvertently omitted the last sentence of 10 CFR 2.714(d) and paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) regarding petitions to intervene and contentions. For the complete, corrected text of 10 CFR 2.714(d), please see 67 FR 20884; April 29, 2002.

the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, by the above date. Because of the continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that petitions for leave to intervene and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission either by means of facsimile transmission to 301–415–1101 or by e-mail to *hearingdocket@nrc.gov.* A copy of the petition for leave to intervene and request for hearing should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and because of continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to 301–415–3725 or by e-mail to *OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov.* A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to Mr. Edward J. Cullen, Deputy General Counsel, Exelon BSC—Legal, 2301 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19101, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 1, 2002, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.* Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to *pdr@nrc.gov.*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of October, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl F. Lyon,**

*Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 02–25605 Filed 10–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**DATES:** Weeks of October 7, 14, 21, 28, November 4, 11, 2002.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Public and Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

**Week of October 7, 2002**

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 7, 2002.

**Week of October 14, 2002—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 14, 2002.

**Week of October 21, 2002—Tentative**

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 21, 2002.

**Week of October 28, 2002—Tentative**

*Wednesday, October 30, 2002*

2 p.m.
Discussion of Security issues (Closed—Ex. 1 & 9)

*Thursday, October 31, 2002*

9:25 a.m.
Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (If needed)

9:30 a.m.