issue date of each publication or edition included in the combined mailing and indicate that the copies were prepared as part of a combined mailing under 1.2b. The per piece charges must be claimed as follows:

(1) If all copies in a combined mailing prepared under 1.2b are eligible for the Classroom or Nonprofit discount, the per piece charges must be claimed only on the postage statement for the publication that contains the highest amount of advertising.

(2) If all copies in a combined mailing prepared under 1.2b are not eligible for the Classroom or Nonprofit discount, the per piece charges must be claimed only on the postage statement for the publication that contains the highest amount of advertising.

(3) If a portion of the copies in a combined mailing prepared under 1.2b are eligible for the Classroom or Nonprofit discount and a portion are not eligible for those discounts, the per piece charges must be claimed only on the postage statement for the publication that contains the highest amount of advertising and is not eligible for the Classroom or Nonprofit discount. The Classroom or Nonprofit per piece discount must not be claimed.

* * * * *

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111 to reflect the changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 02–27500 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[NC104–200239(b); FRL–7400–5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to Inspection and Maintenance (I/M) Regulations Within the North Carolina State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of North Carolina for the purpose of amending regulations within 15A NCAC 2D.1000 Motor Vehicle Emissions Control Standards. North Carolina has submitted these rules for an enhanced inspection and maintenance (I/M) program which is a component of the State's Nitrogen Oxides (NO_X) Budget and Allowance Trading Program. The I/M program establishes reductions which are being utilized by the State as part of their NO_X SIP budget. Approval of these I/M rules allow North Carolina to gain credits ranging from 914 tons in 2004 to 4,385 credits in 2007. These credits are then used to determine the number of credits that will be made available for new growth in North Carolina. This submittal resolves all outstanding issues and allows for EPA's final approval of the State's NO_X Budget and Allowance Trading Program. The final approval of the North Carolina NO_X Budget and Allowance Trading Program, which was proposed for approval in 67 FR 42519 and received no adverse comments, will be processed in a later action. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before November 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Randy Terry at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the State submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Randy Terry, 404/562–9032.

North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Randy B. Terry at 404/562–9032.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 21, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 02–27496 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO 165–1165; FRL–7401–5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Kansas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Kansas for the purpose of controlling volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from area sources in Johnson and Wyandotte Counties in the Kansas City, Kansas, area. This action also proposes to provide full approval of the revised maintenance plan and rescinds the prior conditional approval of the revised maintenance plan. In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision and providing full approval of the revised maintenance plan as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision/amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision is severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by November 29, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Leland Daniels, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and

Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leland Daniels at (913) 551–7651.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 18, 2002.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 02–27493 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 86

[AMS–FRL–7401–9]

RIN 2060–AJ90

Control of Emissions From Spark Ignition Marine Vessels and Highway Motorcycles; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency is extending the comment period for a proposed rule addressing new emission standards for spark-ignition marine vessels and highway motorcycles. The proposed rule was published in the **Federal Register** on August 14, 2002 (67 FR 53050). The comment period for the proposed rule is extended by 60 days and thus will end on January 7, 2003.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule will be accepted through January 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: *Comments:* You may send written comments in paper form or by e-mail. We must receive them by November 8, 2002. Send paper copies of written comments (in duplicate if possible) to the contact person listed below. You may also submit comments via e-mail to *MCNPRM@epa.gov.* In your correspondence, refer to Docket A–2000–02. *Docket:* Materials relevant to this rulemaking are contained in Public Docket Number A–2000–02 at the following address: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Public Reading Room, Room B102, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on government holidays. You can reach the Reading Room by telephone at (202) 566–1742, and by facsimile at (202) 566–1741. The telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566–1742. You may be charged a reasonable fee for photocopying docket materials, as provided in 40 CFR part 2.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret Borushko, U.S. EPA, National Vehicle and Fuels Emission Laboratory, 2000 Traverwood, Ann Arbor, MI 48105; Telephone (734) 214–4334; FAX: (734) 214–4816; e-mail: *borushko.margaret@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** of August 14, 2002 (67 FR 53049). That document included a deadline for written comments of November 8, 2002. Since that time, we have received requests for an extension of that deadline to allow additional time to review and comment on the proposed emission standards and related requirements. As a result of such requests, EPA is extending the comment period on the proposed rule to January 7, 2003.

The requests received by the EPA regarding an extension of the comment period have several common concerns. These common concerns involve issues such as: impact on small businesses; technical feasibility of the standards; cost of the standards; the contribution of motorcycles and boats to pollution; and impacts on performance and safety. The EPA continues to study and evaluate these issues and many others, and will consider all relevant information presented by stakeholders. The extended comment period allows stakeholders an increased opportunity to participate in the regulatory process by providing additional information, preferably with supporting data, regarding these and other issues to the EPA.

In the NPRM we requested comment on promulgating standards that would require the use of low permeability fuel tanks and fuel hoses on highway motorcycles. We did not, however, specifically propose such requirements, and although the NPRM preamble language detailed what these requirements might look like and draft regulatory language was placed in the public docket, no proposed regulatory language was included in the NPRM. However, since the NPRM was published, EPA has finalized regulations for recreational vehicles that include requirements for control of permeation emissions from fuel tanks and hoses. If we were to finalize requirements for permeation control from highway motorcycles, it is highly likely that the regulations would be modeled after those in the recently finalized recreational vehicle regulations. Interested parties wishing more detail on the type of regulatory program EPA is considering for highway motorcycle permeation control are encouraged to review the recreational vehicle requirements. The final rule for recreational vehicles is available on the EPA web site at *http://www.epa.gov/otaq/recveh.htm.* When the recreational vehicle final rule is published in the **Federal Register** we will place a copy of it in Docket A–2000–02 so that interested parties may review it during the extended comment period.

Additionally, at the public hearing on the proposed motorcycle provisions testimony was presented that encouraged EPA to ensure that the proposed emission standards be applicable to engine manufacturers as well as motorcycle manufacturers. We request additional comment on this issue. Although the current federal requirements do not specifically apply to motorcycle engines and motorcycle engine manufacturers, the California requirements with which we proposed to harmonize clearly do apply to these entities, and at least one engine manufacturer is currently certifying engines to the California and federal requirements.

The testimony from the public hearings and other materials have been placed in the docket since we published the proposal, and the hearing transcripts have been placed in the docket and on the EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality web site. Additional information will be placed in the docket as it becomes available. We therefore encourage interested parties to stay abreast of docketed materials and to periodically check the following web pages for updates:

Highway Motorcycles

http://www.epa.gov/otaq/roadbike.htm.

Gasoline Boats and Personal Watercraft

http://www.epa.gov/otaq/marinesi.htm.

Dated: October 22, 2002.

Robert Brenner,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 02–27616 Filed 10–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P